

**County Manager's Report to
Members**

Under Section 12 (8) of the Planning
and Development Act 2000

Volume 3 - Appendix

**Alphabetical List
and Summary of Submissions
by Interested Party**

**List of submissions
by Reference Number**

Document Verification

Page 1 of 1

Job Title						
County Development Plan Review						
Document Title: Section 12 (8) Manager's report to Members						
Volume 2 - Appendix						
File Ref: CDP Review						
Document Ref: Amendments November 2008						
Revision	Date	Filename:				
1.1	10th Nov	Managers Report 12 (8) FINAL Draft Volume 3 Appendix D				
		Description: Document prepared for Members of Cork County Council under the Planning and Development Acts				
			Prepared by	Drawn by	Checked by	Approved by
		<i>Name</i>	PPU	N/A		A. Hind

Alphabetical list and Summary of Submissions (by Interested Party)

Volume 3 Appendix D

Contents Table

Part 1	General Submissions	Page 1
Part 2	Annaghmore Submissions	Page 211
Part 3	Record of Protected Structures Submissions	Page 695
Part 4	Submissions not considered relevant to the Proposed Amendment	Page 701
Index	List of Submissions by Reference Number	Page 707

Abbreviations

ACA	Architectural Conservation Area
CASP	Cork Area Strategic Plan
CDP	County Development Plan
CIF	Construction Industry Federation
DOEHLG	Department of Environment, Heritage & Local Government
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EU	European Union
FAI	Football Association of Ireland
GAA	Gaelic Games Association
ICF	Irish Concrete Federation
IDA	Industrial Development Authority
LAP	Local Area Plan
PPU	Planning Policy Unit
NDP	National Development Plan
NRA	National Roads Authority
NSS	National Spatial Strategy
RPG	Regional Planning Guidelines
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SLAP	Special Local Area Plan
SPA	Special Protection Area
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

Volume 3 Part 1 General Submissions

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Ager, Chris and Lauri	2786	This submission is a request to rationalise the boundaries of the Rural Housing Control Area and the development boundary of Kinsale at Summercove to extend the town boundary to include the clients land within the Kinsale Development Boundary. There is also a comment on Objective SPL 3-5 of the original Cork County Development Plan.
Alchemy Properties	2685	Proposed Change 3.31 This submission proposes that the County Development Plan has significantly underestimated the development potential of Mitchelstown. The Plan should designate Mitchelstown as a County Hub, similar to Clonakilty, and should be the focus of development in the northeast of the County. The town should support additional population growth and a corresponding increase in the amount of zoned land is required. The submission outlines how the National Spatial Strategy, The Draft Guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas, the North and West Strategic Plan promote and support the development of Mitchelstown as a self sustaining town. The submission suggests that the proposed strategy for Mitchelstown is inadequate and should be extended to a) acknowledge that Mitchelstown should be designated a County hub and be the focus of significant new development over the Plan period and b) include reference that significant new lands will be required to underpin future growth in Mitchelstown. The submission identifies a 52.92 ha landholding, in the ownership of Alchemy Properties that could make a significant contribution to the development of Mitchelstown. Proposed Change 4.3 this submission proposes that the Draft Pla has failed to put forward a clear response to the problems associated with underperformance of the major urban areas in the County in reaching their population targets. A strategy is required in the County Development Plan to outline how the 50% targets for the construction of new housing in urban areas will be achieved. The Submission highlights apparent contradictions in the Managers Response to submissions to the Draft Plan, In response to Issue 3.33, the Manager notes that the Local Area Plans will consider whether the supply of residential land is appropriate as opposed to the County Development Plan. In additions, it is the CASP Update will provide guidance on the development of settlements within the CASP region, unlike the remaining settlements in the County which will have to wait until the review of the Local Area plans or the review of the HLAS. The submission also proposes that the 2006 HLAS is out of date and is deficient for the purposes of informing the County Development Plan and determining the extent of lands required for additional residential development. If this data is used to determine land use zoning objectives, it will have serious implications for the robustness and overall quality of the Local Area Plans.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Archer, Brian and Hogan, Roddy	2534	<p>This submission supports the proposals for two rapid transit corridors - one east-west and the other north-south and notes the statement that the economic case for the development of these 'is very dependent upon having sufficiently high population densities close to potential routes to support frequent high volume services'. The submission states that intensive employment and other trip generating uses are no less important to the viability of a rapid transit route as high population densities per se and on this basis the submission makes the following points; at paragraph 1.1.38 of the Supplementary Managers Report in relation to the CASP update the manager foresees the initial focus primarily on the expansion of existing key employment locations 'while at the same time to put in place the mechanisms to secure the provision of new strategic employment locations'. Therefore the specified new employment location at Tramore Road - an important element of the north-south rapid transit initiative - should receive an equal if not greater focus than the expansion of existing locations. Whilst the planned redevelopment of this area is not projected to occur until post 2014 the CDP should nevertheless recognise the lengthy lead in time which will be involved in the relocation of the existing low intensity enterprises and should include policies which will encourage the early commencement of the processes of land acquisition, site consolidation and associated relocation. The Council should empower itself in the CDP to include in the subsidiary Local Area Plans provision for receiving the displaced uses into specified alternative locations. The submission also notes that the east-west rapid transit route is stated at para 1.1.50 as linking 'key development nodes at Mahon and the Docklands with Kent Station, the City Centre and the western suburbs including the University, CUH and CIT with possible westward extension to Ballincollig. The viability of this route would be much enhanced by availing of the existing and potential population densities in Ballincollig, currently over 26,000 and that studies may well show that its western terminus should be located in that town rather than in the suburb of Bishopstown. Furthermore, provision should be made in the Development Plan for the investigation and planning of the lands between the City and Ballincollig for strategically important mixed uses including important industries wishing to locate close to the adjacent third level institutions and intensive recreational facilities. Whilst the planning authority may wish to delay such development in order not to inhibit development in the City docklands it is more important that planning policy in this strategically important area be actively determined by the planning authority rather than by adhoc reaction to inevitable outside pressures. Furthermore, the potential for traffic generating uses in this area would further raise the viability of the projected rapid transit route perhaps to a level capable of supporting light rail transit. Apart from its higher level of service it is important to recognise the impact of a light rail system in enhancing the status and confidence of a city region as a distinctive and progressive competitive entity. The submission asks that the CDP include enabling policies accordingly.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Bailey, Cathy	2242	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Ballybane Windfarm Ltd	2533	<p>This submission requests that the following amendments be made to the text of INF 7-4. "a) it is an objective to encourage prospective wind energy developers, including businesses and industries who wish to produce energy from renewable sources. In assessing potentially suitable locations for projects prospective wind farm developers should focus on the strategic search areas identified in the Plan and generally avoid wind energy projects in strategically unsuitable areas identified in this Plan. It is an objective to support existing and established businesses and industries who wish to use wind energy to serve their own needs, subject to criteria outlined below. B) In order to capitalise and make maximum use of infrastructural investment and grid connections it is an objective to support the expansion of existing wind farms, subject to criteria outline below. C) It is an objective in the strategic search areas (and in those areas that are identified as neither strategic search areas not strategically unsuitable areas), to consider new, wind energy proposals on its merits having regard to normal planning criteria including, in particular, the following: etc". The submission states that the alteration of Objective INF 7-4 as suggested above would provide for a more flexible policy approach to the expansion of wind energy and encourage existing industries to consider wind energy options and the expansion of existing windfarms. It is considered that both these will be required if the ambitious targets set for wind energy in the Government's Green Paper 'Towards a Sustainable Energy Future for Ireland' are to be met.</p>
Bemelmans, Thierry	2401	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon,</p>

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Blanchfield, Patricia	2483	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Blarney Castle Estates

2524

This submission requests a modification to proposed change no. 5.21 by the inclusion of the following additional text: "5.6.7 Cork County has a number of key tourist attractions of national importance which should be protected from inappropriate development and where appropriate development complimentary to their tourist function will generally be encouraged. These include Blarney Castle.."

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Breen, James	2450	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>- Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Brown, Dave	2235	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Buckley, Brian.	2481	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 grenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasing as possible. Transport</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.</p>
Buckley, Catherine	2309	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Burke, Edmond	2230	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible. (5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Burke, Ryan	2753	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter states that "it can only bring prosperity and employment to the area"
Bus Eireann	2318	<p>Summary: This submission supports the emphasis place on public transport in the Draft Plan. In relation to bus infrastructure, Bus Eireann consider the provision of the following as essential in encouraging a modal shift towards public transport;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) safe, comfortable well lit bus stops, b) bus stops that accommodate wheelchair accessible coaches, c) bus stops that can be accessed by people with disabilities, d) bus stops in towns and villages should be easily accessed, e) bus stops at schools should be safe and able to accommodate buses and pupils, f) the free flow of traffic in towns and villages should be maximised by adjusting parking and implementing one way systems, g) public transport vehicles should be allowed use the hard shoulder when entering exiting towns. <p>Provision should be made for the overnight parking of buses for early morning departures in outlying towns.</p>
Bus Eireann	2177	Submission states that the statement on page 181 paragraph 2 should replace "and Bus Rail Transit has been considered" with "and Bus Rapid Transit has been considered".
Cahill, Garret	2300	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Cameron, Edward	2287	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Carey, Pauline	2282	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George’s Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700’s.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700’s.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston’s in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Carthy, Theresa	2306	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the</p>

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Castlelands Construction Company	2400	This submission proposes that the development of Douglas golf club is a more preferable means of addressing housing need than the development of a new town at Annaghmore. The submission proposes that the zoning of the golf club should be linked to the provision of a new 36 hole facility in the greenbelt. The submission proposes a masterplan be prepared that would outline the development of the club. The submission proposes that paragraph 5 of proposed amendment 3.16 be amended to read as follows, "there is potential for significant employment development in on brownfield lands close to Douglas centre and for potential masterplanned redevelopment of lands at Douglas Golf Course". The submission further outlines the benefits the redevelopment of the golf club will bring to the local area.
Castlelands Construction Company	2399	This submission proposes that provision should be made in proposed change 3.17 to allow for a review of the zoning of lands in the area of the southern environs to facilitate the creation of viable zones of development where employment areas are sufficiently close to new residential areas so as to permit sustainable modes of transport and community formation. The submission proposes that the following line, "this may be facilitated by revisions to adjacent zonings to facilitate the creation of a sustainable relationship between residential and employment areas", be added to the text of proposed amendment 3.17. The submission also suggests that the proposed development at Annaghmore be abandoned.
Cawkwell, Fiona	2303	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Ceadagan, Michael O'	2169	The submission states that the amendments relating to the Special Scenic landscapes should be deferred until the review of the Local Area plan process in 2009 because (a) the blanket coverage of the south-west peninsulas with a Special Scenic Landscape Status is a major divergence from the planned areas in the previous development plan map and (b) the lack of consultation on this subject requires more time for discussion.
Chamberlain, Sean	2229	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Clancy, Pat	2171	Submission supports the new settlement at Annaghmore as it will bring employment to this part of Cork.
Coffey, Richard and Hunt, Donal	2683	<p>This submission is proposing the inclusion of an additional amendment in Section 3.3.25 of the plan.</p> <p>"The Council recognise that due to the topographical constraints within the town core, there are limited opportunities to meet the future bulk food shopping needs within the town centre and consequently edge or out of town sites will be considered sihc as the site at Newtown, adjacent to the Bantry Blues GAA Club."</p> <p>The submission is requesting that the Council should acknowledge the topographical constraints of Bantry and the tight urban grain in the town core. The submission also refers to the recommendation of the Members to contravene the development plan to permit a supermarket at a site outside the town centre. Arguments for the proposed site a Newtown are also offered. These included the proximity of the site to the town centre, the site is relatively level in comparison to other sites in the town and it is located along the N71. It is further stated that the site is located wthin walking distance of residential areas of the town.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Coleman Brothers and John Flemming Construction	2526	<p>This submission requests the modification of proposed change no 3.8 to allow more flexibility in the housing density with the Blarney SLAP by amending the text as follows:- The CASP Update envisages further population growth along the rail line to the north and east of the City including Blarney, however it is not envisaged that this will require significant additional lands to be zoned. The Special Local Area Plan adopted in September 2005 provides for 2,500 units at Stoneview and this development also requires proposals for the provision of a new railway station, including park and ride facilities and the timing of its construction. Indications are that the projected population growth for Blarney will lead to a requirement for the expansion of existing school sites and also through the development of new green field sites as required by the Blarney Special Local Area Plan. LANDS WITHIN THE BLARNEY SLAP AREA ARE WELL PLACED TO ACCOMMODATE SUCH GROWTH AND A FLEXIBLE APPROACH TO THE SLAP TARGETS OF 2,500 HOUSING UNITS MAY BE ADOPTED WHERE IT CAN BE DEMONSTRATED THAT THE ADDITIONAL UNITS SATISFY GENERAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS.</p>
Coleman, Mary	2251	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible. (5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown. (6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb. (7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780. (8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots. (9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>

Collins, Ann

2307

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents,

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Collins, Breda	2103	<p>This submission supports the proposal for a new settlement at Annaghmore for the following reasons; 1) It will bring employment and sustainability to West Cork. 2) It is close to the airport 3) The development of Annaghmore would result in improvements to the the section of the N71 between Halfway and Innishannon. and would bring new facilities to the area such as a health care/primary care facility.</p>
Collins, Deidre	2547	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Collins, Micheal (Goleen and District Community Council)	2049	<p>This submission requests that the designation of the Special Scenic Landscapes be deferred until the Local Area Plans are reviewed. It states that the blanket coverage of the southwest peninsulas with a Special Scenic Landscape status is a major divergence from the planned areas in the previous</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Condon, Condon	2257	<p>Development Plan map. The submission also states that the Goleen and District Community Council were not consulted about this and ask that there be discussion and consultation about this matter before a decision is made.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Considine, Anthony	2270	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

Construction Industry Federation (CIF)

2521

This submission is an objection to Change No 8.3 where the proposed Joint Housing Strategy recommends a change to the split between social and affordable housing. The CIF believe that the spilt should remain 5/15 in favour of affordable because 1) draft Joint Housing Strategy has overestimated demand for social housing especially since the market has change and affordablility is no longer an issue. 2) Government have introduced a Local Authority Home Loan Scheme which will encourage more home ownership. 3) recent surveys suggest that 1/3 of people offered social housing decline the offer. 4) cross subsidiatisation should be used to encourage more affordable housing. 5) More financial advantage to local authorities to engage in affordable housing 6) only 784 of 6000 units transferred to CCC but direct sales are helping and changes to the market (interest rates, length of mortgages and higher affordability rates).

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Corbety, Mike	2249	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Cork Chamber	2628	<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission proposes that the development and implementation of the County Development Plan, should be carried out in tandem with the new City Development Plan and the Cork Area Strategic Plan 2001 – 2020 and the Draft Update of CASP. The Chamber supports the outcomes of the CASP Update Study which takes into account the fact that CASP requires not only effective spatial planning integration, but also co-ordinated polices on employment, transport, education and infrastructure.</p> <p>The submission stresses the need for the County Council to work with other stakeholders and facilitate the monitoring of the CASP objectives in order to ensure the economic targets in the CASP update regarding job and population growth are met in a timely and co-ordinated manner. The County Council should also ensure that other provisions outlined in the CASP update are mirrored in the County Development Plan 2009 – 2015, such as the need for appropriate telecommunications infrastructure, economic marketing of the Cork region and alternative transport modes.</p> <p>Proposed Change No. 6.37</p> <p>The submission proposes that this amendment does not go far enough in addressing the current deficit in telecommunications infrastructure which is critical in meeting the needs of the high technology industries located in the Cork region. This is paramount if we are to sustain the region’s development and to enhance the attractiveness of Cork as a key “Gateway” for enterprise development and investment in the future.</p> <p>The CASP update has identified a number of key initiatives that should be incorporated into the plan. These include a) Activation of the 2001 project to connect to subsea active fiber off the Cork coast to enable international Tier 1 connectivity to all the Atlantic Gateway region, b) Establishment of a major Data Centre in Cork with several small satellites in the region creating a 'deep sea port' in terms of services to industry, global access and best in class applications, c) Region wide Wifi and Broadband connectivity for the provision of education, public services and remote working, d) A National NGN Broadband network in public ownership that would be carrier neutral and increase access to each of the MANs via a planned WiFi or WIMAX grid e) Building regulations and planning should specify broadband as an essential service. All commercial and residential dwellings should be ducted with terminated fibre and copper pair so that the carriers can connect to a central telecoms cabinet for that development which is then connected to a core network and f) increased access to MANs.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Economic Marketing</p> <p>The submission proposes that a specific reference be included in the County Development Plan, which shows a clear commitment by the County Council to engage with relevant stakeholders in developing and implementing an economic marketing plan for the region.</p>
		<p>Transport and Infrastructure</p> <p>Proposed Change No. 6.2</p> <p>This submission proposes that the results of the feasibility study being conducted into rapid transit routes be incorporated into the draft County Development Plan. The submission also call for a pedestrian stop in Carrigtwohill Business Park to cater for the 2000 employees and IDA backed operations that operate from there.</p> <p>Proposed Change No. 6.24</p> <p>In relation to the Port of Cork's relocation, the submission supports for relocation of port activities to Ringaskiddy. The County Development Plan should reflect the CASP Update that identifies this location as the primary location for the development of modern port facilities for the Cork region. In order for Ringaskiddy to function as a strategic port, it is essential that the required priority is afforded to the N28 upgrade.</p>
		<p>Cork Airport</p> <p>This submission proposes that that the current boundary of the Airport SLAP needs be extended to take a more holistic view of future development potential beyond the current parameters. This is necessary in terms of enhanced access to Cork Airport, the ability of Cork Airport Authority to look at the non-aviation sector as a source of income such as airport real estate, parking management and cargo handling and also the private sector's interest in developing airport related activities in lands surrounding the airport over the next thirty years.</p>
		<p>Science Park</p> <p>This submission fully supports and endorses Proposed Change 5.2, which identifies the need for a Science Park to be located in Cork. For this project to proceed, it is necessary to work in collaboration with the third level institutions in the area and the business community to ensure the delivery of such a facility, incorporating high tech, science and research related businesses.</p>
		<p>Employment and Economic Activity</p> <p>Proposed Change No. 5.12</p> <p>The submission proposes that a very clear need exists for adequately zoned lands for business purposes. The Development Plan should ensure that flexibility is built into the planning process address these market conditions. The Planning Authority should identify appropriate opportunities to enable the expansion of successful business parks and to identify areas for new business parks of a similar scale. It will also be necessary for the Planning Authority to identify strategically located well-serviced sites for industrial uses close to major public, private, port and air transport nodes, including Cork Airport Business Park, Ballincollig, Little Island, Carrigtwohill, Middleton, and Ringaskiddy. Locations outside this zone are considered less suitable, from an accessibility and market demand perspective.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Cork Co-operative Marts Ltd	2678	This submission proposes that the Draft Plan should comment further on the serious deficiencies in comparison retailing in Mitchelstown. The plan must promote policies that encourage and foster investment in the retail sector. The submission proposes that the 2003 County Development Plan was overly conservative in the estimation of retail growth and limited retail capacity allocated to settlements. The submission proposes that the Draft Plan will repeat this mistake by underestimating the convenience floorspace requirement for the Ring and County Towns. The submission proposes that the Mart Site in Mitchelstown is the optimum location for an expansion to the retail base within the town. Additional text should therefore be added to Proposed Change 5.13 to address the specific needs of Mitchelstown. The text should read as follows, "The Council recognise that there are significant deficiencies in comparison goods provision in Mitchelstown which is contributing to high levels of expenditure leakage from the own. In order to address these trends and secure investment from modern retail formats, the Council will consider edge of town sites for retail development given the lack of available and suitable town centre sites". The submission also requests that proposed extension to the ACA, that includes the Mart site be removed as they consist primarily of a greenfield site and the existing Mart Building. The site is not of any historical, architectural or streetscape significance and should not be designated an ACA.
Cork County GAA Board.	2157	1. Submission notes an incorrect reference in the Managers Report (Ref Cork GAA Board, 1385, p24) relating to playing pitches in Kilbarry. 2. Submission proposes an amendment to SET 1-4 to include for an increase in Ballyvolane and Kilbarry rather than Ballyvolane alone. 3. Submission states that the Railway Station is proposed for Kilbarry and accordingly increased housing development at Kilbarry is viable and sustainable. 4. Submission also states that a new road can be constructed linking Dublin hill with Kilbarry.
Corkery, Ger	2517	This submission seeks to have the subject site, which is located along the Model Farm Road between Cork City and Ballincollig, to be removed from the presently designated A1 Metropolitan Green Belt and considered for zoning to "mixed use and residential use". This new zoning would: 1) compliment the development of a science park to the south at Curraheen and 2) will fulfill the Council's objective that "ideally employment and population would be placed in the same location to reduce commuting and enhance sustainability." 3) encourage a link road between the N22 and Model Farm road.
Coughlan, Thomas	2395	This submission proposes that the County Development Plan should encourage people to live and work, especially actively retired members of the public, in scenic areas. This provision, coupled with longer stay tourists would bring additional benefits to the area. The submission also includes detailed drawings of a single house for a site in Schull, which would accommodate a couple who wish to reside in the area..

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Cox, Peter	2455	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Creed, Michael T.D.	2792	<p>This submission is related to two proposed amendments. The submitter is querying the deficit in numbers with regard to the projected population growth and projected household growth. It is stated that the figures propose a population of less than one person per household. It is further stated that the projected population increase equates to 32 persons per annum and is too low for a town of Macroom and should be revised upwards.</p> <p>Additionally, the submitter states that he broadly agrees with the text of SET 1-6 but that reference to infrastructural deficits, such as education, policing and poor linkages from residential estates to the town centre exist and should be addressed in the revised draft plan.</p>
Croke, Joe (Lowertown Development Association)	2048	<p>This submission requests that the designation of the Special Scenic Landscapes be deferred until the Local Area Plans are reviewed. It states that the blanket coverage of the southwest peninsulas with a Special Scenic Landscape status is a major divergence from the planned areas in the previous Development Plan map. The submission also states that the Lowertown Development Association were not consulted about this and asks that there be discussion and consultation about this matter before a decision is made.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Cronin, Angela	2552	<p>his submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Crowley, Danny Cllr.	2333	<p>This submission proposes that;</p> <p>a) Proposed Change No2.7 - Clarification needed on text paragraph (f) with regard to traditional settlement patterns. This objective for development in the West Strategic Planning Area is contradicted in other parts of the plan.</p> <p>b) Proposed Change No3.1 - SET 1 – 40 Delete reference to Village Nuclei and reference to density of units at these locations.</p> <p>c) Proposed Change No3.2 - Change text to include sites within close proximity to development Boundaries AND IDENTIFIED DURING LAP PROCESS.</p> <p>d) Proposed Change No3.12 - Delete reference to the County Development Board and include as an objective by Cork County Council.</p> <p>e) Proposed Change No4.1 - Include reference to Rural Areas under persistent population decline in this objective to achieve a balance.</p> <p>f) Proposed Change No4.1 - Clarification needed on this amendment with regard to connection to local Community.</p> <p>g) Proposed Change No 4.4 - Clarification required on GB1-1 and GB1-2 h) Proposed Change No4.10 - Clarification required on objective RC1 2-14.</p> <p>i) Proposed Change No4.12 - Clarification required on overall strategy and policy RC1 2-15</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>j) Proposed Change No4.13 - Clarification required on year round occupation and year round residence</p> <p>k) Proposed Change No4.15 - Clarification on Ruinous Dwellings in the paragraph 4.14.1</p> <p>l) Proposed Change No 4.16 - Include reference to the need to identify additional Blue Flag beaches during LAP process.</p> <p>m) Proposed Change No 5.20 - Clarification required on ECO-6-2 with regard to special scenic landscape.</p> <p>n) Proposed Change No5.25 - Clarification required on ECON 6-9 with regard to tourism facilities.</p> <p>o) Proposed Change No 5.29 - Clarification required on ECON 6-7 reference to Agri Tourism enterprises.</p> <p>p) Proposed Change No 6.16 - Amend paragraph 6.3.11 to include reference to Primary Fishing Ports.</p> <p>q) Proposed Change No 6.34 - Clarification required on INF 7-1 amended text.</p> <p>r) Proposed Change No 6.36 - Clarification on INF 7-5</p> <p>s) Proposed Change No 7.3 - Clarification required on scenic route profiles ENV 2-12</p> <p>t) Proposed Change No 7.4 - Clarification required on the overall strategy and objectives for the special scenic landscapes</p> <p>u) Proposed Change No 7.14 - Clarification on scenic route profile A129-A134</p>
Crowley, Jim & Eleanor	2457	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E

above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		the county.
Crowley, John	2193	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Crowley, John	2390	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential</p> <p>impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Crowley, Michelle	2296	This submission supports the proposed development at Annaghmore as it would generate employment in the area and afford people an opportunity to live in the area in which they were born.
Crowley, Pat	2456	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Cullinane, Donal	2387	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 greenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasing as possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Cummins, Michelle	2254	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Cunnane Stratton Reynolds	2673	<p>This submission seeks to clarify the inclusion of proposed change no 3.19 where SET 1-4 included an objective that states explicitly that additional lands will need to be zoned to meet this growth in the balvolane area. It needs to be stated that new lands are to be zoned as part of the Framework Plan for the area.</p>
Curtin, Michael	2223	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p>
		<p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p>
		<p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p>
		<p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p>
		<p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p>
		<p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p>
		<p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p>
		<p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p>
		<p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p>
		<p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Curtin, Michael	2268	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

Dalton, Deirdre

2281

This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George’s Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:

(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700’s.

(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700’s.

(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.

(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston’s in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Daunt, Gillian and Lorna	2384	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Dempsey, Mary

2202

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Dennehy, Paddy

2233

This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:

- (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.
- (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.
- (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources	2441	The Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources have no comment to make at this time.
Department of Education and Science	2179	<p>1. Submission outlines the information that the Dept uses to calculate infrastructural requirements, the extent of primary and post primary provision needed in an area. 2. The Dept always requests that site reservations be made as close as possible to community facilities such as sports facilities, libraries etc so that these can be shared between the school and the community. 3. Dept is open to the concept of multi-campus arrangements. 4. Submission refers to Technical Guidance Document - 025 identification and suitability of sites for primary school and department website re, site suitability. Submission also refers to the DOE Sustainable Residential development guidelines which provided that no significant development should take place without an assessment on the impact of school provision (Chapter 4 p22). 5. Refers to the recently published Code of Practice for Planning Authorities and the provision of schools; in particular item 2 and the need for consulting with the Dept re the assessment of specific sites. 6. Using the revised projected populations for each of the strategic planning areas the submission includes comprehensive tables detailing the educational infrastructural requirements for each of these areas. 7. The Dept will seek to maximise existing accommodation ahead of making new greenfield provision. Therefore, at primary level in areas such as Carrigaline, Bandon, Macroom and Kanturk, the extent of extra accommodation needed is likely to be provided at an existing school or schools. 8. The extent of primary accommodation required in Ballincollig, Blarney, Middleton, Monard and Mallow is likely to require new greenfield provision. 9. It appears that Carrigtwohill, Monard and Middleton will warrant new stand alone post primary school provision. 10. Dept will be looking to expand existing provision in Cobh, Glanmire and Clonakilty to cater for any extra demand. 11. Dept will be making site reservation requests under the LAP process where necessary. 12. For indicative purposes new schools would appear to be required in Carrigtwohill, Middleton, Monard and Mallow.</p>
Department of Education and Science	2791	This submission raises concerns over the proposed parking standards and the requirement for 0.50 spaces per student seat in Colleges or Vocational schools. The current standard used by the DES is 85 spaces per 1,000 pupils. The DES does not make provision for student car parking. The proposed standard would have serious financial and environmental implications for all future second level building projects in the region. The submission proposes that the standards should be reconsidered in the light of the Departments Technical Guidance Document on the provision of schools as well as the recently published document, the Provision of Schools and the Planning System, which require spaces be provided in a cost effective manner.
Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government	2197	This submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as a new settlement in the County Development Plan as: a) it conflicts with the policy objectives of the National Spatial Strategy in that it is not served by public transport or close to community facilities, b) it materially conflicts with the urban settlement strategy set out in the Cork Area Strategic Plan, c) it will have significant adverse effects on the environment

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		by virtue of the limited capacity of the Owenabue River to receive additional wastewater effluent (as highlighted in the SEA) and d) its inclusion would result in the plan failing to meet its statutory requirement, as required by section 10(1) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, to set out an overall strategy for the proper planning and sustainable development of Co Cork.
Desmond, Barry	2173	Submission supports the proposed settlement at Annaghmore as it will bring employment, sustainability and services to this part of Co Cork.
Doran, Theresa	2284	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

Dorney, Seamus

2267

This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:

(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.

(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.

(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.

(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Dulin Airport Authority	2527	This submission requests a modification of the text in proposed change no 3.15 as follows: THIS AREA ALSO INCLUDES CORK INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND A SPECIAL LOCAL AREA PLAN WILL BE PREPARED TO PROVIDE THE FRAMEWORK FOR THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF THE AIRPORT, IN LINE WITH OBJECTIVE INF 4-3 AND THE CORK AREA STRATEGIC PLAN
Eirgrid	2178	Eirgrid supports Objective INF 7-5 relating to overhead powerlines and will also ensure that the selection of electricity powerlines routes is managed in terms of impact on the natural and built environment with particular attention to sensitive landscapes. Eirgrid will endeavour to ensure that overhead powerline routes do not transverse sensitive landscapes. However, should this be unavoidable, Eirgrid shall take necessary measures to ensure that the least visually obtrusive route is adopted and that all necessary mitigation measures are taken. Submission requests an opportunity to discuss matters pertaining to the electricity transmission system prior to the approval of the plan.
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	2697	<p>This submission states that in relation to the SEA of the Proposed Amendments specific mitigation measures have not yet been described. Adequate and appropriate mitigation measures should be developed as soon as possible. The submission states that strong consideration should also be given to the following topics: landscape, views and prospects, cultural heritage, landuse zoning and biodiversity (designated and non-designated). Where is it indicated that a potential significant effect is not likely to be mitigated, then, strong compensatory measures should be considered.</p> <p>The submission states that adequate and appropriate infrastructure should be in place or required to be put in place to service any development proposed and authorised during the lifetime of the plan.</p> <p>In relation to the SEA of the Proposed Amendments the submission states that when assessing the effects of the Proposed Amendments, the possibly of cumulative effects must be considered. The submission lists and gives specific details issues under the three headings of Water, Biodiversity and Landscape that are provided for information purposes and as an aid to the development of appropriate mitigation measures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Water <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Framework Directive Drinking water Waste water treatment Water conservation Groundwater protection Flood protection Bathing water 2. Biodiversity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> County – Habitat mapping Wetlands EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland Appropriate Assessment Non-Designated Habitats and Species 3. Landscape <p>The submission states that consideration should be given to the requirement for an appropriate “visual impact assessment for any proposed development with potential to impact</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>adversely on the landscape character of the Plan area and adjoining lands.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 3.42 - Annaghmore This submission states that Proposed Amendment 3.42 is considered to be a significant amendment to the Plan. It is noted that the location for the proposed development is in a 'vulnerable area' (as described in Section 5 of the Environmental Report). In addition, the location is in an 'At Risk' area as defined by the Water Framework Directive. In relation to the SEA the submission states that the possible impacts are set out but proposed mitigation measures have not been developed to any great extent. Specific and adequate mitigation measures must be developed for all predicted significant environmental effects.</p> <p>The submission states that it may be more appropriate that this development be subject to its own Local Area Plan with concomitant full Strategic Environmental Assessment and, possibly, Appropriate Assessment. The SEA process may guide the development to a more suitable location, if necessary.</p> <p>The submission states that adequate and appropriate infrastructure should be in place, or required to be put in place, to service any development proposed and authorised during the lifetime of the County Plan. Strong consideration must also be given to protecting environmental resources in the areas affected by the proposed development.</p> <p>Other Comments In relation to SEA of the Proposed Amendments, the submission states that clarification and evidence is required to substantiate the positive effects of the status of the EPO's.</p>
Estuary Office Park Developments Ltd.	2674	<p>this submission proposes that there is a deficit in office space within Carrigaline to sustain the population growth envisaged for the town in the Plan. The submission proposes that the site currently zoned I-01 in Carrigaline be amended to cater for the development of office space for small to medium start up companies as well as larger corporate companies. The submission recommends that a study of office space in the town should be undertaken as part of the Local Area Plan review. This study should examine the possibility of rezoning the I-01 site for commercial or office based developments.</p>
Falush, Daniel	2297	<p>This submission opposes the proposed development at Annaghmore as it is unnecessary and investment should focus improving the infrastructure of existing towns.</p>
Farrell, Patricia	2275	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.
		(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		entire old town.
<i>Fehilly, Martin</i>	2059	submission conveys support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submitter states that he lives in Bandon and works in Cork and therefore he would be interested in living in the Annaghmore area as it would be more desirable to him to get to work.
<i>Finn, Eileen</i>	2226	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

Fitzgerald, Mary

2262

This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George’s Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:

(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700’s.

(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700’s.

(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.

(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Fitzgerald, Una	2269	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.
		(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.
		(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Fitzgerald, Winifred</i>	2228	<p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.. ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Flemming, Niall	2682	This submission requests that the County Development Plan acknowledges the strategic nature of the lands adjacent to the town centre in Bandon, currently zoned for amenity purposes, for future use as a mixed retail/commercial development in order to reinforce and strengthen the role as a district employment centre.
Forrest, Robert and Gerard	2516	This submission requests that the subject lands, which are located to the east of Blarney, be zoned for future development or town expansion purposes. This new zoning would a) help the metropolitan town of Blarney achieve its critical mass, b) consolidate the development boundary of the town so as to prevent urban sprawl, c) optimise the use of the proposed sustainable transport infrastructure, d) represent a sequential extension to the development boundary of Blarney and e) ensure the development potential of the land is not restricted by the incidence of the designed landscape feature whilst respectfully acknowledging its presence. The lands currently lie within the Metropolitan greenbelt. The zoning of this land would also help achieve the proposed population targets for Blarney and its hinterland (23,793 in 2020) as outlined in the CASP Update. The submission proposes that the population projections for Blarney as outlined in proposed amendment 3.8 is too low to accommodate the growth envisage for Blarney and its hinterland as outlined in CASP. The text of the County Development Plan should be revised to reflect emerging CASP policies.
Fota Business and Retail Park	2398	This submission proposes that proposed change 3.11 is amended to include the following line, "and a review of existig objectives to facilitate paragraph 5.2.20 of the Plan", at the end of the first paragraph and the line, "as stated in the CASP Review of 2008 the development of an additional rail station at Carrigtwohill West is also recommended", at the end0 of the second paragraph of the proposed amendment.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Garland, Roger.	2042	<p>1. This submission supports a number of the proposed amendments to the Draft CDP. 2. Opposes the deletion of Scenic Areas in 4.14 as these areas require protection. 3. Opposes the deletion of Scenic Areas in 4.15 as these areas require protection. 4. In relation to 4.17, submission states that a combined policy on Tourism & Recreation is required. Submission requests a re-write of the sustainable tourism section to include rural recreation. 5. In relation to 4.18, submission states that a combined policy on Tourism & Recreation is required. Submission requests a re-write of the sustainable tourism section to include rural recreation. 6. Submission is opposed to this change and refers to Kilkenny (S 7.1.1) and Wexford (8.1.2) Development plans for an alternative. 7. In relation to 5.24 the submission is concerned that the policy on Golf Tourism has no recreational and environmental safeguards in this policy. Objects to this unless criteria to ensure that golf course development does not impinge on rights of way / vulnerable dune systems. 8. 5.25 - There appears to be a misprint in the objective, (d) should read (f). Amendment 6.31 - Wording of 1st line should replace discourage with prohibit and 2nd line should be revised. 9. Amendment 6.36 - Need to be more specific about what is meant in the 5th line (see Kerry NR 6-22 p 71). 10. Amendment 6.37 - This would be more appropriate as a policy rather than an additional policy. The proposal should replace obj 7-6. 11. Amendment 7.4 - suggests that page 226, 2nd par, 1st point should consider a time limit of two years. 12. Amendment 7.7 - opposes the deletion of the Hen Harrier Habitats. Also opposes the deletion of 7.1.22 and the omission of protection for the Commoge Marsh. Proposes time limit of 2 years for the habitat mapping project. 13. Amendment 7.10 - suggest substituting two years for during the lifetime of this plan.</p>
Gaynor, Dr Fegal	2542	<p>1. This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan. 2. Submission expresses concern at the road networks capacity to deal with further pressure of development in the area.</p>
Geaney, Seamus	2519	<p>This submission requests that the subject lands, located adjacent to the western boundary of Midleton Town, be removed from the Metropolitan Green Belt designation and incorporated into the Midleton town development boundary and zoned for low density residential development. This request is based on 1) remaining residential zoned land in Midleton (excluding Waterrock) are located south of the N25 and that they have mostly been developed or have planning permission. 2) a current demand for high quality country lane style development 3) exclude the land from the proposed master plan area (Waterrock)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Good, Dorothy	2386	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Gorry, Tony	2232	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>

Grangefield Developments

2531

This submission rejects the modification made to paragraph 6.3.13 of the Draft Plan which reads "when acquiring land for new development, intending developers would ensure that they have control over all the land necessary to address these issues". The submission states that this effectively transfers the Council's responsibility to acquire lands to improve infrastructure on to the developer. It is the Council's remit to acquire land through the medium of Compulsory Purchase Orders in order to bring about social and economic change. This is not the responsibility of the developer. Ultimately developers are not in the position to reasonably acquire lands to ensure the adequate provision of infrastructure without local authority intervention. Developers would be asked to pay significant sums of money, which they

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		could not afford. Zoned land would consequently be left idle and no development would take place resulting in the objectives of the development plan not being met. In conclusion, the submission states that it seeks this modification to be rejected on the grounds that; a) the acquisition of land for transport and road safety issues in association with the modification is not the developer's responsibility and b) the acquisition of such land is under the remit of the local authority. They have the powers to compulsory purchase land at a reasonable price.
Griffin, Anne	2286	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Griffin, Jim & Angela	2469	<p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George’s Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700’s.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700’s.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston’s in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Hargrove, M	2144	Submission relates to Annaghmore and states that local housing and reduced car-commuting is required. Submission states that peak traffic at the Bandon Rd Roundabout will be reduced with less commuting time for commuters from Clonakilty and further.
Harte, Mike	2453	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Harvey, Fionnuala	2393	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Henry Good Ltd	2680	<p>This submission requests the inclusion of additional text as follows: "The town is designated as a district employment centre because of the important role that the tourism economy plays in this part of the County and the future provision of sites for RETAIL AND employment uses will be considered in both the Kinsale Town Plan and the Carrigaline Electoral Area Local Area Plan. In addition, the Retail Strategy for the County identifies Kinsale as a Ring Town with potential for town centre AND BROWNFIELD regeneration forming part of its overall strategy. A REVIEW OF KEY ZONINGS IN KINSALE WILL BE CARRIED OUT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO CLAWBACK TRADE FROM CORK CITY AND TO PROMOTE A SUSTAIABLE SETTLEMENT. The 2006 Housing Land Availability Study identified sufficient land in Kinsale to build about 786 units."</p>
Hill, Don	2530	<p>This submission states that given the strategic importance of Clonakilty within the county, it is considered that a Special Local Area Plan for Clonakilty be made a priority for adoption as soon as possible after the completion of the County Development Plan as it is apparent that the Clonakilty Development Plan will do little to contribute to the achievement of the town's growth targets. The submission therefore proposes that objective SET 1-31 be amended in order to reflect the importance of preparing a Special Local Area Plan which will enable the achievement of the town's growth targets by guiding development both inside and outside of the Town Development boundary. The submission states that the existing SET 1-31 should be amended as follows; "It is an objective of this Plan to develop Clonakilty as an Integrated Employment Centre for West Cork, building on its successful technology park, strong population growth, potential for enhanced transport links and strategic location within West Cork. To this end, a Special Local Area Plan shall be prepared as a matter of priority in order to guide development in both the Town Council and County Council areas". The submission also requests that the supporting text to the objective be amended as follows; replace "Consideration should be given to the need for a Special Local Area Plan to guide this development strategy and it will be important for close co-operation between the Town Council and the County Council in the preparation of this plan", with "It will be important for close co-operation between the Town Council and the County Council in the preparation of the Special Local Area Plan referred to in SET 1-31."</p>
Holland, Eileen	2392	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Holland, Vanessa</i>	2402	<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Holland, William Patrick	2196	<p>version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education</p>

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
JJ Casey Project Management Ltd	2676	<p>This submission proposes that there is a major inequality in retail provision between the northern and southern suburbs. While the Council has attempted to address this imbalance, the submission proposes that this policy needs to be strengthened. The additional 12,000 sqm of convenience floorspace in the City and environs should be concentrated in the Northern Suburbs. To absorb this growth, a new district centre should be created specifically in the North Western Suburbs, an area which is currently deficient in major retail provision. The submission has identified a 43 hectare site that is available for a mixed use development that could accommodate this new centre. Policy ECO3-16 in the retail strategy should be strengthened so as to include the following, "Given the imbalances in the provision of retail provision between the southern and northern suburbs, the Council acknowledge that there is scope for additional district centres in the northern suburbs to address these deficiencies."</p>
John Fleming Construction	2528	<p>This submission states that given the strategic importance of Clonakilty within the county, it is considered that a Special Local Area Plan for Clonakilty be made a priority for adoption as soon as possible after the completion of the County Development Plan as it is apparent that the Clonakilty Development Plan will do little to contribute to the achievement of the town's growth targets. The submission therefore proposes that objective SET 1-31 be amended in order to reflect the importance of preparing a Special Local Area Plan which will enable the achievement of the town's growth targets by guiding development both inside and outside of the Town Development boundary. The submission states that the existing SET 1-31 should be amended as follows; "It is an objective of this Plan to develop Clonakilty as an Integrated Employment Centre for West Cork, building on its successful technology park, strong population growth, potential for enhanced transport links and strategic location within West Cork. To this end, a Special Local Area Plan shall be prepared as a matter of priority in order to guide development in both the Town Council and County Council areas". The submission also requests that the supporting text to the objective be amended as follows; replace "Consideration should be given to the need for a Special Local Area Plan to guide this development strategy and it will be important for close co-operation between the Town Council and the County Council in the preparation of this plan", with "It will be important for close co-operation between the Town Council and the County Council in the preparation of the Special Local Area Plan referred to in SET 1.31."</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Joyce, Gillen	2429	<p>Submission requests that the proposed change 3.20 be amended as follows to include the following text ' the most appropriate location for this is to the north of the town along the Ballynoe Valley as far as Ballywilliam to the East, which will consolidate the commercial function established at Ticknock'. Submission states that there is scope to improve the overall balance of the town to the east of Ticknock with the provision of a business park or other such commercial development. Additional benefits would include potential for improved road networks and to provide a new entrance to the town.</p>
Jute, Charles	2301	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Keane, David

2239

This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:

(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.

(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.

(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.

(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.
Kearney, Annette	2246	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Kearney, Stephen	2428	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 grrnbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasinngas possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.</p>
Keary, Bill	2532	<p>This submission does not affect the modifications under proposed change no. 3.19. The submission states that a greater focus needs to be put on the Lotamore area as an ideal location for residential development along with the Ballyvolane area. It would encourage a more sustainable pattern of development. It is in close proximity to Cork City and Glanmire, which means that it has good services and facilities and transport links. It is close to the N8 and N25 route and the Cork Suburban Rail Corridor. The submisison also states that the land at Lotamore is in a prime location for further residential development and requests that the proposed amendment 3.19 be rejected and should instead read as follows; SET 1-4: It is an objective of this Plan to recognise the important role that this area will play in rebalancing the city socially and economically and relieving excess development from pressure from the City South Environs. In addition the Blarney Local Area Plan will need to set out a framework for the future development of the Ballyvolane and Lotamore area. Although less well served by transportation, sanitary and other infrastructure than other parts of the city, many parts of the North Environs are located close to the city centre. The CASP Update Project, gives priority to locations close to the city for new population</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Keating, Dermot	2199	<p>growth, in addition to the allocation to the city itself and along the railway line. This is designed to meet sustainable development objectives and facilitate public transport provision. It also gives a greater choice of residential location and type for people who would like to live in or close to the city. As a result, a significant increase in population is targeted for the North Environs, and the review of the Blarney Local Area Plan will need to set out a framework for this development.</p> <p>The proposed spatial strategy involves reinforcing the City's role as the engine for growth for the region. It will be important to seek a co-ordinated approach to development in this location, in co-operation with the City Council and to allow for the timely provision of social and physical infrastructure, which is essential to the future development of the area.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Keating, Patrick	2200	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Kelleher, Edward & Margaret	2172	Submission agrees with the proposed designation of Annaghmore
Kelly, Liam	2451	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Kiely, Catherine	2394	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>- Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Kiely, Deidre	2404	<p>Submission is opposed to the development of a new town at Annaghmore on the following grounds - 1. Building on a Bog / Floodplain (need for members to read the new Flood Risk Management Guidelines for Planning Authorities 2008 was highlighted in this submission). 2. Submission states that building a new Town from scratch is unworkable. Problems with public transport, commuting to work, lack of facilities, lack of school places, difficulty in providing Sporting facilities. 3. Submission also noted the problem with placing persons of the same demography in one area, with considering future consequences. 4. Submission requests that the decision to designate Annaghmore as a town be reversed.</p>
Lambe, Edward	2264	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

1700's.

(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.

(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Lane, Liam</i>	2255	<p>signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Lane, Michelle	2256	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
<i>Lewis, Kate</i>	2240	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Louise Howard	2244	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
<i>Love, Clayton</i>	2780	<p>The submitter welcomes the draft County Development Plan and the opportunity to comment on same. He suggests the following changes:</p> <p>Proposed Change 2.3 Overall Economic Strategy, the following paragraph should be added:</p> <p>"Town centre based employment hubs can be created within every metropolitan town and ring town as locations for office based employment. This focus and role must be encouraged. Places like Douglas, Carrigaline, Midleton and Fermoy have major roles to play in delivering employment growth in cork as do all areas adjacent to rail stations"</p> <p>Proposed change 3.10 Carrigaline:</p> <p>"Since the preparation of the Carrigaline Transport Plan a new road has been constructed from the proposed Western relief road to the Ballea road west of the soccer club. This road is a valuable link and will further enhance access to the town centre and will provide greater traffic relief and route options within the town"</p> <p>SET 1-8 needs to be amended as follows:</p> <p>It is an objective of this plan to consolidate the rapid growth of recent years broadly within the towns existing development boundary, protecting the important green belt areas "and ensuring that the town centre with additional retail and commercial activity and associated road networks is delivered"</p> <p>Proposed Change 3.16 - the submitter recommends that the following paragraph be added to compliment Cork City Councils objective 13.46 in the City Development plan for the Douglas District Centre.</p> <p>"Developments that would facilitate or provide traffic and transport solutions that compliment green routes and other City and County initiatives while providing high quality urban design and further enhancing Douglas position as a mixed use District Centre would be appropriate"</p> <p>The submitter recommends that the following should be added to SET 1-3</p> <p>"Development that provide traffic and transport solutions while enhancing and consolidating the urban fabric of the existing Douglas District Centre will be encouraged"</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>Proposed change 3.22 (Fermoy) - the submitter requests that the following paragraph be added:</p> <p>"The town needs to develop sequentially. There is a need for urban renewal and regeneration in the town centre and this should happen sequentially with initial emphasis in the town centre and subsequent developments on the fringes and outer envelope of the town"</p> <p>Proposed change 3.28 (Mallow) - the submitter requests that the following paragraph be added:</p> <p>"Mallow is included as a ring town in CASP and in the Cork Joint Retail Strategy. Provision should be made to remove Mallow from this category of town in acknowledgement of its special status as a hub in the National Spatial Strategy. This will provide headroom for the other ring towns within the Cork Retail Strategy such that they are not overshadowed by Mallow and would also allow Mallow to develop as designated in the National Spatial Strategy as the important hub that it is within the Blackwater Region"</p> <p>Proposed change 3.29 (Midleton) - the submitter recommends that the following paragraph be added:</p> <p>"The Waterrock masterplan should be fast-tracked in order to allow early development of the lands adjacent to the rail corridor and the sequential development of the lands thereafter to include all of the Masterplan Area. If necessary, the Masterplan area should be subdivided or phased to facilitate this. This is essential to support the opening of the rail line and station"</p> <p>The submitter recommends that SET 1-12 should be added to as follows - ". Through the phasing or splitting of the Waterrock Masterplan Area"</p> <p>Proposed change 6.31 (Floodplains) - the submitter recommends that the following changes should be made to INF 5-13:</p> <p>". Developments that are less sensitive to the effects of flooding such as playing pitches and parks, "non critical roads and car parks" may be permissible in flood plains."</p>
Love, Trevor	2454	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Lowes, Tony</i>	2298	<p>of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Luddy, John</i>	2278	<p>This submission opposes the proposed development at Annaghmore as a) it is not consistent with CASP 2001 or the CASP Update or the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines b) it is not located along the suburban rail corridor, c) it would adversely affect the ability of towns like Dunmanway and Clonakilty to attract new business, d) it does not provide for the educational requirements of the new residents and e) it is inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County. The submission proposes that the strategic environmental assessment of the proposed amendments fails to meet the requirements set out in the 2004 SEA Regulations. In relation to Habitats, the SEA fails to identify the specific environmental concerns that may have affect receiving waters in the area. If the specific concerns are not identified they SEA cannot identify measures to prevent or reduce significant environmental effects. In relation to Brownfield sites, the SEA highlights that the proposed development at Annaghmore will have implications in terms of the use of non renewable soil resources but fails to identify these implications and to what degree they could be mitigated against if the proposal was to proceed. The submission proposes that the SEA fails to adequately address the environmental effects of the proposed development on nearby water bodies and the its impact on flooding patterns in the area. The submission also question the level of consideration give to the assessment of the effects of the development on the landscape of the adjoining area. The submission questions that quality of life issues extend beyond transportation issues and have not be thoroughly assessed in the SEA process. The submission proposes that the trend for low density residential development on the periphery of cities and the suburbanisation of satellite villages and tows is unsustainable.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George’s Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="775 1688 1394 1809">(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700’s. <li data-bbox="775 1839 1394 1910">(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700’s. <li data-bbox="775 1939 1394 1989">(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. <li data-bbox="775 2018 1394 2040">(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Lynch, Mary	2192	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the history and heritage of Mitchelstown and should be registered on the RPS.
Lyons, Julie	2266	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Lyons, Michael and Deirdre</i>	2550	<p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>his submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Madden, Declan	2170	<p>Submission supports the proposed settlement at annaghmore. The submission states the proposal will address housing need and bring employment to the area.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Malcolm, Ian	2271	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Mallow Road Residents Association	2669	<p>This submission is a request, from the Mallow Road Residents Association located in Blackpool, to draw attention to a number of important issues affecting the residents ad property interests; namely:- 1) strengthening network of connections between Mallow Road/ Dublin Hill and the Kilbarry Business/Technology Park 2) integrate residential areas of Fairhill and Fairfield with employment areas across the Commons Road, including road junctions. 3) possible park and ride in Blackpool and interchange facilities at station and linked bus services 4) improved pedestrian connectivity</p>
Manning, Tom	2549	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 ggreenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasinngas possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Martin, Cynthia	2304	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Martin, George</i>	2237	<p>- Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible. (5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown. (6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb. (7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
McCarthy Development Ltd.	2664	<p>This submission relates to proposed amendment 3.17 which specifically refers to a neighbourhood centre at Curraheen. The submission states that there are currently no specific lands zoned in Curraheen for a neighbourhood centre and submits that the former FAI grounds which are presently zoned for residential development would be an ideal location for a neighbourhood centre as they can provide the facility proposed without diminishing the quantity of lands zoned as Industry/Enterprise thus maintaining these employment lands while providing additional services for them. The following wording is proposed; "The CASP Update notes that growth in the southwest is focussed on additional job creation in CUH,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		CIT and UCC with the development of the Wilton District Centre and the former FAI grounds, which are currently zoned for Student Village would become the location for the Curraheen Neighbourhood Centre. The document also notes that a location for the provision of science and technology facilities together with a specialist centre for R&D and technical innovation activities modelled on best international practice including focused business space linked to CIT and UCC has been identified at Curraheen on lands west of CIT. A study is currently in hand to review the effectiveness of the existing zoning in Curraheen that will require an amendment to the Carrigaline Electoral Area Local Area Plan".
McCarthy, Kieran.	2166	This submission supports the proposed settlement at Annaghmore for the following reasons (a) It will bring employment / sustainability to the area (b) Majority of development is taking place to the east of the County (c.) The proposal will bring road improvements to the area (d) The project will bring employment to the area (e) There is a need for a new strategy to meet the projected housing needs of the Cork area (f) Proposal will help West Cork to grow (g) Annaghmore will provide a 'stepping stone' location. (h) The settlement will have excellent access to the N71.
McCarthy, Mary	2389	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>McCutcheon Mulcahy</i>	2160	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission feels that the proposed parking standard for Office Developments of 8 spaces per 100 sqm of gross floor area is not in line with other development / local area plans. 2. The submission proposes that a new parking standard of a

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		maximum of 5 spaces per 100 sqm should be applied in the County Plan.
McCutcheon Mulcahy	2522	This submission raises issues concerning the democratic deficiency of including significant matters such as the Housing and Retail Strategies at the Amendment Stage rather than the Draft Stage. There is no scope for significant modification to these amendments under Section 12 of the Act. If adopted, the plan may not be significantly robust to withstand legal scrutiny on the procedures adopted. The 1996 car parking standards should have been reviewed.
McCutcheon Mulcahy	2525	This submission requests a modification of the proposed change 8.9 by the insertion of the following text in paragraph "8.13.3. The Draft Consultation Guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas place a new emphasis ON the need to select school sites which maximise the potential for sustainable mobility patterns, offer the potential to share major public open spaces and can support other community uses outside of school hours. In addition the Guidelines stress the importance of school provision keeping pace with development and the need for substantial development proposals to be accompanied by an assessment of the capacity of the schools in an area to cater for the demand for school places likely to be generated by the development. In particular the guidelines RECOMMEND that applications for in excess of 200 dwelling units should be accompanied by a report identifying the demand for school places likely to be generated by the proposal and the capacity of existing schools in the vicinity to cater for such demand. IN ORDER TO FACILITATE THIS, THE COUTY COUNCIL WILL ANNUALLY COLLATE DATA FROM SCHOOLS IN THE COUNTY AREA TO ESTABLISH THEIR CURRENT SCHOOL ROLLS, THEIR CURRENT CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE ADDITIONAL PUPILS AND THEIR EXPANSION PLANS. THE COUNCIL WILL MAKE THIS DATA AVAILABLE TO APPLICANTS TO ALLOW THEM TO PRODUCE EDUCATIONAL NEED REPORTS. In very large scale residential developments (800+ units), planning authorities are obliged to consider whether there is a need to link the phased completion of dwellings with the provision of new school facilities. IN ORDER TO AVOID POTENTIAL DIFFICULTIES, THE COUNTY COUNCIL, WILL AIM TO IDENTIFY SCHOOLS SITES AND ANY PHASING REQUIREMENTS DURING THE LOCAL PLAN PRODUCTION RATHER THAN THE DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PROCESS.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McCutcheon Mulcahy	2665	<p>This submission relates to the proposed car parking policy contained in the amendments document. The submission states that car parking policy is an integral part of any plan and expresses concern that these issues have only been introduced at the Amendment stage of the plan making process. The submission states that there is a need for a co-ordinated approach between the City and County Councils. Whilst the transport strategy has shifted to encourage less car borne traffic, the general car parking standards have not changed and the car parking requirements are considered to be very high. A fundamental change in approach to parking will be required if a more sustainable transport network is to evolve. An essential part of this will be to significantly lessen the parking required. The submission adds that it is encouraging that the Council seems to be moving in a more appropriate direction with the acceptance that in certain circumstances it may be appropriate to encourage parking provision at less than the required standard. However no guidance is provided as to what "certain developments" may be considered for a reduced standard or where no parking provision might be appropriate. The City Council car parking requirement for suburban public houses reflect the current demands for bars and restaurants and the application of standards such as these would more accurately define the car parking requirements. In addition the submission states that it is inequitable to require a development contribution to be paid on the basis of a car parking requirement which is set at an artificially high level. If it is accepted that there are instances such as town centres where a lesser parking requirement is appropriate, the standard in these areas should be reduced accordingly. The submission therefore asks that the Council amend the text of proposed change 6.21 to include additional text as follows; Under the paragraph entitled Guidance for areas where on site provision may not be appropriate the following additional text is proposed; FOR EXAMPLE WHEN ASSESSING developments in town centres, designated in Local Area Plans across the County, the Council will actively seek parking provision at a level below the WIDER PARKING standard IN LIEU OF THE COMPLETION OF A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THE PARKING STANDARDS FOR THESE TYPE OF AREAS. These contributions will be used to provide a range of public transport options in the area, in an attempt to facilitate a move from the private car to public and more energy efficient modes of transport WHERE PROPOSED SCHEMES EXIST. IN SUCH INSTANCES, TO ACCORD WITH THE TERMS OF SECTION 48 (12) OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ACT 2000, PLANNING PERMISSION WILL BE GRANTED SUBJECT TO A CONDITION WHICH SPECIFIES THE PARTICULAR WORKS THAT THE CONTRIBUTION WILL BE USED TOWARDS. WHERE THESE WORKS DO NOT COMMENCE WITHIN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD THE MONIES WILL BE RETURNED. In paragraph B) of the Development Plan Objective the following changes are proposed; For EXAMPLE WHEN ASSESSING developments within designated town and neighbourhood centres, designated in Local Area Plans, the Council will actively seek a reduced parking provision IN LIEU OF THE COMPLETION OF A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THE PARKING STANDARDS FOR THESE TYPE OF AREAS. C) In such cases, developers will be required to make a financial contribution, in lieu of parking provision not required, to the cost of providing alternative transport facilities WHERE PROPOSED SCHEMES EXIST. IN SUCH INSTANCES, TO ACCORD WITH THE TERMS OF SECTION 48 (12) OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ACT 2000, PLANNING PERMISSION WILL BE GRANTED SUBJECT TO A CONDITION WHICH SPECIFIES THE PARTICULAR WORKS THAT THE CONTRIBUTION WILL BE USED TOWARDS. WHERE THESE WORKS DO NOT COMMENCE</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>WITHIN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD THE MONIES WILL BE RETURNED etc. In the final paragraph of the proposed</p> <p>change the following text is proposed; The CASP Update identified the need for greater integration between the parking policies of Cork City Council and Cork County Council. It is the County Councils intention to carry out a detailed review, SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATE PUBLIC CONSULTATION, of the above standards within ONE YEAR of this plan coming into effect with this aim. In addition we propose that as Cork City Council has already undertaken a reivew of their car parking standards and has included a zonal approach to car parking in the City associating car parking requirements based on the location in the city, i.e. Zone 1 - Inner City, Zone 2 - Public Transportation Corridors and Zone 3 - the remainder of the City. In light of this zonal approach the submission suggests that in the North and South City Environs the Zone 3 Parking Standards should be applied in order to facilitate consistency between Ring Towns, County Towns and those suburbs within the City's Boundary with those located in the County, e.g. Douglas. This approach would encourage competitiveness for developments to locate in these suburbs, particulary office, restaurants and bars. The reintroduction of parking standards which are already out of date do not adhere to the strategies in the CASP update which support an integration of parking policies within the CASP area and the introduction of a care restraint to Cork and proposes to reduce parking availability in urban locations to improve the pedestrian environment.</p>
McEvoy, Elanor	2191	<p>This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the history and heritage of Mitchelstown and should be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.</p>
McGrath, Brid	2288	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible. (5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McGrath, Diarmuid	2292	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
McGrath, Leila	2289	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McGrath, Margaret	2293	<p>Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McGrath, Marie	2290	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
McGrath, Michael	2291	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
McSweeney, Carol	2305	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential

impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Midleton College	2675	This submission proposes that additional lands in Midleton be zoned for residential development. The lands are currently in the ownership of Midleton College and are zoned for recreational use and open space. The College no longer require these lands as they have expanded their sporting facilities within the school grounds. The development of these lands would facilitate the growth of the town in a planned and sustainable manner. The submission proposes that the line, "it is not envisaged that this will require significant lands to be zoned", be removed from proposed change 3.29, as this could preclude the development of this site. Additional text, "The CASP Update envisages further population growth along the rail line to the north and east of the City including Midleton, this may require a review of additional zoned land in the areas immediately surrounding the rail line and proposed stations, in addition to alterations in densities already achieved", should be included in the proposed amendment.
Moloney, Eileen	2234	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible. (5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown. (6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb. (7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780. (8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots. (9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

Mullins, Margaret

2259

This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:

(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.

(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.

(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.

(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>

Mulvihill, Denis

2308

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Murphy O'Connor Ltd</i>	2687	<p>environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is requesting a clear direction for the review of the Bantry Electoral Area Local Area Plan with respect to the appropriate location for the new retail uses. In this submission, reference is made to the need for the review of the Bantry Electoral Area Local Area Plan and suggestion for areas of review has been suggested. These include assessing the vitality and viability of the town centre. The submission recommends that strong policy objectives for the delivery of complementary supporting uses to include retailing is required. The submission calls for a clear and unambiguous strategy for the future provision of retailing in the town.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Murphy, Anita	2426	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 greenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasing as possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.</p>
Murphy, Deidre	2403	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Murphy, John</i>	2425	<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 grrenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasinngas possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The porposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.</p>
Murphy, Thomas	2227	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
National Roads Authority	2043	<p>General National Road Issues It is recommended that policies should be adopted so as to avoid the undermining of the strategic transport function of all national roads not just national primary routes as indicated under proposed change no. 6.12. This is critical, as acknowledged elsewhere in the draft plan, the function of national secondary routes such as the N71 are of a strategic nature. The submission reiterates in relation to the changes indicated in 6.12 that policies should be adopted so as to avoid the undermining of the strategic transport function of national roads, by measures intended to cater for the needs of local traffic and local development related traffic, which should more appropriately be addressed within the framework of providing an adequate local transport infrastructure. Reflecting the role of the proposed Atlantic Corridor the Authority requests the inclusion of management of major inter-urban traffic.</p> <p>Projects The submission reiterates that the NRA is concentrating on the completion of the major interurban routes (Dublin to Cork, Limerick, Galway, Waterford and the Border) in the period to end 2010.</p> <p>Annaghmore On examination of amendments 2.5, 2.6, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28 and 9.2 which introduce the insertion of a new town, at Annaghmore, the National Roads Authority is concerned that the proposal provides for effectively a new town, with an addition of 1,500 residential units in the village of Annaghmore appears to contravene materially the newly updated CASP. The Authority advises that the development of this proposed new town adjacent to the N71 where a speed limit greater than 50kph applies and requiring access to the national route would have an adverse significant effect on the national roads network, is contrary to official policy and inconsistent with the measures to protect the carrying capacity, safety and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		operational efficiency of the national road network.
		The Council is advised that it is under these circumstances, and having regard to the Authority's stated objectives seeking to uphold official policy concerning protection of the investment in the improvement of national road infrastructure and to maintain the safety, capacity and efficiency of such roads that, the Authority strongly recommends that the planning authority reject this proposal.
		<p>Buttevant Under proposed change number 3.9, the Authority considers the inclusion of reference to direct links to the National Primary Route Network is prejudicial to ongoing assessments and subsequent statutory process for the national road schemes which will be submitted to An Bord Pleanala for consideration in the coming year(s). In the circumstances, the inclusion of these statements in the text is premature pending the outcomes of not only specific assessments to be approved by the NRA but also the publication of the CPO and EIS for the remaining schemes. The submission reminds the Council that although Buttevant is located on the Atlantic Gateway Corridor, it is not a Gateway or Hub designated in the National Spatial Strategy. Accordingly, the Authority recommends that the statement direct links to the National Primary Route Network be omitted.</p>
		<p>Little Island NRA commends the Council on the proposed emphasis on the public transportation in the strategic employment location of Little Island. However the Authority would like to suggest that the proposed changes in amendments no. 3.26 and 5.8 should be co-ordinated.</p>
		<p>Monard Under proposed change numbers 3.3.2 and 6.1, (figure 1) the Authority wishes to state that the location of "possible future interchanges" and access arrangements to the national route network is currently the subject of ongoing assessments and statutory processes which have yet to be undertaken for national road schemes which will be submitted to An Bord Pleanala for consideration in the coming year(s). In the circumstances the inclusion of these possible future interchanges is premature pending the outcomes of not only specific assessments to be approved by the NRA but also the publication of the CPO and EIS for the remaining schemes.</p> <p>NRA request that it is consulted as a stakeholder in the preparation of either the non-statutory masterplan or an SDZ.</p>
		<p>Green Bus Routes In regards to amendment 6.8, as indicated previously the Authority supports the provision of public transport as part of a land use and transportation strategy for an area which considers land use planning, funding, and infrastructure provision. In this regard it is recommended that a co-ordinated approach be taken with regard to the provision of these facilities.</p> <p>However, it should be noted that the provision, operation and funding of public transportation infrastructure such as park and ride and bus priority lanes are outside the normal remit of the NRA and thus are not part of national road schemes. Thus having regard to the Authority's stated objectives in relation to protection of the safety, capacity and efficiency in national roads, the Authority advises that the additional infrastructural requirements and potential costs (land and infrastructure) associated with such facilities will be borne by</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Nealon, Peter	2195	<p>the local authority.</p> <p>Retail Strategy Under amendment 5.13, which refers to the retail strategy, the Authority is concerned that no background material has been provided with regard to the deriving of additional retail floorspace in Table 2 included page 149. The Authority also requests that reference in the retail strategy should be made to the Retail Planning Guidelines objectives, which relate to National Roads and transportation in particular paragraphs 26, 65 and 84.</p> <p>The submission also requests, that it is consulted as a stakeholder at the earliest stages in the preparation of local area plans, and masterplans in areas, which will affect the national road network.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Nolan, Laura	2225	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the</p>

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Nolan, Mary	2224	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Noonan, Alice	2285	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
<i>O' Donoghue, Margo</i>	2543	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 grenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasing as possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.</p>
<i>O' Donoghue, Patrick</i>	2544	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 ggreenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasing as possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.
O Donovan, Donal	2175	1. Submission expresses disappointment that the representation made in relation to the allocation of land at Waterfall for a Nursing Home and Retirement Village was not accepted. 2. States that the provision of accommodation for the elderly has been under-estimated in the CDP and has failed to have regard to other important Council policies. 3. Exceptional measures will have to be put in place to facilitate the building of homes for older people. 4. Proposal relates to a residential development (specifically a Nursing Home / Retirement village for the elderly) within the Green Belt. 5. Submission states that the Council should be pro-active in making specific allocations and give favourable consideration to the proposed development.
O Mahony, Pat	2167	This submission supports the proposed settlement at Annaghmore for the following reasons (a) It will bring employment / sustainability to the area (b) Majority of development is taking place to the east of the County (c.) The proposal will bring road improvements to the area (d) The project will bring employment to the area (e) There is a need for a new strategy to meet the projected housing needs of the Cork area (f) Proposal will help West Cork to grow (g) Annaghmore will provide a 'stepping stone' location. (h) The settlement will have excellent access to the N71.
O' Riordan, George.	2083	Submission requests that subject lands are zoned for residential / commercial use in the CDP. Submission requests that the subject lands (within the Metropolitan GreenBelt) be included within the boundary of Monard town. The submission states that the proposal above is reflected in the proposed amendment (no 3.32) for Monard.
O' Sullivan, Patrick	2452	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan. Proposed amendment to section 2.4; In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289. The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern. Proposed amendment to section 2.5: In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
O'Brien, Mary And Timothy	2222	Lands in Ballyanly, Inniscarra to be rezoned for development.
O'Callaghan, Colm	2445	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Callaghan, Edel	2444	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Callaghan, Michael	2443	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>O'Connor, Nellie</i>	2265	<p>version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Donovan, Barry	2198	This submission proposes the word "integrated" be removed from the text of this proposed amendment as it would not allow for a stand alone submission for healthcare, education or sports facilities to be put forward. The submission also proposed that the word only (other lands will only be considered) be removed as it is restrictive.
O'Donovan, Finbarr	2295	This submission supports the upgrading of existing neighbourhood centres or the provision of a new district centre in the north west of Cork City North Environs to cater for the needs of the growing population in the The Meadows, Knocknaheny, Hollyhill, Guaranabraher and Churchfield areas.
O'Dwyer, Geraldine	2274	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
O'Dwyer, Gerard	2277	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>

O’Flynn Construction

2523

This submission responds to the concerns raised by the Strategic Environmental Assessment of Proposed Change No 3.42, the intension to designate a new settlement at Annaghmore. 1) Habitats - a detailed environmental assessment of receiving waters and mitigation measures to be carried out during the detailed design and planning stage 2) Prioritising Brownfield Sites/Conserving Soil - contends that development of Annaghmore will have a neutral impact on EPO -S1 because other towns will also require greenfield development based on high growth rates 3) Water Supply- an existing 300mm water line passing near the area will become available for use (when carrigaline get its own water from Inniscarra) and there will be no need for additional public infrastrcuture. 4) Flood Risk - the environemntal assessment and master plan will ensure that the development of the site

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>will follow best practice in minimising flood risk and avoiding exacerbating of any downstream flooding. 5) Wastewater - treated wastewater will be discharged into the tidal Bandon River downstream from Innishannon and there will be no public funding required. There will be no negative environmental effects on either the Owenabue and Bandon Rivers. 6) Stormwater - only 50% of the site will be developed with the remainder being used for amenity with sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) thereby limiting runoff into the Owenabue River catchment. 7) Landscape - a strategic landscape development framework will identify a hierarchy of open space, vistas, structural screen planting through a phased implementation programme of development. 8) Quality of Life - the provision of well designed alternative travel modes (pedestrian, cycle and parking) will improve quality of life in the town but there will be additional traffic on local and regional roads that can be alleviated by an improved re-alignment of the national road and a diversion of west cork bus services via Annaghmore. 9) Other Issues - population growth targets for the main settlement have been allocated without the assessment of the settlement's capacity to absorb growth. Annaghmore site selection process involved a review of planning policy, land suitability, accessibility and transport, market demand, infrastructure provision and socio-economic issues. Also a full assessment of the sites capacity to absorb the new settlement has been completed and submitted to the CASP Update process. 10) the designation of Annaghmore as a new settlement is no more, or less in conflict with the SEA process than attributing enhanced growth targets to existing settlements, without a corresponding detailed review of land and infrastructure availability within these settlements.</p>
O'Grady, Nora	2258	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George’s Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
O’Loughlin, Biaithnaid	2310	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Reilly, Marius	2684	<p>This submission seeks to highlight the omission of any reference to the future development of Blarney village in both the draft plan and the proposed amendments. This submission is requesting that the draft plan is amended to include 3 points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) explicit reference to the potential to consolidate and grow the existing settlement. 2) explicit reference to the sustainability of the entire Blarney settlement and 3) explicit reference that the development of a new centre at Stoneview should not be to the detriment of the existing village core. <p>Several arguments are offered in this submission in reference to the three points above. Extracts are taken from the NSS with regard to developing and consolidating existing towns and building up their employment and service functions. Reference is made to the CASP Update and the expected population growth in Blarney around the proposed new railway station and finally excerpts from the Draft Plan, highlighting two objectives. These objectives highlight the major mixed use development at Blarney-Kilabry SLAP with the re-opening of the railway and an objective to protect the character of the older part of Blarney village.</p> <p>The submission argues that the development of Blarney is focused to the North east of the town to the detriment of the existing urban area. It is further argued that the plan should make reference to the highly sustainable location of Blarney village in tandem with the new railway opening. The submission asserts that the absence of a specific objective to support and consolidate the existing village will undermine any future investment in the area.</p>
O'Shea, Eleanor	2263	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. 2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		1700's.
		(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.
		(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Shea, Joe	2261	<p>Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
<i>O'Shea, Pat and Tim</i>	2518	<p>This submission seeks to have the subject site, which is located south of the Model Farm Road between Cork City and Ballincollig, to be removed from the presently designated A1 Metropolitan Green Belt and considered for zoning to "mixed use and industrial research" development. This new zoning would: 1) compliment the development of a science park to the south at Curraheen and 2) will fulfill the Council's objective that "ideally, a proposed science park should be located close to third level institutions and should include a strong emphasis on R & D activities." 3) encourage a link road between the N22 and Model Farm road and CIT to the west.</p>
<i>O'Sullivan, Eileen</i>	2245	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
O'Sullivan, John	2279	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.
		(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.
		(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Sullivan, Liam	2236	<p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
O'Sullivan, Martin	2248	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Sullivan, Mary	2280	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
O'Sullivan, Michael (Beara Chamber of Commerce)	2101	<p>This submission relates to four amendments to the Draft CDP. 1) The submision requests that the proposal to designate the peninsular region as a Special Scenic Landscape be deferred until the Local Area Plan review process begins in 2009. Futher consultation is required before this area is designated as a Special Scenic Landscape. 2) Clarification is requested on the impact that the scenic route profile will have on future planning applications in the West Cork Region and the submission requests that the text be amended to ensure that a blanket ban on development is not interpreted in this objective. 3) Request that paragraph 2.3.37 be amended by deleting the following text (d) 'To recognise the international importance and the importance to the regions tourism economy, of the scenic and landscape qualities of the coastal and upland areas, particularly along the peninsulas in the southwest and to protect these landscapes from inappropriate development.' Concern is raised with regard to the wording 'inappropriate development'. 4) The text of RCI 2-14 (b) be amended to exclude the words "suitable site being available".</p>
O'Sullivan, Pdraig	2231	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
<i>Percival, Sophia</i>	2243	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.
		(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.
		(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.
		(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Port of Cork</i>	2478	<p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>This submission proposes the proposed amendments to the Draft County Development Plan would adversely impact future port development proposals at Ringaskiddy. While the Draft Plan and the CASP Update supported the relocation of port activities to Ringaskiddy, the proposed amendment, in light of the recent decision by An Bord Pleanala, will create a policy vacuum in relation to the provision of new port facilities. Proposed Change No 2.3 - the Port of Cork have requested that this amendment be deleted and the Coucil commit to including the recommendations of the CASP Update into the Development Plan. The relocation of the container terminal to Ringaskiddy and the upgrading of the N28 to cater for freight traffic generated by the Port at Ringaskiddy should also be endorsed. Proposed Change 3.18 - The Port of Cork request that the wording of proposed change 3.18 be amended so as to include CASP recommendations and give a commitment to the relocation of the Tivoli container terminal to Ringaskiddy. The word possible in amendment 3.18 should be replaced with the word "planned". Proposed Change 3.35 - Additional text should be added to Proposed change 3.35. SET 1-36 should be amended to read as follows, "It is an objective of this Plan to encourage the development of Ringaskiddy as a major location for port development and large-scale industry taking account of the proposals to upgrade the road infrastructure to facilitate freight transport; to enhance public transport including the provision of a high quality green route and protect the environment of the existing residential community to continue the sustainable development of Ringaskiddy." The following text should also be added to proposed Change 3.35: "The review of the Carrigaline Electoral Area Plan will consider the following issues: upgrading the road infrastructure to facilitate freight transport from the port at Ringaskiddy".</p> <p>"The Planning Authorities in conjunction with the Port of Cork will need to carefully assess the issues recently raised by An Bord Pleanála in relation to Ringaskiddy regarding (the scale and nature of future port development and possible alternatives, to be removed) the capacity of the national road network; the allocation of that capacity between strategic freight and local commuter traffic and the feasibility of transferring strategic freight traffic from road to rail."</p> <p>Proposed Changes 5.7 and 6.24 The Port of Cork request the planning authority delete Proposed Changes No. 5.7 and 6.24 on the grounds that: a)The planning authority is committed to including the recommendations of the CASP Update in the Amended Draft of the Plan, b) The relocation of the Tivoli container terminal to Ringaskiddy has been specifically endorsed in the CASP Update, c) There are specific proposals to upgrade the road infrastructure to facilitate freight transport and d) the implication of the Proposed Change is that the planning authority no longer supports the relocation of the container terminal to Ringaskiddy and no longer intends to support the upgrade of the N28 to cater for freight traffic generated by the port at Ringaskiddy.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Quigley, Hughie	2515	This submission objects to the inclusion of Home Rule Terrace, Mitchelstown as an Architectural Conservation Area in the County Development Plan. The terrace has been included in the list of new and revised ACA's. The submission includes photographs which show that the residences have changed considerably over the years and states that there is no reason why Home Rule Terrace should be included as an ACA. -
Quigley, Hughie and Margaret	2159	This submission raises a number of concerns relating to the inclusion of Home Rule Terrace as an Architectural Conservation Area. The concerns raised are as follows; 1) There are similar buildings in the locality which are not included as ACA's in the plan. 2) There are ten houses in the terrace, three of which have been altered extensively. The concern is that the ACA designation will restrict future development. 3) A planning application to build a large addition to one of the properties was refused. This illustrates that the existing planning guidelines are more than adequate to preserve the architectural integrity of the area. 4) The residents are aware of the historical and architectural significance of the area and an ACA would only restrict improvements to the area.
Quirke, Margaret	2253	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Quirke, Pat	2252	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.
<i>Raleigh, Anne-Marie</i>	2194	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Rea, T.J.	2078	<p>This submission proposes that the proposed extension of the Architectural Conservation Area in Mitchelstown should not be extended to include Home Rule Terrace as the majority of houses in this area have had significant external works. The requirement for planning permission would protect the historic character of the area without the need to designate the area as an ACA.</p>
Reily, Alan	2327	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.
Riverbank Developments	2529	This submission relates to proposed change no. 3.25. The submission states that in addition to the proposed change to Objective SET 1-18 and the supporting text that clarity and emphasis should be provided in the Plan regarding other

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Robinson, Pearl</i>	2241	<p>development objectives for the town and its environs particularly given the town's status as a district employment centre. The CDP should recognise that its development in this regard will, in the interests of sustainability require the provision of sites for a range of uses and not just employment. The submission also states that a boundary be identified outside of which future development sites should not be considered in the next plan period. This submission therefore proposes that SET 1-18 be amended as follows; "It is an objective of this plan to protect and enhance the natural and built heritage assets of the town for appropriate development and to facilitate the development of the town as a district employment centre and a principal tourist attraction, including the consolidation and regeneration of the built developed area within its scenic and coastal setting". The submission also proposes that the supporting text to this objective be amended as follows; Kinsale has a magnificent enclosed harbour, which includes two important forts and the protection of its scenic coastal environment is important to the economic future of the town. Continued improvements to the road infrastructure in Kinsale should relieve congestion. The review of the Bandon Electoral Area Plan will make provision for enhanced services and social infrastructure and marine leisure facilities. It should be noted that the Retail Strategy for the County identifies Kinsale as a Ring Town with potential for town centre regeneration forming part of its overall strategy. In addition to this, the town is designated as a district employment centre because of the important role that the tourism economy plays in this part of the County, and the future provision of sites for employment uses within the town and its environs will be considered in both the Kinsale Town Plan and the Bandon Electoral Area Local Area Plan. New sites for employment , and other appropriate uses should be located inside the route of the proposed Kinsale Relief Road. The 2006 Housing Land Availability Study identified sufficient land in Kinsal to built about 786 units.</p> <p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible. (5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown. (6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb. (7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Roche, Ellen	2276	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		1700's.
		(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.
		(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.
		(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.
		(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.
		(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.
		(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.
		(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.
		(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.
		(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.
		(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.
		(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.
		(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.
		(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.
		(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.
		(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.
		(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.
Ross, Esther	2385	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Rossdale Enterprises	2397	<p>The submission proposes that the following line, "the local area Plan will revisit areas where it can be demonstrated that established zonings in the rail corridor are limiting development potential and sustainable patterns of development", be added to the added to the first paragraph of proposed change 5.10.</p>
Rossdale Enterprises	2396	<p>This submission proposes that the wording of proposed change 3.32 be amended to read as following: "The precise location of this off site infrastructure (which may include lands for transportation/commercial based land uses between the rail line and the N20) will need to be specified and designed in more detail in, or in parallel with the masterplan for Monard, given the location of the N20 Cork Northern Relief Road junction and to be subject to any necessary approved procedures before or at the same time as the masterplan."</p>
Rossdale Enterprises Ltd	2440	<p>This submission proposes that additional text be added to SET1-4 in proposed change 3.19. The last line of the objective should read as follows, "In addition, the Blarney Local Area plan will need to set out a framework and an integrated plan for the future development of the Ballyvolane area." A draft framework plan is included for consideration by the Planning Authority.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Russell, Anna Maria	2184	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. The submission incorrectly states that the Bridewell was listed in the proposed RPS in the Draft Plan. The Bridewell was not listed in the Draft Plan. This submission states that the site is of considerable historical and architectural significance. The submission also references a petition of over 700 people that was submitted objecting to the demolition of the Bridewell in order to provide a second entrance to the Mart site.
Russell, Kay	2182	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p> <p>The Submission also includes a petition of 714 people who would like to see that a) Hill Cottage is listed as a protected structure, b) the wall behind Hill Cottage is not demolished to make way for a second entrance to Cork marts property, c) the present Mart entrance is used to accommodate all traffic to and from future developments at the Cork Mart site, d) the entrance on the Kildorrery Road be considered in the event that an additional entrance is required e) the architectural importance of Mitchelstown is acknowledged and all the trees on George's Street are protected and f) Hill Cottage is not demolished to make way for a second entrance to Cork marts property.</p>
<i>Russell, Kay</i>	2183	<p>This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission outlines in detail the history of the Bridewell and the reasons why it should be added to the RPS. The submission also makes reference to a petition that has been included objecting to the demolition of the bridewell in order to provide a second entrance to the Mart site.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Ryan, Charley	2681	<p>This submission contends that the clients lands in Bandon were zoned O-02 (with a residential potential) previously in the 2002 draft County Development Plan (as amended) but was mistakenly changed to O-04 (without residential potential) in the adopted 2003 plan, thereby reducing the residential value of the property. This submission requests that proposed change no 3.6 be amended to include the following new text: "The setting of Bandon within the Bandon River Valley provides an attractive backdrop for the town and should be protected. As part of the Strategic Transport Improvement Corridor proposed for the route from Cork to Clonakilty, consideration will be given to the need to improve the present route removing through traffic from the town. In addition, the Retail Strategy for the County identifies Bandon as a Ring Town with potential for town centre regeneration forming part of its overall strategy. CASP Update has provided guidance on the scale of future growth of the settlement and the Bandon Electoral Area Plan will make provision for enhanced services and social infrastructure. The 2006 Housing Land Availability Study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local Area Plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 units. LOCAL ALTERATIONS TO ADDRESS ZONE BOUNDARIES AND OTHER MATTERS WILL BE CONSIDERED IN THE LAP REVIEW."</p>
Ryan, David	2668	<p>This submission relates to the proposed change 5.13 which relates to section 5.4 of the draft plan. The submission specifically relates a planning permission which was granted in 2008 (reg. ref. 07/9410). Permission was granted for 3 retail warehouse units each of which has a floor size of approx. 700sqm. It is noted that the Draft Plan currently states that there is a minimum cap of 700sqm on Retail Warehouses and that 30% of the proposed new Retail Warehouse space will be allocated to the Ring and County towns. The submission requests that the minimum size of retail units be reviewed specifically for Ring and County towns. Concern is expressed regarding this minimum cap and the affect it may have on the viability of Retail Warehousing in smaller towns. It is noted that there are a number of developments in the Munster region with a floor area less than the minimum cap and it requests that consideration is given to removing the reference to a minimum size of Retail Warehouse unit and removing the reference to a presumption against sub-division of the units as currently stated in Section 5.4 of the Draft Plan. In conclusion the submission states that it is increasingly difficult to sell or lease units which have a minimum size of 700 square metres and that units in the region of 300-350sqm are far more appropriate for smaller towns.</p>
Ryle, Cameron.	2035	<p>Submission states that interested party was unable to view the study on 'Other locations' (SET 1.44 of the Draft County Development Plan) which prevented him from commenting on this aspect of the plan. Submission requests that Council members be informed of this defect in the consultation process before the Draft Plan is put before them. Submission requests when and to what cost this submission was prepared.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Scally, Joe, Margaret and Mark</i>	2686	This submission relates to Harbour Point Golf Course. The purpose of this submission is to update and advise Cork County Council on the status of Harbour Point Golf Course and to request that Cork County Council consider the future development potential of this significant land bank for primarily employment uses in accordance with the provisions of the CASP update 2008, the draft County Development Plan 2007 and its proposed amendments. The redevelopment of Harbour Point Golf Course will have the effect of reinforcing the position of Little Island as a Strategic Employment Location in accordance with the provisions of the Proposed Amendments and both National and Local Policies and objectives.
<i>Schull IFA</i>	2072	This submission request that the proposal to designate the south west peninsulas as a Special Scenic Landscape be deferred until the Local Area Plan review process begins in 2009. The submission states that the blanket coverage of these pensinsulas is a major divergence from the planned areas in the previous Development Plan map. The submission requests that more time be given to consulting the public on this matter.
<i>Sheehan, Ann</i>	2250	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Sheehan, John	2666	<p>The submission states that the wording of proposed change 3.24 as proposed would appear to restrict the Planning Authority's Aims and Objectives for the town and it is suggested that the following revised wording to the proposed amendment might be as follows; "The Local Area Plan will encourage the redevelopment of existing Brownfield sites within the town centre but in the event of such sites not becoming available or accessible will give serious consideration to the development for such retail purposes of alternative sites within the town boundary where sites are either serviced or capable of being serviced at a reasonable cost".</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Sheehan, Lisa George's	2247	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Sinnott, Kathy MEP	2470	<p>1. Submission is opposed to the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore. 2. Submission objects to the proposed amendments 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 and submits observations relating to the Environmental Assessment and amendment ref no 3.42. 3. Submission states there is a history of flooding in the region. 4. Annaghmore has been identified as a 'vulnerable area' and the Owebneue River referred to as 'at risk'. 5. The inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP does not co-ordinate with the integrated transport system planned for the County up to and including 2020 and will impact on the strategic plans for economic development of the County and quality of life. 6. Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale to reach their target population and potential employment growth. 7. submission expresses concern at the absence of provision for post-primary school educational facilities in the proposed development. 8. Dept of Education guidelines state that settlements with a population scale of Annaghmore will not be able to support the development of new secondary schools. 9. Concern is expressed at the lack of adequate infrastructure and no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management. 10. submission states that it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in the CDP.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Sinnott, Kathy, MEP.	2482	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>- Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Tesco Ireland Ltd	2679	<p>Proposed Change 5.13 This submission proposes that the retail sector is a dynamic and constantly evolving sector and the County Development Plan must acknowledge this and promote policies that encourage and foster investment in the retail sector. The additional floorspace requirements set out in the retail strategy are overly conservative especially those relating to the ring and county towns. The allocation of floorspace should reflect the increases in population experienced in these towns which in turn will reduce consumer expenditure leakage from these areas. The submission also proposes that the County development Plan should acknowledge that "there is a need to provide more new district and employment centres within established or emerging residential areas or on edge or out of town locations where clearly no opportunity exists in the town centre to accommodate major convenience retailing." The Development Plan should also ensure that when potential sites are being identified in the LAP, they must be suitable and viable to meet the requirements of modern retail formats and are available within the lifetime of the plan. The plan should also acknowledge that where the expansion of a town centre is constrained due to topographical or physical impediments that consideration would be given to alternative edge or out of town centre sites. The Development Plan should also reiterate the objective that retail developments should be permitted in areas of residential growth. In order to do this, alternative edge or out of centres locations may be required to be looked at. Proposed Change 3.7</p> <p>The submission proposes that the Plan must acknowledge the topographical constraints that exist in Bantry. Additional text should be added with regard to retail development in Bantry. This should read as follows; "The Council recognise that due to the topographical constraints within the town core, there are limited opportunities to meet the Future bulk food shopping</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

needs within the town centre and consequently edge or out of centre sites will be considered."

Proposed Change 3.20

The submission suggests that typographical and heritage constraints limit the potential for intensification of the town centre in Cobh. Additional text should be added with regard to retail development in Cobh. This should read as follows; "The Council notes that the expansion of the town centre is constrained due to topographical physical impediments and that consideration be given to alternative edge or out of town locations for major retail convenience provision in accordance with the sequential test approach advocated in the Retail Planning Guidelines."

Proposed Change 3.13

Additional text should be added the section on Charleville to reflect the fact that the town serves a catchment area outside the county. The text should read as follows, "The Council notes that the town is located in close proximity to the Limerick boarder and that retail development in the town also serves the catchment area of limerick."

Proposed Change 3.24

Additional text should be added the section on Kanturk. This should read as follows, "the Plan will incorporate a realistic sequential approach to site selection, identifying sufficient suitable, viable and available sites for appropriate retail development. In particular, this approach will recognise the specific characteristics of particular retail formats such as convenience retailing, which may not always be capable of being accommodated on brown field sites and which may be more appropriate to meet comparison retail deficiencies."

Proposed Change 3.25

Additional text should be added the section on Kinsale in order to secure the objectives of the retail strategy for Kinsale. This should read as follows, "The Council notes that the expansion of Kinsale town centre for retail uses is constrained due to topographical and physical impediments. In addition the historic town core is characterised by narrow medieval streets and narrow plot sizes and is largely contained within an Architectural Conservation Area. Its predominant role which must be protected is for specialised boutique comparison shopping. Major convenience retailing within the town core would be inappropriate and therefore consideration will be given to alternative edge or out of town locations for major retail convenience provision in accordance with the sequential test approach advocated in the Retail Planning Guidelines."

Proposed Change 3.32

Additional text should be added to the section on Monard in order to identify the specific retail needs for the area. The text should read as follows "The council notes there is a need to promote opportunities for the expansion of retail floorspace in Monard and that there is need for a new district centre at this location in order to meet the needs of the projected population."

Proposed Change 3.42

Additional text should be added to the section on Annaghmore in order to address the specific retail needs for the area. This

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>text should read as follows, "The Council notes that there is scope for convenience retail development in Annaghmore in order to ensure its establishment as a self sustaining service based town in the future."</p> <p>Proposed Change 3.19</p> <p>In relation to the North Environs, additional text should be added to address the imbalance in retail provision that exists between the Northern and Southern Environs. His text should read as follows, "Given the imbalances in the provision of retail provision between the southern and northern suburbs, the Council acknowledge that there is scope for additional district centress in the northern suburbs to address these deficiencies".</p> <p>Proposed Change 6.21</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed parking standards for retail developments are inadequate as they will a) lead to on street parking, b) reduce the number of linked trips, c) encourage shoppers to shop in other settlements. An amended standard of 1 space per 12.5 sq. m. gross floor area should be encouraged.</p>
<i>Twomey, Diane</i>	2545	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Elaine	2414	<p>This submission opposes the inclusion of a new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan for the following reasons: Flood Plains: the proposal contradicts recently published guidelines from the Department of the Environment in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. Only works considered essential should be</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		considered in these areas, Annaghmore should not be considered essential. Maintenance: the proposed development would result in additional flooding to adjacent and downstream properties. Water quality: The Owenabue river cannot sustain the wastewater outflow from the proposed development. Countyside: The lands have been zoned A2 greenbelt and should not be changed to support intense development. The corridor to West Cork should be maintained as aesthetically pleasing as possible. Transport Infrastructure: the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significant volumes of traffic to the N71. The proposed area is not served by the rail network. Housing need: the proposal is not in line with the Cork Area Strategic Plan. Employment: the proposal will not generate additional employment for the area.
Walsh, Lorraine	2272	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's. (2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's. (3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion. (4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordnance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible. (5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown. (6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb. (7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780. (8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots. (9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end. (10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there. (11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Walsh, Oonagh	2388	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan and states that there is an oover-supply of housing stock in the Bandon / Innishannon area. This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Walsh, Pat	2670	This submission states that the County Manager in a recent presentation to the Cork Chamber of Commerce pointed out that Cork's role as a regional location should be exploited. Aldi the German disount store has selected Mitchelstown as their strategic distribution site for Munster. The submisison states that this highlights the role that Mitchelstown could have as a distribution/logisitics centre for other businesses. The retail strategy also noted Mitchelstown as an expansion town which should increase the importance of how the town is portrayed in the CDP.
West Cork IFA	2156	This submission raises concerns about the proposals to designate the West Cork peninsulas as Special Scenic Landscapes. The submisison states that this designation would have a negative impact on the living and farming population of these areas. They request that these designations be removed from the Draft County Development Plan and instead be included as part of the Local Area Plans. This would provide a better opportunity for those living in these peninsulas to be properly consulted. The submission also states that the proposed designation would see a further reduction in population in these areas and it would put additional restrictions on family members and other locals to build a dwelling house in this area. This in turn would have a knock on affect on employment in these areas.
Wiley, Eddie	2238	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingstons in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.</p> <p>(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.</p> <p>(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.</p> <p>(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.</p> <p>(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.</p> <p>(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.</p> <p>(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.</p> <p>(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.</p> <p>(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.</p> <p>(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.</p> <p>(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.</p> <p>(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.</p> <p>(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.</p> <p>(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell wa demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.</p> <p>(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.</p>
Wiley, Gerard	2260	<p>This submission highlights its support for the Bridewell, George's Street, Mitchelstown to be placed back on the list of proposed protected structures. The submitter includes eighteen reasons, primarily related to the history of the Bridewell, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p>(1) It is stated that the Bridewell is now a habitable house which has resulted in the bars and entrance gates being removed and the front windows replaced with steel windows, however the Bridewell remains unchanged in its structural format from the 1700's.</p> <p>(2) It is stated that the Bridewell is an important part of Mitchelstown history and heritage and can be dated back to the 1700's.</p> <p>(3) It is highlighted that the Bridewell was used to detain the United Irishmen during the 1798 rebellion.</p> <p>(4) The submission maintains that the Bridewell was built by the Kingston's in the 18th Century and remains in the same structural</p>

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

format. It can be seen on the 1841 Ordinance Survey Map. The old Georgian stone window surrounds are still visible.

(5) The submission argues that the Bridewell was placed in this location due to its strategic architectural importance in the plan of Mitchelstown.

(6) It is highlighted that the location of the Bridewell was a deliberate inclusion by the town architect, John Webb.

(7) In addition, it is highlighted that the six bollards to the front of the building made of black ebony were added to the building from the boat house of Kingston Castle, which dates to 1780.

(8) This submission acknowledges that prominent local historical figures were held at the Bridewell, including John Mandeville in September 1887 after the Mitchelstown Riots.

(9) It is further acknowledged that all the historic guides to the town state that King Street was overlooked by the Bridewell at one end and the Catholic Church at the other end.

(10) The submission affirms that the middle front window is cemented over as it is directly opposite the Church and that local hangings used to take place there.

(11) It is stated that the demesne wall (which is included in the Record of Protected Structures) runs directly behind Hill Cottage/ Bridewell and there is a burial ground behind the wall that was used to bury the bodies following the hangings. The field is known as Parkaphuca. The poor were also buried at this location.

(12) The submission highlights that the demesne wall varies in height at certain places to mark the burials places.

(13) It is argued that many publications reference the Bridewell and there are a set of 9 engravings of Mitchelstown depicting scenes of the riots which show the Bridewell.

(14) It is further argued that line drawings mentioned in the recent planning application is incorrect. It is stated in the submission that in 1887 the London Illustrated News would not have sent a reporter to report on the riots and therefore it can be assumed that the drawings was a creation of the reporter. It is argued that there was never a building in Mitchelstown similar to the building shown in the recent planning application by Cork Marts.

(15) It is argued that Cork Marts bought the Bridewell with a view to demolish it in order to create an additional entrance. It is further argued that the existing mart has the capability to take 6 lanes of traffic to access/egress the mart site at present.

(16) The submission states that it is a pretty and habitable house.

(17) The submission conveys that if the Bridewell was demolished/ removed it would irrevocably alter the layout of George's Street and King Street and therefore destroy the two best classically planned towns from the 18th century.

(18) Finally, it is stated that over 700 people have previously signed a petition requesting that the Bridewell is included on the Record of Protected Structures and not demolished. Lastly it is suggested that the ACA area is extended to include the entire old town.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Woods, Maeve	2546	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>- Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

Volume 3 Part 2

Annaghmore Submissions

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McCarthy, Shirley	2641	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
A Moynihan	2460	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that with proper planning, Annaghmore could be futuristic. It also argues that we have learned from the sprawling towns and villages already in the Cork area.
A. Coughlan	2567	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager’s professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.
Ahern, Patricia and Christy	2329	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan because the area does not have adequate infrastructure such as schools and roads.
Annaghmore Action Group (c/o Patrick Shiels)	2541	<p>This submission was received from The Annaghmore Action Group and was signed by 420 people. The names and addresses of the 420 people are attached to the submission.</p> <p>The submission notes the efforts of the action group in informing people of the proposal at Annaghmore and notes that 80% of the people who they spoke to were opposed to the development, 10% for the proposed development and 10% undecided. The submission agrees with the recommendations of the County Manager in the Supplementary Report to Members on the 5th of August 2008. The group state that the settlement at Annaghmore would have a serious negative impact on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality of life of the existing rural communities of the Bandon hinterland and Owenebue river valley. • The environment from the Bandon hinterland, down the Owenebue river valley to Carrigaline • The economic development and population targets for ring towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale • The development of integrated transport infrastructure in the County • Travel times for the existing users of the N71. <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the Draft County Development Plan and observations with respect to the Environmental Assessment of the proposed amendment. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter notes that the inclusion of Annaghmore will impact on the ability of Bandon to reach its target population and will further increase the population in the rural hinterland.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county. The submission also supports the conclusions of the County Manager in his Supplementary Report to Members, Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore. The submission concludes that the inclusion of Annaghmore could have devastating consequences on quality of life and a long term negative impact on transport, water supply, sewage treatment infrastructure, the environment and the Owenabue River valley during and after its construction.
Archer, Anthony	2320	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5: In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28 The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Atkinson, Ellen</i>	2564	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager's professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Owebneue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council. The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended ammendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and he maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Atkinson, Phil</i>	2602	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the Draft County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will affect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councillors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councillors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centres. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitter's point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Baker, Antoinette	2468	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28 The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Barrate, Paul	2463	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submitter states that he has been working in the West Cork area for the last six months and considers the site proposed for Annaghmore to be an ideal location, with excellent road access and in close proximity to the city. It is further stated that it will provide employment and a place to live for generations.</p>
Barrientos, AB	2342	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Barry, Aileen	2661	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1,9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Barry, Cian	2594	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Belivanis, Emanuel	2343	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Belivanis, Maria	2207	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Bermingham, Michael	2214	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Blanchfield, Patricia	2599	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Bohane Family	2598	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City particularly along the N71. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitters state that there are a number of towns and villages in the area with unoccupied properties which leads them to ask if the development proposed necessary. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development".</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers.</p> <p>The submitters summarise the reasons why in their opinion the development at Annaghmore should not be permitted - traffic congestion, wastewater and flooding concerns, household pollution,, negative visual impact and loss of amenity for existing residents.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		The submitters call on the elected representatives to vote no to the Annaghmore Ammdement.
Bowen, Maureen	2698	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. The submitter states that she considers the proposed development on wetlands at Annaghmore is not appropriate. The submitter highlights that there is a lack of infrastructure to support the proposed development. The submitter states that the development is not community firendly or family friendly and questions if the development is necessary. The submitter notes that she is not satisfied with other developments in the adjoining village of crossbarry.
Boyle, Denis	2538	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)
 In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.
 The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Brady, Brad

2694

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Brady, Brendan	2413	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Brady, Carmel	2060	The submitter has stated that she has lived abroad for a number of years and recently returned to live and work in Cork. She notes that Annaghmore is an exciting concept which the submitter would be in favour in living in the new settlement.
Brady, Mary P	2063	This submission argues two points in support of the proposed

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		new settlement at Annaghmore. Firstly, the submitter states that she understands that the majority of development planned for Cork is to take place to the East and North of the City and the West of the city towards Innishannon is being ignored. The submitter further argues that he does not wish her children to have to live in Middleton or Blarney as they play sport and wish to live locally. Secondly, it is asserted that the proposed development of Annaghmore would bring much needed jobs at a time when the economic outlook is weak.
--	--	--

Brady, Michael	2071	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that there is a need for a new strategy to meet the projected housing need of the Cork area in a way which would avoid the unacceptable impact on existing settlements and communities. The submission also states that in a time when our economic outlook is weak this project will bring much needed employment to the area.
-----------------------	------	---

Brady, Michael	2064	This submission argues two points in support of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. Firstly, the submitter states that he understands that the majority of development planned for Cork is to take place to the East and North of the City and the West of the city towards Innishannon is being ignored. The submitter further argues that he does not wish his children to have to live in Middleton or Blarney as they play sport and wish to live locally. Secondly, it is asserted that the proposed development of Annaghmore would bring much needed jobs at a time when the economic outlook is weak.
-----------------------	------	--

Brazil Kearney, Caoimhe	2418	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.
--------------------------------	------	---

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Brazil Kearney, James	2423	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Brazil Kearney, Orla	2422	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Brazil Kearney, Saoirse

2424

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Brazil, Michael

2363

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>
		<p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Breda Tuohy	2575	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP. Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Brid Ni Liathain, Sile</i>	2480	<p>The submitter wishes to express her support for the inclusion of the Annaghmore development in the county development plan. The submitter outlines that she is a secondary school student and she commutes daily to Cork City from Annaghmore which she states is a convenient area to live and is a gateway to West Cork. The submitter outlines that the area has potential for well planned development which she would expect from a developer with a good reputation and outlines that this is a real opportunity for the next generation of the area. The submitter highlights that she would expect her local representatives to give this project their support.</p>
<i>Brint, Elizabeth</i>	2716	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Buckley, Imelda	2420	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Buckley, Maria	2338	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Burke, Hannah	2764	<p>This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submitter maintains that the layout and amenities provided on the plan would be attractive and believes that the eco park would be an asset to a community.</p> <p>The submitter notes that the proposed upgrade of the N71 is overdue and will be financed by the developers. The submitter also notes that the job creation in the area would be welcome and would be a positive boost to young people and local businesses in the area.</p>
Burke, Marian	2122	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area.</p>
Burke, Patrick	2131	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area and will also improve infrastructure for the west cork area.</p>
Burke, Teresa	2507	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Busteed, Kevin	2588	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 , 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).
	Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28	The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management
	Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :	This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.
	Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)	In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.
		The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.
		The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Byrne, Edmond	2699	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target and contrary to CASP the proposal will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.1, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
<i>Byrne, Liam</i>	2106	This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that the development of Annaghmore would bring employment and sustainability to this neglected side of County Cork.
<i>Callanan, Kevin</i>	2119	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Callanan, Paul	2484	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Canniffe, Pdraig	2056	<p>This submission lends it support to the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It argues that it is an ideal site which would create jobs, housing and bring amenities to the area without impacting on the neighbours or the environment.</p>
Carroll, Jennifer	2337	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Carthew, Ben	2777	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Carthew, David	2774	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Carthew, Peter	2775	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Carthew, Tom	2045	This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that this proposal will bring employment, eco parks, playparks, swimming leisure facilities, and improved road layout to the area. There are inadequate facilities in Bandon and the town has become overcrowded.
Ceres Consulting Ltd.	2068	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. It is expressed that the development of Annaghmore would bring employment to the area and that it would be good for business in Kinsale and Bandon.
Cleary, James	2498	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5: In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Coholan, Ellmarie	2057	This submission expresses support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the observer's family work in the city and would like to live in an area like Annaghmore. It is further argued that the proximity of Annaghmore to the city makes it a very attractive housing location.
Collins, Ann	2608	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP. The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk. The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Collins, Jerry	2126	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would help the growth in services in West Cork.
Collins, Mary	2769	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that it can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Collins, Sheila	2773	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Collins, William Snr	2771	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that it can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Collins, Willie	2772	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that it can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Connolly, Bernie	2317	<p>This submission is strongly opposed to the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that the area is unsuitable for housing as it is located on a flood plain. The submission also states that the site is not serviced and entirely road based. It will undermine the neighbouring towns of Bandon, Kinsale and the local villages of Inishannon, Halfway, Ballinhassig and Crossbarry. These towns and villages are only just developing with new houses, schools and shops after decades of decline and it would be preferable to enhance the long term sustainability of these settlements rather than imposing a new development on a totally unsuitable Greenfield site. The submission is also opposed to objectives concerning A1 Greenbelt areas as it is a clear dilution of the policy of the current plan and outlined in the Draft Plan. The proposed text acknowledging the housing needs of 'landowners with a genuine connection to the area, or their immediate family members' is a significant step backwards and threatens the integrity of these vital areas for future generations. The third main concern outlined in the submission relates to scenic areas and landscapes. The areas that require most protection i.e. the West Cork Coastline has the lowest level of protection. The caveat that applicants must be 'locals' does not apply to West Cork, it only matters that applicants are for 'year round occupation'. The submission states that many of these scenic areas have special protection designations and as more and more developments are approved such outstanding sites become rarer both locally and nationally.</p>
Coomey, Adrain	2147	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore and states that it would improve infrastructure in the area particularly the dangerous stretch of the N71 and would also bring employment and to the area.</p>
Corall, Shane	2430	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that it is a great idea for West Cork, that it will create jobs and will create a future for young people.</p>
Corcoran, Phil	2211	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land</p>

*Interested Party**Ref Number**Summary of Issues Raised*

availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Cork City Council	2696	<p>of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission raises a number of issues on behalf of Cork City Council. Concern is expressed that there is a significant departure from the CASP strategy with the introduction of a proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission objects to the proposed new town at Annaghmore (Proposed Change 2.6). CASP envisaged a concentration of population and employment growth in sustainable locations in the city and Metropolitan Cork, where high quality public transport could be provided as an alternative to the private car. It envisaged moderate increases in population and employment in the Ring Towns. The development of a new town at Annaghmore is not consistent with these central concepts of CASP. The town is not located within the Cork Suburban Rail corridor or either of the planned Rapid Transit corridors identified in the CASP update. The community living there would be likely to be almost totally dependent on the private car, with resultant congestion on the N71, impacting of other towns further west. The submission also notes that the population allocated to Annaghmore results in a reduction in the population allocated to the other ring towns. If it does not come from these towns the required population is likely to come from more sustainable locations in the city or Metropolitan Cork, contrary to the CASP strategy and the South West Regional Planning Guidelines. It also note that this results in a consequential reallocation of resources for infrastructure purposes (see INF 5-3 of Change 6.28) away from the existing growth areas with a consequential divergence of economies of scale in terms of investment in infrastructure.</p> <p>Cork City and County have a long standing record of commitment to high quality spatial planning strategies since the 1978 LUTS Plan and up to the current CASP Plan. This is highlighted as one of Corks key strengths in the current National Development Plan. The creation of a new free standing town in the location proposed is contrary to the CASP 2001-2020, the Draft CASP Update 2008, and the South West Regional Planning Guidelines and is fundamentally out of keeping with proper planning and sustainable development. The City Council requests that Cork County Council reconsider this proposal and not include it in the Cork County Development Plan.</p> <p>The City Council requests the following changes be made to the Proposed Amendment to the Draft County Development Plan 2007: Cork County Council reconsider the proposal to provide a major development location at Annaghmore as it is contrary to the strategic planning framework outlined in the Draft CASP Update 2008 and the South-West Regional Planning Guidelines. The City Council also believes that the proposal does not constitute proper planning and sustainable development.</p> <p>Another issue raised in the submission relates to changes to Cork City South Environs (No. 3.16). The CASP Update 2008 identified a potential major development area at this gateway to the city around the Kinsale Road interchange, and focused on the area known as "Tramore", which is the area currently in industrial / commercial use either side of the Tramore River (and City / County Boundary). The potential of this area to intensify significantly is allied with the complementary proposal to introduce appropriate levels of public transport. The viability of this route as a mass transit corridor is currently being considered in detail through the Cork Area Transit System Study 2008 (public transport feasibility study). The CASP Update 2008 is clear that the development of the Tramore Road area after 2014 is linked to the appropriate and timely provision of much improved public transport to serve the needs of the area.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The City Council requests the following changes be made to the Proposed Amendment to the Draft County Development Plan 2007:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The County Plan strategy for the South City Environs area be amended to make explicit that the development quantum indicated for this area are allocated according to CASP strategy allocations, and specifically to the Tramore Road major development area as indicated in Table 3.6 and Table 3.7 of the Draft CASP Update 2008 and related text. <p>In addition, the City Council requests that the land use, transport and infrastructure strategy outlined for the Tramore Road area is in alignment with CASP Update 2008, in order that the City Plan and County Plan can provide a common platform for future local area planning.</p> <p>Any development strategy (local area plans) for the development of this area would require the City and County to work together in order to provide a coherent framework that is based upon the common understanding of land use, transport, urban design and infrastructure outlined in the CASP Update 2008.</p> <p>The submission also refers to the proposed changes to Cork City - North Environs (2) (No. 3.19)</p> <p>The strategy for the development of this area, including the proposed Ballyvolane urban extension to Cork City, reflects the agreed land use and transportation strategy set out in the Draft CASP Update 2008. Appropriate public transport will have to be provided to facilitate the development.</p> <p>North City Environs (No. 3.19)</p> <p>The submission also refers to an error in the figures quoted for population growth 2006-2020 for the North City Environs. The figure quoted is 4299 population / 2217 Households. The CASP Update 2008 provides a population target of 6,265 over the CASP 2020 target (13,495-7,230) (see Table 3.6: Future Population - Rest of Metropolitan Cork). The submission also refers to Proposed Change 5.5 (Future Job Growth and Employment Locations). The City Council requests that the plan should be amended to clearly state that it is an objective of the CASP Update 2008 and County Plan that there should be a clear link between employment growth locations, modal split objectives and public transport provision, and in particular mass transit provision. In addition, the City Council also requests that car parking policy and plot ratio should reflect accessibility to public transport. This should result in a shared policy framework that allows higher density employment uses only on mass transit corridors with constrained car parking provision and rewards these developments with relatively higher plot ratios. Cork City Council also request that the County Plan should include an objective to ensure that its Local Area Plans zone land sufficient to meet the employment targets set out in the CASP Update 2008 and do not zone land that would result in employment growth that would significantly exceed these targets. In relation to parking the City Council request the following changes be made to the proposed amendment to the Draft Plan;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) An objective should be included to develop a Co-ordinated Parking Policy between Cork County Council and Cork City Council within the Cork Metropolitan Area, that ensures that parking standards in the metropolitan area as a whole are linked and related to accessibility to public transportation and modal split targets. 2) Parking Standards should be related to the modal split objectives outlined in the CASP Update 2008. 3) Parking Standards (outlined in Table 1) should be expressed as maximum car parking provision; 4) The car parking provision for offices should be reduced to reflect the actual gross floor space to employee ratio applied in the CASP Update 2008 of 1 employee per 20 gross square metres. <p>The submission makes a number of recommendations in relation to the status of Ringaskiddy and the Port of Cork. The City Council requests the following changes be made to</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the Proposed Amendment to the Draft County Development Plan 2007 to clearly address the above points, and specifically provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - broader policy objectives that support the principle of development in the lower harbour in the most sustainable way possible that meets the operational needs of port activities; - a clear basis for the mechanism that will be used to provide a new policy framework for the development of Port activities in the lower harbour, which are vitally important developments that are critical to the future of Metropolitan Cork, the region, and to the future development of Cork's Docklands. - A clear timescale for the resolution and adoption of the essential policy framework.
Coughlan, Michael	2652	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Coughlan, Aine	2656	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Coughlan, Declan	2638	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Coughlan, Mick	2651	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Coveney, Irene	2613	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitter's point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Craft, Paul	2435	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that West Cork has been ignored and that it is important that this changes. It is proposed that a new town would bring jobs and prosperity to West Cork.</p>
Crean, Finbarr	2316	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5: In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Crean, Jeremiah	2107	This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that this development will bring much needed employment to the area and would mean shorter commutes for people working in the city. The submission also states that the availability of housing for local people will be positive considering that planning restrictions make it difficult for the local people to build houses in their own area.
Cribbin, Sinead	2734	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Cronin, Tom	2551	<p>his submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Crowe, Eamon	2733	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Crowley, Gerard	2089	<p>This submission supports the proposed new development at Annaghmore. The submission states that too much of the recent housing development has been concentrated in East Cork. The services have not been provided in the areas where population has grown in recent years and there are no shops or green areas. A well planned approach as is proposed for Annaghmore is what is needed. It would generate employment which would be welcomed at this time of economic slowdown.</p>
Crowley, John	2341	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Crowley, Majella

2636

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>
		<p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Crowley, Margaret	2356	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Crowley, Mary	2655	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Crowley, Michael	2766	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. Arguments in favour of this proposal include the excellent access to the N71, it will bring employment and sustainability to that part of county Cork, and it will bring local services to the area also.
Crowley, Rena	2461	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that the development would create employment in the area and it would also provide young people with a choice to live in the area where they grew up rather than moving away.
Crowley, Sheila	2328	This submission supports the proposed new development at Annaghmore. The submission states that this development would benefit the area as it would bring much needed employment, housing and infrastructure to the area.
Cullinane, Brenden	2339	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Cullinane, Colin	2637	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4; In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Cullinane, Norma	2346	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5: In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Cummins, Kathleen</i>	2053	<p>This submission expresses support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that Annaghmore would be beneficial to the area when the current economic climate is weak. It is expressed that the proposed new settlement will bring much needed jobs and put the west of</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Cork city on the map. it is felt by the observer that the majority of the development planned for Cork is taking place to the east of the county and the west of the city is being ignored. It is further stated that the new development at Annaghmore will be an asset to the town of Bandon in the form of support of schools and shops in the town.
Cummins, Sheila	2090	This submission supports the proposed new development at Annaghmore. The submission states that this location is within commuting distance from the city and at the gateway to West Cork. The plans show an innovative approach to development with the infrastructure of a town available in a rural context.
Curtin, Anne Marie	2325	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Cuskelly, Declan	2707	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
<i>Daly, Carmel</i>	2321	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Daly, Carolyn	2730	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Daly, Eimear	2410	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Daly, James	2554	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :

This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Daly, Sarah	2353	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Daly, Sarah

2557

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Daunt, Nigel	2383	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Daunt, Vera and Robin	2382	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Deasy, Anthony</i>	2759	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
<i>Deasy, Deirdre</i>	2760	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Dennis, Denis	2616	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Department of Transport	2787	<p>: This submission opposes the creation of a new settlement at Annaghmore for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the proposal is completely out of line with the settlement strategy that underpins the transportation strategy in the CASP, which the Department has funded, b) the proposed development will encourage car based commuting, c) The CASP update has stressed the need to address population decline in Cork City and to focus future population growth in the Metropolitan area along the rail corridor. <p>The Department of Transport have pointed out that the Annaghmore proposal undermines the basis of and the rationale for the significant investment which it is making in the CASP area and would threaten future investment in public transport in the CASP area.</p>
Desmond, Sean	2128	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Devlin, David	2206	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B –</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Dillon, Andrew and Sutton, Isabelle	2695	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement/re-zoning of lands at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submitters highlight that the lands comprise a flood plain which is contrary to proper planning and the submitters state that the proposal has been "prohibited" by the Minister of the Environment.</p> <p>The submitters maintain that if the land is re-zoned then it is highly unlikely that planning permission could be granted.</p> <p>The submitters note that there is no infrastructure in place and the existing infrastructure can not service the proposed level of development.</p> <p>The submitters maintain that the proposal would have a negative impact on Inishannon which is the nearest village and further states that the entire concept is "Unrealistic"</p>
Dilworth, Donal	2354	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Dinneen, Nuala	2344	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Donegan, Harry & Deborah	2659	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1,9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Donovan, Donal</i>	2104	This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that the development would bring much needed employment to the area and put this part of Cork on the map.
<i>Donovan, Rose</i>	2113	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would benefit the West Cork community.
<i>Dooley, Lawrence</i>	2373	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Dooley, Mary	2408	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Dooley, Sean	2358	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Dorrington, Mary	2649	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Dorrington, Pat	2631	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Downing, Sarah & Gubbins, Noel	2540	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Doyle, Rory	2212	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Duggan, Maria	2581	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Duggan, Mary	2582	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Fenlon, Connor</i>	2459	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that Dublin appears to get all the best jobs, and the addition of Annaghmore is a novel idea which would bring Cork into the picture of innovation and forward looking town planning.
<i>Finn, Dermot</i>	2055	This submission conveys support for the proposed new town of Annaghmore as it is considered that it will be an asset to schools and shops in Bandon. It is further stated that it will bring jobs and progress to West Cork.
<i>Fitzpatrick, Mick</i>	2458	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states tha the new town of Annaghmore could bring business to the town of Kinsale from its neighbouring development. The submitter states that he fully supports the inclusion of Annaghmore in the new County Development Plan.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Fitzpatrick, Anne	2722	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Fitzpatrick, Tony and Eileen</i>	2604	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the draft guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitters are concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Flavin, Mike	2462	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that a stand alone development is a good idea as there are enough over developed villages with insufficient infrastructure. The submission states that the concept of Annaghmore is an exciting idea for West Cork and that it deserves a place in the County Deveopment Plan.</p>
Fleming, Noel	2763	<p>This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.</p>
Foley, Brendan	2449	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Foley, Sandra	2508	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Forbes, Helen	2793	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the Draft County Development Plan and observations with respect to the Environmental Assessment of the proposed amendment. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter notes that the inclusion of Annaghmore will impact on the ability of Bandon to reach its target population and will further increase the population in the rural hinterland.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county. The submission also supports the conclusions of the County Manager in his Supplementary Report to Members, Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore. The submission concludes that the inclusion of Annaghmore could have devastating consequences on quality of life and a long term negative impact on transport, water supply, sewage treatment infrastructure, the environment and the Owenabue River valley during and after its construction.</p>
Gayson, Fiona	2645	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Gleares, Sean	2442	This submission states that the proposed amendments regarding the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore has the submitters full support. It is stated that a self contained unit linking the city to West Cork can only be of benefit to everyone.
Grainger, Aoife	2050	This submission strongly supports the proposed new town at Annaghmore. The submission states that the development would bring job prospects to the area and would be a nice place to live west of the city.
Grainger, Sean	2092	This submission conveys support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that looking at development for West Cork is long overdue. The submission states that Annaghmore will offer people the choice of working near their home which would avoid commuting. It is further

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		stated that it is an opportunity not to be missed.
Griffin, Jerry	2647	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Griffin, Mary	2569	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager's professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Ownebue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Griffin, Paddy	2568	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager's professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Grimes, C.P</i>	2622	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Hallahan, Ann	2494	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Hallahan, Lisa	2496	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Hallahan, Mairead	2502	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Hallahan, Patrick	2495	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Hallissey, Louise	2216	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Halpin, Michael</i>	2215	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Harrington, Eileen</i>	2615	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Harrington, Sharon	2721	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Hasse, Holger	2311	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Healy, Ciaran John	2427	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Healy, Peter	2573	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager's professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owebneue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.

Hendrick, John and Dorothy

2380

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Herlihy, Mary B</i>	2560	This submission is strongly opposed to the proposed inclusion of a new settlement (residential/commercial) at Annaghmore

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

into the County Development Plan for the following reasons: 1) it is not 'essential development' as defined by the Department of Environment's Flooding Guidelines 2) who will be responsible for the damage caused by downstream flooding in the Owenabue River catchment and Carrigaline 3) wastewater and stormwater flows into the Owenabue River will be a problem to the Ballea Water Works. 4) it is agricultural land protected by green belt and is the tourism corridor to west cork and Kerry. 5) it will add significantly to the existing traffic problems on the approach road to the city 6) it is not in line with CASP and will not increase the number of additional jobs locally

Higgins, Dermot

2553

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Hobbs, Michael	2782	<p>The submission states that the proposed Draft County Development Plan contains a number of points that appear to be fundamentally out of step with the Draft Plan of December 07, the current County Development Plan 2003 and the principles of proper planning and sustainable development.</p> <p>The submitter compliments the current plan and outlines that was is successful as it directed development into appropriate areas because of the following:</p> <p>(a) The current plan respects the overarching strategic vision of CASP.</p> <p>(b) The current plan continues the land use settlement strategy of the 1996 development plan including the protection of green belts with certain exemptions.</p> <p>(c) The current plan has a number of objectives to protect</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		scenic areas, scenic routes and coastal areas for their own intrinsic value.
		The submitter highlights that the three pillars underpinning the current plan (mentioned above) are being "seriously undermined" in the proposed plan.
		Proposed change no. 2.5: The submitter outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore contradicts the direction and vision of CASP. The submitter maintains that Annaghmore is unserviced, road dependent, will undermine the local towns of Bandon, Kinsale and the villages of Inishannon, Halfway, Ballinhassig and Crossbarry. The submitter outlines that one of the fundamental elements of CASP is balanced development of Cork to the North and East where there are lower levels of growth/less congestion and onto a public transport corridor (i.e. the train). The submission highlights that Annaghmore does not have a transport corridor and is located in the wrong place, in summary the submitter believes that the proposal is unsustainable.
		Proposed change no. 4.7: The submission expresses concern at the proposed changes to the objectives concerning greenbelt areas requiring special protection (A1) and states that this is an unnecessary and alarming dilution of the previous policy. The submitter outlines that the proposed inserted text acknowledging the housing needs of "landowners with a genuine connection to the area, or their immediate family members" is a significant step backwards from the CDP 2003 and the submitter believes that this threatens to undermine the integrity of these vital areas for future generations.
		Proposed change no. 4.13: The submitter is concerned that the protection offered to scenic areas and unique landscapes relates to just its "tourism potential" or an "Economic basis". The submitter is concerned that unlike the current and previous CDP, there appears to be no objectives to protect these areas for their own intrinsic, unique, national or international importance.
		The submitter questions if the county development plan should have more than just policies and objectives that appeal to the development lobby and states that other people in the county may want to hand over an unscathed county to the next generation. The submitter outlines that the area which requires the most level of protection (West Cork coastline) have the least level of protection making it easier to build houses in West Cork scenic areas than those in the remainder of the County. The submitter questions the enforceability of the year round occupation clauses and states that he has no confidence that such an agreement would be enforced based on previous experience.
		The submitter notes that there appears to be no protection for these areas for their own sake, no exceptions for locals in West Cork and notes that whatever limited capacity these

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Holland, Aiden

2217

areas have will be taken up by rich urbanites who will claim to live in the area all year round. The submitter claims that this will increase the levels of one-off housing in the West Cork Peninsula which is not in accordance with proper planning and sustainable development.

The submitter notes that the significant amendments detailed above solely address the needs of the building/development sectors and there remains a silent majority who wish to leave this earth in no worse condition than they found it.

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Holland, Alan

2205

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Holland, David	2204	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Holland, William	2219	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Hooper, Glenn</i>	2740	<p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Huggard, Sharon	2352	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Huggard, Steven

2345

of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Hughes, Bridie	2583	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
<i>Hurley, Jim</i>	2120	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore because it would benefit the West Cork area.
<i>Hurley, Noel & Carol</i>	2094	This submission expresses satisfaction with the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. The submission states that villages are being ruined by overdevelopment and the new settlement would prevent this. It also states that Kinsale would benefit from the development of Annaghmore with the provision of shopping and school facilities to support Annaghmore
<i>J' O Leary</i>	2577	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Jackson, Rory	2349	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Jeffers, Catherine</i>	2703	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Jeffers, Elaine	2406	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Jeffers, Elaine	2372	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Jeffers, Elaine	2648	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Jeffers, Laura</i>	2624	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Proposed amendment to section 2.6:
		CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).
		Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28
		The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management
		Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.
		Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)
		In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.
		The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.
		The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Jeffers, Linda	2302	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Jermyn, Stan	2054	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission argues that it will create badly needed employment.
Collins, Joe	2770	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that it can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Jones, Pat	2097	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that is a great location and that the development of this area would benefit Bandon and West Cork. The submission urges the go-ahead of Annaghmore.
Joustra, Helen	2319	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Joyce, James	2220	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Kavanagh, Donnacha

2448

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Keane, Catherine	2762	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
Keane, David	2136	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it improve a dangerous section of the N71.
Keane, John	2143	This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore and states that it would bring much needed employment to the area.
Keane, John	2134	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area and will improve a dangerous section of the N71.
Keane, Kathleen	2135	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area and local services. This development would also bring road improvements to the area.
Keane, Marian	2123	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore because it will bring employment and services to this part of West Cork.
Keane, Pat	2137	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it will bring much needed employment to the area and will also support existing schools and shopen in the surrounding areas of Bandon, Kinsale and Inishannon.
Keane, Sean	2142	This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore and states that it would improve the dangerous stretch of the N71 and would also bring employment to the area.
Kearney, Grace	2085	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that the development of this settlement has great potential in terms of provision of jobs, amenities and housing for those who wish to live near the city.
Kearney, Stephen	2421	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan. Proposed amendment to section 2.4; In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289. The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Keegan, Darrelle	2736	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Keigher, Bernard	2499	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Kelleher, Ber</i>	2357	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Kelleher, Bernadette

2739

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Kelleher, Carol</i>	2391	<p>the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Kelleher, Diarmaid	2355	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Kelleher, Frank</i>	2367	<p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Kelly, Gerard

2714

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Trown & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owebneue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
<i>Kelly, John</i>	2116	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it will bring much needed employment to the area.</p>
<i>Kelly, Maria</i>	2093	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. It states that the submitter has family member s commuting long distances to work in the city and that Annaghmore would provide them with an ideal location to live in. The submission further states that the area will offer employment opportunities for the area which is welcome in the current economic climate.</p>
<i>Kennedy, Carol</i>	2713	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines, The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
Kenny, Noel	2313	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Kenny, Noel	2366	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Kenny, Norah	2350	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Keogh, Colin	2632	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Keogh, Siobhan

2633

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region,

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Kingson, Nora</i>	2758	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
<i>Kingston, Gertrude</i>	2133	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area and will improve a dangerous section of the N71.
<i>Kingston, Jack</i>	2139	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area and will improve a dangerous section of the N71.
<i>Kingston, Kathleen</i>	2757	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
<i>Kingston, Paul</i>	2141	This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore and states that it would improve the dangerous stretch of the N71. The submission states that it would also bring employment to the area.
<i>Kingston, Susan</i>	2138	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring much needed employment to the area and will improve a dangerous section of the N71.
<i>Kosiarska, Sylvia</i>	2140	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it will improve a dangerous section of the N71 between Inishannon and the Halfway.
<i>Lang, Stephen John</i>	2729	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Lawton, Sharon	2720	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Lehane, Daniel J</i>	2479	<p>The submitter wishes to express his enthusiasm for the proposed Annaghmore development. The submitter maintains that the development of residential, commercial, social and educational facilities on one site is more acceptable than expanding existing villages where he claims young people have little to do other than congregate around the shop or the pub. The submitter requests that the young people of the county should not be let down on this issue and states that they need a development like Annaghmore in West Cork.</p>
<i>Linham, Rosaleen</i>	2501	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Looney, Catherine	2051	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. The submission states that this development would minimise negative impact on existing communities. It also states that a development West of the city is long overdue and it would bring employment and services to the area. Lastly, this submission states that Annaghmore would give people the choice of working near home.</p>
Looney, Tara	2405	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Lordan, Lillian</i>	2723	<p>of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Lordan, Martin

2364

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Lordan, Orla	2351	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Lordan, Patrick	2724	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Lordan, Sharon	2741	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Lordan, Tim	2725	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Lordon, Eileen	2572	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager's professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Lowney, John	2702	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Lowney, Noelle	2672	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Lynch, Denis

2419

This submission is opposed to the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission includes an excerpt for the Cork Area Strategic Plan (CASP) and highlights that the proposed

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>settlement at Annaghmore has not be referred to or addressed in CASP. The submission states that Annaghmore would constitute a negative impact on the economic, transport, social and service infrastructure development of the CASP region.</p>
		<p>Proposed Amendment Section 2.4</p> <p>Annaghmore is not included as a Ring Town. The CASP Update reflects that the focus for significant development will be in the hub town of Mallow, with a population growth expected at 20,000 persons by 2020 and an expected growth in employment also. CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 and 11, 289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5: The submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of CASP and the plan for population and employment growth in the ring towns and rural areas. The Update CASP takes account of the revised lower average density figures of 2.41 persons per household by 2020. As reported by CASP, there is significant uncertainty as to the appropriate long term assumptions to use for household size. The current economic climate may impact on future household size, and the population increase a as calculated in the CASP Update are probably over generous in estimation. The submission further maintains that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. It is asserted that the inclusion of Annaghmore will undermine the key concepts of CASP-reinforcement of Ring towns, infrastructure-led development and the creation and maintenance of a high quality environment.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>It is maintained that a new settlement on the N71 at Annaghmore will negatively impact on the ability to support the strategic transport improvement corridor and the residents of Annaghmore will be car dependant, thus contributing to further congestion on the N71.</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Lyons, Catherine

2626

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
MacCarthy, Conor	2058	<p>This submission conveys support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is asserted that a new settlement at Annaghmore would help the West Cork Area to grow. It would help support schools and shops in Bandon and Innishannon. It is further stated that Annaghmore would be a positive area for commuting to/ from Cork city.</p>
MacCarthy, John	2061	<p>This submission conveys support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submitter puts forward three arguments in favour of the new settlement at Annaghmore. Firstly, it is considered that Annaghmore would be good for his local area. Secondly, it is asserted that Annaghmore would be good for young people by providing easy and convenient access to Cork. Lastly, it is stated that is futuristic and forward looking.</p>
MacCarthy, John B	2062	<p>This submission asserts support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submitter wishes to convey his support and that of his family. It is argued that the new settlement will be a great social and economic benefit to the local region as it would create jobs in the local region.</p>
Maguire Family	2728	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Maguire, Michael	2110	This submission supports the proposals for a new town at Annaghmore.
Malone, Aileen	2726	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Manning, Rena</i>	2627	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>McAuliffe, Terry</i>	2465	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. The submitter also praises O'Flynn Construction.</p>
<i>McCarthy, Ann</i>	2614	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
McCarthy, Anne	2434	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that a well planned town could act a blue-print for future development. It is considered, by the submitter, that the larger towns have had an unrealistic expansion through over development of housing estates, while the services, amenities and facilities have failed to keep pace with the housing developments. It is further argued that the inclusion of adequate schools, shops, green areas, sports facilities and commercial enterprises is a more sensible approach. This submission maintains that Annaghmore will provide employment through construction which would be beneficial under the current economic climate. Lastly, the submitter states that Annaghmore is the way forward for the future.</p>
McCarthy, Bernie	2610	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitter's point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
McCarthy, C	2590	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
<p>McCarthy, Catherine</p>	<p>2738</p>	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McCarthy, Denis	2595	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McCarthy, Edward	2471	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the submitter believes that if extra houses are put on the market, it will reduce the over inflated house prices. It is further stated that Cork County Council could attach the necessary conditions to the relevant planning permission. The submission also proposes that the opportunity to create a standard bearer in terms of large scale developments now exists, instead of piecemeal expansion to existing towns and villages and also to create large green areas between the existing and new developments.</p>
McCarthy, Elaine	2658	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
McCarthy, Eoin	2657	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
McCarthy, Finbarr	2024	This submission supports the development of Annaghmore because it would bring economic growth to the area and improve infrastructure, facilities and job creation which are much needed in this area.
McCarthy, Janice	2474	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the submitter believes that if extra houses are put on the market, it will reduce the over inflated house prices. It is further stated that Cork County Council could attach the necessary conditions to the relevant planning permission. The submission also proposes that the opportunity to create a standard bearer in terms of large scale developments now exists, instead of piecemeal expansion to existing towns and villages and also to create large green areas between the existing and new developments.
McCarthy, Killian	2431	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submitter states that he is in agreement with the amendments in the County Development Plan regarding Annaghmore. It is stated that a self sufficient idea is a positive idea. It further expresses that Carrigaline has no more

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		capacity for development and that developing to the West would address this.
McCarthy, Liam	2436	This proposal is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that it will create job opportunities and there will be lots of facilities for young people as it will be a deliberately planned town.
McCarthy, Marcus	2600	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems
McCarthy, Michael	2112	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would improve facilities in the area.
McCarthy, Nora	2336	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
McCarthy, Nora	2370	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
McCarthy, Owen	2475	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the submitter believes that if extra houses are put on the market, it will reduce the over inflated house prices. It is further stated that Cork County Council could attach the necessary conditions to the relevant planning permission. The submission also proposes that the opportunity to create a standard bearer in terms of large scale developments now exists, instead of piecemeal expansion to existing towns and villages and also to create large green areas between the existing and new developments.
McCarthy, Ralph	2221	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
McCarthy, Sara	2477	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the submitter believes that if extra houses are put on the market, it will reduce the over inflated house prices. It is further stated that Cork County Council could attach the necessary conditions to the relevant planning permission. The submission also proposes that the opportunity to create a standard bearer in terms of large scale developments now exists, instead of piecemeal expansion to existing towns and villages and also to create large green areas between the existing and new developments.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McCarthy, Sarah	2607	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McCarthy, Shane	2152	This submission supports the proposed town at Annaghmore. The development would give much needed employment to the area. It is ideally located and served by an excellent road network.
McCarthy, Sheila	2473	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the submitter believes that if extra houses are put on the market, it will reduce the over inflated house prices. It is further stated that Cork County Council could attach the necessary conditions to the relevant planning permission. The submission also proposes that the opportunity to create a standard bearer in terms of large scale developments now exists, instead of piecemeal expansion to existing towns and villages and also to create large green areas between the existing and new developments.
McCarthy, Tadhg	2472	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the submitter believes that if extra houses are put on the market, it will reduce the over inflated house prices. It is further stated that Cork County Council could attach the necessary conditions to the relevant planning permission. The submission also proposes that the opportunity to create a standard bearer in terms of large scale developments now exists, instead of piecemeal expansion to existing towns and villages and also to create large green areas between the existing and new developments.
McCarthy, Tony & Maureen	2070	This submission conveys support for the development of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that it will provide employment and revenue.
McCarthy, Una	2476	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that the submitter believes that if extra houses are put on the market, it will reduce the over inflated house prices. It is further stated that Cork County Council could attach the necessary conditions to the relevant planning permission. The submission also proposes that the opportunity to create a standard bearer in terms of large scale developments now exists, instead of piecemeal expansion to existing towns and villages and also to create large green areas between the existing and new developments.
McCloskey, Johnathan	2331	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing Land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
McCloskey, Ursula	2711	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,</p> <p>Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines, The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McGovern, Laura	2718	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
McLean, John	2768	<p>This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal will facilitate the growth of West Cork and can utilise the support of schools and shops in Bandon Town.</p>
McMahon, Catriona	2735	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
McNamara, Paul	2754	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that Annaghmore can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
McSweeney, Chris	2500	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
McSweeney, Claire	2368	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the Draft County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission states that there is no detailed “need” for another new town or settlement as being proposed by the developers at Annaghmore. The submitters note that the proposal undermines the existing CASP and the Draft CASP 2008 update and is therefore not consistent with CASP.</p> <p>The submitters note that the proposal to include Annaghmore in the Draft County Development Plan is seen to be the most significant change that has environmental effects on the draft plan. In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP, the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on (i) – Habitats, (ii) - Brown Fields Sites, (iii) – Water (drinking water and wastewater), (iv) – Landscape (v) - Quality of Life issues (traffic considerations and air quality).</p> <p>Proposed change 2.4: The submitter states that this change is contrary to CASP and notes that any existing towns will absorb expected population</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>growth. The submitter further notes that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and further contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 2.5: The submitter states that this change is contrary to CASP and notes that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter notes that such an intense pocket of growth of housing/population is not balanced, further contradicts CASP and there is no predicted need for such a settlement.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 2.6: The submitter notes that point h) is unnecessary and contradictory to CASP. The submitter states that the scale of the development proposed will have a major environmental impact and negatively affect the quality of life of the inhabitants of the N71.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 2.9 The submitter states that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CASP Ring Strategic Planning Area is not required and notes that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter notes that such an intense pocket of growth of housing/population is not balanced and further contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 2.10 The submitter states that the inclusion of Annaghmore in Figure 2.3 is not required, contrary to CASP and notes that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter notes that such an intense pocket of growth of housing/population is not balanced and further contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 3.40 The submitter states that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CASP Ring Strategic Planning Area is not required and notes that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter notes that such an intense pocket of growth of housing/population is not balanced and further contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 3.41 The submitter states that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the Cork County Development Plan will stunt the development and growth of town such as Bandon, Fermoy, Kinsale, Macroom and Youghal. The submitter notes that a population of 3000 at Annaghmore will require a reduction in projected growth of the existing towns which are more capable of dealing with a balanced expansion of the population in the CASP area. The submitter notes that Annaghmore is contrary to the opinion of the County Manager and such an intense pocket of growth of housing/population is not balanced and further contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 3.42: The submitter states that change 3.42 has been addressed through the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p>
		<p>Proposed change 6.28: The submitter notes that this is the only detailed information regarding water, waste-waters and flooding and concerns have been raised in the SEA by the Council IN THIS REGARD. The submitter outlines that CASP has been ignored and states that "The developer regards it a better solution to use details from a Mallow Special Local Area Plan"</p>
		<p>Proposed change 9.1 The submitter states that this change is contrary to CASP and</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>notes that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter further notes that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and further contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted need for such a settlement in this region.</p> <p>Proposed change 9.2 The submitter highlights that the requirements to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP, the proposed framework will naturally occur in the areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>The submitter notes the concerns of her family in relation to the proposed development at Annaghmore as their home of 31 years is opposite the proposed development. The submitters previously outlined their opposition to the proposal and now ask for their observations to be on board.</p>
McSweeney, Eric	2361	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
	6.28	<p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
McSweeney, Paul	2411	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Meldon, Linda	2322	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Moore, Olivia	2330	This submission supports the proposed new development at Annaghmore. The submission states that this development will enhance the locality if it is developed as proposed with a greater emphasis on infrastructure.
Moore, Pat (Town Clerk Bandon Town Council)	2041	This submission objects to the inclusion of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. The submission outlines the following concerns; 1) That the members of Cork County Council have not discussed the proposal with the elected members of Bandon and other Town Councils in the catchment area. 2) the rapid increase of households has meant that infrastructural provision has failed to keep pace resulting in inadequate public transport and an inadequate road network. 3) The draft CASP update noted the significant population increase in Bandon, Inishannon and the South City Environs. This increased population has resulted in a significant increase of traffic along the N71 between West Cork and Cork City. It is therefore of serious concern to Bandon Town Council that the development of Annaghmore is at variance with both the Cork County Development Plan and the Cork Area Strategic Plan. 4) the development of Annaghmore would greatly interfere with the potential development of its surrounding areas including Crossbarry, Ballinhassig, Ballygarvan, Halfway and other nearby villages. 5) the proposal will also draw from the development and population growth of Bandon, Kinsale, Macroom and the proposed strategic employment centre for West Cork in Clonakilty. 6) Annaghmore would impact on the Innishannon Water Supply Scheme. Additionally the Owenabue does not have the capacity to absorb the wastewater discharge from the proposed "new town" and this would result in the necessity to pump wastewater into the Bandon catchment downstream of Innishannon. It would be necessary to pump water from the Innishannon Water Scheme to meet the demand at a potentially huge cost in developing new infrastructure to meet future demands. The proposal would not seem to be in accordance with the Water Framework Directive and the South West River Basin Management Plan. 7) The proposed development would be built on a flood plain which could have serious implications for other areas downstream. Recent heavy rains resulting in flooding and major traffic disruption should also be considered when taking into account the increase in the number of vehicles which this town would bring.
Moriarty, Martin	2719	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Mulcahy, Dermot	2375	This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. The submission states that this development would be good for West Cork.
Mulcahy, Ivan	2117	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that this development will result road improvements in the area and will bring housing and employment for the people of West Cork.
Murphy, A	2150	This submission supports low to medium density development in the proposed new town of Annaghmore.
Murphy, Brian	2095	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states the submitter is in his twenties and finds it impossible to get a site for house in the area and that he has lived in Annaghmore all his life. It further asserts that Annaghmore will offer him and others who wish to live in the area hope for the future.
Murphy, Denis	2640	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Murphy, Dominic & Maureen	2592	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.

The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.

The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.

The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems

Murphy, Helen

2558

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Murphy, Imelda	2091	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. It expresses satisfaction that an area west of the city is proposed for development and not overwhelming existing villages. It is argued that a new settlement which is stand alone but dependant on the towns of Kinsale and Bandon is a good idea. It also states that it will provide employment in the area to avoid commuting to Cork, and also that it will provide people with the opportunity to live in West Cork, but within easy commuting of Cork city.
Murphy, James	2077	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore.
Murphy, Jerry	2098	This submission is in agreement with the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued that West Cork needs a new and modern work and living environment, which would attract investment and also create jobs. The submission urges serious consideration of the proposal.
Murphy, Mary	2118	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore.
Murphy, Mary	2348	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Murphy, Maureen	2611	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Murphy, Mike	2570	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager’s professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Murphy, O	2642	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitter's point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems.</p>
Murphy, Olga	2151	<p>This submission supports low to medium density development in the proposed new town of Annaghmore as it would benefit the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		community in the area.
Murray, Neil	2146	This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore and states that it would improve the dangerous stretch of the N71 and would also bring employment and facilities to the area.
Nash, Finbarr	2114	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore.
Ni Liathain, Carol	2052	This submission is in support of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It states that most people from West Cork would welcome the opportunity to live West of Cork city. The submission highlights that Annaghmore is within easy reach of the city for people who wish to commute. Lastly, the submission argues that the existing villages in the area have been developed beyond their capacity and a new settlement would be better than further extending villages like Crossbarry and Innishannon.
Lowney, Noelle	2712	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Proposed amendment to section 2.6:
		CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.
		Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28
		The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,
		Environmental impact management
		Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.
		In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.
		The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.
		The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
Nolan, Andrew	2717	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O' Donoghue, Sean	2099	<p>This submission expresses its support for the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It states that West Cork has been largely ignored by planners in the recent past and that Annaghmore would redress the balance. It further states that people in West Cork want to live West of the city and work there also. The submitter feels Annaghmore is the solution.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O' Reilly, Billy	2584	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Brien, Tim	2693	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the Draft County Development Plan and observations with respect to the Environmental Assessment of the proposed amendment. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter notes that the inclusion of Annaghmore will impact on the ability of Bandon to reach its target population and will further increase the population in the rural hinterland.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments,

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county. The submission also supports the conclusions of the County Manager in his Supplementary Report to Members, Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore. The submission concludes that the inclusion of Annaghmore could have devastating consequences on quality of life and a long term negative impact on transport, water supply, sewage treatment infrastructure, the environment and the Owenabue River valley during and after its construction.
O'Byren, Stephen	2635	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O’Connell, Paddy	2377	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. The submission states that the new town is essential for the future of West Cork</p>
O’Connor, Aidan	2314	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Connor, Daniel	2109	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore because the developer is improving the N71 at his own expense.</p>
O'Connor, Denis and Denise	2535	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p> <p>Note - The submitters have attached a copy of page 207 of the proposed amendments highlighting Objective INF-5-13 part b) "It is an objective of this plan to strongly discourage development, which is sensitive to the effects of flooding, unless justified as essential in terms of sustainable and proper planning"</p> <p>Note - The submitters have enclosed two photographs of the lands at Annaghmore and the lands down stream of Annaghmore (during a period of flooding).</p>
O'Connor, Eleanor	2487	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Connor, Eleanor	2704	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>The submitter requires the Council to note her address - The Bog Road, Annaghmore. The submitter questions why the development would be situated in Annaghmore, she suggests that it is driven by motivations of profit and employment. The submitter questions who is supporting the project and suggests that if the project got the go ahead then it may not be built for a number of years causing landbanking and further economic unrest.</p> <p>The submitter asks a number of questions relating to the planning history of the site in Annaghmore and the developers previous developments in Ballincollig and Cork City. The submitter questions the numbers of units that the developer has available, unsold and questions if the government have bought any of these units or if they will buy any of these units in the future. The submitter questions the logic in permitting development at Annaghmore while purchasing vacant units from the developer. The submitter highlights that the proposal is unsustainable and developer led.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Guidelines, The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.
O'Connor, John and Mary	2537	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submitters outline that the Annaghmore site is part of an area that is a habitat to a diversity of wildlife especially frogs. The submitters maintain that Annaghmore should not be included in the development plan until an impact study is carried out by a professional impartial consultant.</p> <p>The submitters are of the opinion that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding.</p> <p>The submitters outline that the area is scenic and should not be turned into a "concrete jungle". In the submitters opinion there are a number of other villages and towns which could be developed in a structured and creative manner.</p> <p>The submitters highlight that there is inadequate infrastructure to cater for the existing levels of traffic and to support a development like that proposed at Annaghmore.</p>
O'Connor, Liam	2486	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Connor, Margaret	2381	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>O'Connor, Richard</i>	2108	<p>This submission supports the proposed new town at Annaghmore as it would bring employment to the area.</p>
<i>O'Donovan, Catherine</i>	2130	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that there is a need for a strategy to meet the projected housing needs of the Cork area in a way which would avoid unacceptable impact on existing settlements and communities. The development of a new settlement at Annaghmore represents an ideal opportunity to address these housing needs.</p>
<i>O'Donovan, Christine</i>	2340	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>O'Donovan, Cian</i>	2767	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is stated that it can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
<i>O'Donovan, David</i>	2145	This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore and states that it would improve the dangerous stretch of the N71 and would also bring employment to the area.
<i>O'Donovan, Fionn</i>	2755	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that Annaghmore can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
<i>O'Donovan, Helen</i>	2715	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>O'Donovan, Jeannie</i>	2046	This submission supports the proposed new town of

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Annaghmore. The submissions states that the location is central and strategic and that construction would bring employment to the area. Annaghmore would have more appeal if it had a train/tram connection in the future.
O'Donovan, Kate	2765	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
O'Donovan, MB	2153	This submission supports the proposed new town at Annaghmore. The submission states that the development would provide jobs, roads, houses, factories etc.
O'Donovan, Rory	2756	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
O'Donovan, Rosemary	2154	This submission supports the proposed new town at Annaghmore. The submission states that the development would provide jobs, roads, houses, factories etc.
O'Donovan, Theresa	2360	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>O'Donovan, Vince</i>	2129	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring development and jobs to the western side of Cork City and closer to West Cork. The development may also hasten the improvement of the N71.
<i>O'Driscoll, Catriona</i>	2335	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Driscoll, John	2149	<p>This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that the provision of light industrial units and housing including a new village centre would be of great benefit both socially and financially to local and wider areas concerned. Annaghmore would also help with the sustainable enhancement of local employment opportunities. The proximity to the hinterland towns of Bandon, Ballincollig, Kinsale and Carrigaline as well as Cork City offers a ready supply of all the resources needed for this development to be a success.</p>
O'Driscoll, Ronan	2432	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submitter states that he is impressed with the idea of Annaghmore, and believes that it promises an exciting and futuristic element for the area West of the city. It is further stated that young people of the area deserve opportunities.</p>
O'Driscoll, Timothy	2606	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.

The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.

The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.

The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.

The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.

The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems

O’Gorman, Mark

2662

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1,9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :

This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Grady, John	2374	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore.</p>
O'Hallorhan, Brian	2790	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the Draft County Development Plan and observations with respect to the Environmental Assessment of the proposed amendment. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter notes that the inclusion of Annaghmore will impact on the ability of Bandon to reach its target population and will further increase the population in the rural hinterland.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28.</p>
		<p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)</p>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county. The submission also supports the conclusions of the County Manager in his Supplementary Report to Members, Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore. The submission concludes that the inclusion of Annaghmore could have devastating consequences on quality of life and a long term negative impact on transport, water supply, sewage treatment infrastructure, the environment and the Owenabue River valley during and after its construction.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Leary, AK	2580	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>O'Leary, Charlie</i>	2148	<p>This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submitter has a business in the area and states that Annaghmore would be a good source of employees for local employers. It also states that the development would further enhance services in the area such as public transport, broadband and recreational facilities while also bringing employment to the area.</p>
<i>O'Leary, Grace</i>	2705	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Leary, Helen	2369	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O’Leary, Helen	2488	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>O’Leary, Jennifer</i>	2660	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1,9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no ‘need’ for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Leary, Marion	2591	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Leary, Nollaig	2629	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :
 This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

O’Leary, Ted

2466

This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is highlighted that under the current economic climate that it is comforting to know that a developer of high repute is willing to invest on West Cork.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Mahoney, Gus	2069	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. It is expressed that the development of Annaghmore would bring employment to the area and that it would be good for business in the area.
O'Mahoney, Sharon	2115	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore.
O'Mahoney, Tim	2032	This submission supports the proposed development at Annaghmore. The submission states that a development on the west side of the city would balance the areas previously developed to the north, south and east of the city. It may also help to address some of the projected housing need in the cork area for the future. The submission also states that the proposed improvements to the N71 would also be welcomed.
O'Mahony, Gus	2067	This submission states that the submitter is in favour of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. It is expressed that the development of Annaghmore would bring employment to the area and that it would be good for business in the area.
O'Mahony, Seamus	2778	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
O'Mahony, Tony	2761	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal can only bring prosperity and employment to the area.
O'Neill, Gillian	2076	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that the development will bring employment to the area which is necessary during this current economic downturn. It would also give people the opportunity to work near their home instead of commuting to surrounding areas such as Ballincollig and Bishopstown.
O'Neill, Maeve	2312	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
O'Rahilly, Joan	2596	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :
 This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

O’Rahilly, Neil

2597

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 &</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

O'Regan, Joseph, Gerald

2752

recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42 and 6.28 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission states that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.

Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42. The submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Field Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Regan, Kevin	2732	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Regan, Michael Patrick	2415	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Reilly, Caroline	2347	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Reilly, Helen	2587	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
O'Reilly, Kathleen	2586	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Reilly, Tony	2571	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager's professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Owebnebue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
O'Riordan, Tony	2132	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it will prevent the spread of ribbon development and improve a dangerous section on the N71.</p>
O'Shea, Colm	2491	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River</p> <p>to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>O'Shea, David</i>	2492	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Shea, Debbie	2493	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O’Shea, Eoin	2505	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no ‘need’ for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Shea, Gillian	2504	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments,

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
O'Shea, Karol	2776	This submission is in favour of the inclusion of the Annaghmore settlement in the County Development Plan, the submitter maintains that the proposal would reduce the isolation of places in West Cork. The submitter outlines that the proposed location has a low population given its proximity to Cork City and therefore would be able to cope with a development of the size proposed. The submitter also notes that the proposed development will increase the level of employment in the area.
O'Shea, Keith	2105	This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that this development would bring a much needed boost to employment and would balance the settlement pattern of the county.
O'Shea, Mark	2497	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

O’Shea, Phil

2509

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

O’Shea, Sandra

2503

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no ‘need’ for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Sullivan, Alan	2127	This submission supports the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore as it would bring development to the south west side of the city.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Sullivan, Barry	2565	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Sullivan, Caoimhe	2749	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county. Also added to this submission is 1500 houses/3000 cars would generate a health hazard for existing and new residents. The question is posed as to what legal rights Cork County Council has to subject residents to this health hazard.</p>
O'Sullivan, Caoimhe	2744	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p> <p>The submitter outlines that 1500 houses equates to 3000 cars leading to problems with smog, causing health hazards.</p>
<i>O'Sullivan, Catherine</i>	2121	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it will bring employment, services and sustainability to the area. The submission states that all new developments should be supported given the present economic climate.</p>
<i>O'Sullivan, Catherine</i>	2751	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42 and 6.28 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p> <p>The submitter also notes that 1500 houses equates to 3000 cars which will generate a health hazard for existing and new residents.</p>
O'Sullivan, Catherine	2750	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
O'Sullivan, Daniel	2643	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Sullivan, Diarmuid	2746	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>Also added to this submission is 1500 houses/3000 cars would generate a health hazard for existing and new residents.</p>
<i>O'Sullivan, Diarmuid</i>	2745	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.

The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.

The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.

The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems

O'Sullivan, Dorothy

2644

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Sullivan, Elaine	2566	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however she maintains that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
<p>O'Sullivan, Gareth</p>	<p>2362</p>	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Sullivan, Gerard	2706	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Sullivan, James	2111	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore.
O'Sullivan, Jim	2203	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Sullivan, Jim	2701	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Sullivan, Kevin	2748	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems.</p> <p>The submitter states that smog generated by such a development would have negative impacts on the health of the existing residents in the area.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Sullivan, Kevin	2747	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>Also this submission poses the question to see if the overall health effect to the existing residents, of 1500 houses and 3000 cars generated by this development has been examined.</p>
O'Sullivan, Lisa	2709	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owebnebue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
O'Sullivan, Michelle	2634	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O’Sullivan, Paul	2324	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Sullivan, Ray	2603	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors with "no qualifications or background in good estate planning". The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
O'Sullivan, Richard	2700	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,

Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
O'Sullivan, Seamus	2689	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
O'Sullivan, Tadg	2710	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Trown &</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development,</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owebnebue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.

Roche, Julie

2066

This submission wishes to support the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. The submitter states that she is not from the area, but has ties to the area and states that if developed properly, it would be a welcome development for the county.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Pettit, Elizabeth	2742	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Quin, Aine	2623	<p>Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development"</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Quin, Brian	2621	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Rea, James	2096	<p>This submission asserts support for the proposed new development at Annaghmore. The submission states that the proposed settlement will bring a number of benefits to area in the form of jobs and increasing local services and amenities for those living in the area. The submitter also states that he will be finishing his studies soon and would like to reside in the proposed settlement with access to Cork city along the N71.</p>
Rea, Nicholas	2086	<p>This submission supports the proposed new development at Annaghmore. The submission states that this development provides a great opportunity to develop an area with excellent access to Cork City and to West Cork. The submission also states that the development would provide local services and amenities for the population of the area.</p>
Reid, Gordan	2667	<p>This submission objects to the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore and supports the submissions submitted through www.annaghmore.org. In addition this submission has a particular concern about the apparent lack of any consideration for adequate public transport for the new town. Building a dormitory town without a rail connection will only add to the already congested roads. A town of this size is too small to support larger retail facilities which makes it inevitable that residents of Annaghmore would travel by car to Cork, Bandon, Carrigaline for shopping. In addition the submission states that the lack of provision for a secondary school at Annaghmore would result in children being brought by car to the surrounding towns to attend school . This would add to the traffic burden already caused by commuting especially at peak periods throughout the day. The proposed new development therefore conflicts with the attempts made in the CDP to develop sustainable transport and infrastructure around Cork.</p>
Roche, Charlie	2618	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems.</p>
Roche, Kitty	2617	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Roche, Margaret	2619	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Roche, Veronica	2620	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants. The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitter's point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems.</p>
Rooney, Ken	2731	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Rooney, Ken	2727	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Rose, Paul	2365	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Roycroft, Richard	2065	This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. The submitter expresses that it will improve a dangerous stretch of road from the Halfway to Innishannon. It is further stated that it will bring employment to this part of Cork.
Ruff, Nadine	2409	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Ryan, Anaita	2044	<p>This submission supports the proposed new town of Annaghmore. The submission states that by creating a new modern vibrant village with family facilities schools/leisure/shopping/employment it may lessen the commute to Cork and even encourage businesses to relocate from the city as has been done in other countries. The proposal would improve the N71 particularly near the Tooreen straight which is an accident blackspot.</p>
Ryan, Claire	2490	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Ryan, Gearoid

2556

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Ryan, Joan	2371	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Ryan, Joanne</i>	2574	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore as this change is in contradiction to CASP which has projected that any existing towns will absorb expected population growth. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore is also contrary to the manager's professional opinion. The submission highlights that such an intense pocket of growth of housing and population is not balanced and contradicts CASP. The submitter notes that there is no predicted "need" for such a development in the region.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.2</p> <p>The submitter notes that the requirement to deal with population increases has already been dealt with by CASP and the submitter outlines that the proposed framework will naturally occur in areas as set out by CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owebneue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines. The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>
Ryan, Maria	2559	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Ryan, Pat	2332	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Ryan, Pat	2653	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Sage, Colin	2299	This submission opposed the proposed development at Annaghmore as a) it is in an inappropriate location from a hydrological perspective b) it lacks the appropriate infrastructure especially roads and transportation infrastructure and c) it will not help meet our international commitments to reduce greenhouse emissions. The submission proposes that Annaghmore will result in a dormitory commuting suburb that will display all the worst features of urban sprawl.
Sayers, Garrett & Clarkin-Sayers, Joanne	2536	<p>This submission is opposed to the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission includes an excerpt for the Cork Area Strategic Plan (CASP) and highlights that the proposed settlement at Annaghmore has not been referred to or addressed in CASP. The submission states that Annaghmore would constitute a negative impact on the economic, transport, social and service infrastructure development of the CASP region.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment Section 2.4</p> <p>Annaghmore is not included as a Ring Town. The CASP Update reflects that the focus for significant development will be in the hub town of Mallow, with a population growth expected at 20,000 persons by 2020 and an expected growth in employment also. CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 and 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>The submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of CASP and the plan for population and employment growth in the ring towns and rural areas. The Update CASP takes account of the revised lower average density figures of 2.41 persons per household by 2020. As reported by CASP, there is significant uncertainty as to the appropriate long term assumptions to use for household size. The current economic climate may impact on future household size, and the population increase as calculated in the CASP Update are probably over generous in estimation.</p> <p>The submission further maintains that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing Land Availability Study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local Area Plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>It is asserted that the inclusion of Annaghmore will undermine the key concepts of CASP-reinforcement of Ring towns, infrastructure-led development and the creation and maintenance of a high quality environment.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>It is maintained that a new settlement on the N71 at Annaghmore will negatively impact on the ability to support the strategic transport improvement corridor and the residents of Annaghmore will be car dependant, thus contributing to further congestion on the N71.</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Sexton, Ber	2601	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Shanahan, Ann	2650	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Shanahan, Noel	2663	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitter point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Sheehan, Sean	2593	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.

The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.

The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.

The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems

Sheehan, Susan

2447

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>
		<p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p>
		<p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p>
		<p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Sheehan, Tadhg	2609	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Sheeran, Chris</i>	2359	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Shiels, Bernie	2563	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Shiels, Catherine	2561	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Shiels, John	2743	existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.
		The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
		This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.
		Proposed amendment to section 2.4;
		In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.
		The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.
		Proposed amendment to section 2.5:
		In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.
		Proposed amendment to section 2.6:
		CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).
Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28		
The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service		

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Shiels, Maria	2213	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

Proposed amendment to section 2.6:

CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).

Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28

the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management

Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments,

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Shiels, Patrick	2708	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposes the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 and 9.2. of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan. The submitter notes that CASP anticipates that the vast proportion of the growth in employment will occur in Mallow Town and Fermoy Town with moderate growth in Bandon Town & Hinterland, Macroom Town & hinterland and Kinsale town & hinterland to support the existing and proposed populations. The submitter notes that CASP does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The submitter highlights that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units. The submitter also outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP will seriously impact on the ability of the Bandon town to reach its target population and the proposal is contrary to CASP as it will further increase the number of people living in the rural hinterland.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County) thus impacting on the strategic plans for economic development of the county and quality of life for its citizens.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A - Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C - Water, D - Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submitter highlights that Annaghmore and surrounding lands have already been identified as a "Vulnerable area" and the Owenabue River as "at risk" by Cork County Council.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission maintains that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p> <p>The submission supports the conclusions contained in the Supplementary County Managers Report to Members (Proposals for a New Settlement at Annaghmore) which state that Annaghmore is contrary to CASP 2001, the CASP update 2008 and contrary to the Southwest Regional Planning Guidelines, The submitter notes that the strategy put forward in the Draft County Development Plan and the managers recommended amendments (excluding Annaghmore) represent the most sustainable future for the County and maintains that the inclusion of Annaghmore is generally inconsistent with the proper planning and sustainable development of the County.</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Shiels, Patrick	2209	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Shiels, Patrick J	2562	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Simpson, Julie	2315	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Spencer, Margot	2323	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Stroker, Eamon	2218	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Stroker, Stephen	2208	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		county.
Sweetnam, Anne	2630	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream. The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Thomson, Fiona	2737	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B -</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Barry, Timothy	2417	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above. The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Tom ? / The Occupier	2646	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Tracey, Ann	2379	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
<i>Tracey, Mark</i>	2210	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.4, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Treacy, Patrick

2779

In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Cork Environmental Forum:

The submission states that the proposed Draft County Development Plan contains a number of points that appear to be fundamentally out of step with the Draft Plan of December 07, the current County Development Plan 2003 and the principles of proper planning and sustainable development.

The submitter compliments the current plan and outlines that was is successful as it directed development into appropriate areas because of the following:

- (a) The current plan respects the overarching strategic vision of CASP.
- (b) The current plan continues the land use settlement strategy of the 1996 development plan including the protection of green belts with certain exemptions.
- (c) The current plan has a number of objectives to protect scenic areas, scenic routes and coastal areas for their own intrinsic value.

The submitter highlights that the three pillars underpinning the current plan (mentioned above) are being “seriously undermined” in the proposed plan.

Proposed change no. 2.5:

The submitter outlines that the inclusion of Annaghmore contradicts the direction and vision of CASP. The submitter maintains that Annaghmore is unserviced, road dependent, will undermine the local towns of Bandon, Kinsale and the villages of Inishannon, Halfway, Ballinhassig and Crossbarry. The

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>submitter outlines that one of the fundamental elements of CASP is balanced development of Cork to the North and East where there are lower levels of growth/less congestion and onto a public transport corridor (i.e. the train). The submission highlights that Annaghmore does not have a transport corridor and is located in the wrong place, in summary the submitter believes that the proposal is unsustainable and expresses his concern at the inclusion of the paragraph.</p> <p>Proposed change no. 4.7: The submission expresses concern at the proposed changes to the objectives concerning greenbelt areas requiring special protection (A1) and states that this is an unnecessary and alarming dilution of the previous policy. The submitter outlines that the proposed inserted text acknowledging the housing needs of "landowners with a genuine connection to the area, or their immediate family members" is a significant step backwards from the CDP 2003 and the submitter believes that this threatens to undermine the integrity of these vital areas for future generations and expresses his grave concern at the above inclusion.</p> <p>Proposed change no. 4.13: The submitter is concerned that the protection offered to scenic areas and unique landscapes relates to just its "tourism potential" or an "Economic basis". The submitter is concerned that unlike the current and previous CDP, there appears to be no objectives to protect these areas for their own intrinsic, unique, national or international importance.</p> <p>The submitter questions if the county development plan should have more than just policies and objectives that appeal to the development lobby and states that other people in the county may want to hand over an unscathed county to the next generation. The submitter outlines that the area which requires the most level of protection (West Cork coastline) have the least level of protection making it easier to build houses in West Cork scenic areas than those in the remainder of the County. The submitter questions the enforceability of the year round occupation clauses and maintains that this will lead to more one-off housing in the West Cork peninsulas which is not in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area. The submitter notes that to date the scenic landscape of west cork has not been eroded to the same degree of Galway and Kerry and for the sake of the future inhabitants the area must be protected. The submitter notes grave concern at the inclusion of objective RCI 2-15 c) (as outlined above)</p> <p>The submitter notes that the significant amendments detailed above solely address the needs of the building/development sectors and that the majority of the Cork people who wish to leave this earth in not any worse condition than they found it will not be served well by their inclusion.</p>
Tuohy, Eamon	2579	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Tuohy, Martin</i>	2576	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Twohig, Sean	2334	This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.

The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.

The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.

The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.

Twomey, Joe

2467

This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.

Proposed amendment to section 2.4;

In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.

The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.

Proposed amendment to section 2.5:

In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Twomey, Michael</i>	2102	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore and makes a number of suggestions in relation to the following areas; 1) Housing and housing needs; the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>submission states that a wide variety of houses would be desirable in Annaghmore, 2) schools and education; these must also be a key factor and a 'role model' secondary school to cater for a large catchment area to alleviate some of the overcrowding problems in Kinsale and Bandon. 3) Transport; the submission states that a large Park and Ride facility and parking for caravans and lorries could also be provided. 4) Tourism; a tourist information centre could also be included in the development. 5) Employment; the provision of light industrial units could bring employment to the area. 6) Infrastructure; an upgrade of the N71 to dual carriageway standard. 7) The submission states that flooding has never been an issue in this part of Annaghmore. The wetland areas will be preserved in the proposed development with the provision of eco-parks. 8) Recreation; the proposal will also include recreational and green areas which will benefit Crossbarry and Innishannon. Other areas in the county such as Midleton, Carrigaline and Ballincollig have benefitted from massive growth in population and industry in the past however this area has not and would therefore benefit from a development like Annaghmore.</p>
Twomey, Micheal	2125	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it would bring employment to the area and improve the road network.</p>
Twomey, Olive	2416	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Tuohy, Norma	2578	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Eamon	2464	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. It is argued the O'Flynn Construction are developers of high repute which would have examined the social and environmental aspects of the project. The submission states that there is a responsibility to the next generation to give the area of West Cork this opportunity.</p>
Walsh, Eileen	2654	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Eileen	2585	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Eilenn	2489	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment does not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Joanne	2589	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Mark Walsh, Niamh Walsh, Mary Walsh, Sharon	2539	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implications for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Mary	2612	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p>
		<p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p>
		<p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Walsh, Peter	2555	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 :</p> <p>This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Walsh, Seamus	2485	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.
Weston, Celia	2407	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching their target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

		<p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Whelton, Adrian	2605	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the Annaghmore proposal contradicts the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in relation to development in areas sensitive to flooding. The guidelines specify that only "essential" developments should be considered for sensitive areas and the submission outlines that the development at Annaghmore is not "essential" within the meaning of the guidelines.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the proposed development at Annaghmore would result in additional flooding and questions if the developer and the local authority will assume responsibility for damage caused by the flooding. The submission states that at present Carrigaline experiences flooding, the submitter is concerned that the proposed development at Annaghmore will effect the Owenabue and consequently effect flooding in Carrigaline.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that the Owenabue is a small river and would not be able to sustain the outflow of a wastewater treatment plant from a settlement of the size proposed at Annaghmore. The submitter is concerned about storm water and wash off from a town the size of Annaghmore causing an unacceptable concentration of contaminants The submitter highlights that the Ballea water works use the Owenabue River as its water source and that it is poor planning to lower the quality of the input water due to the new settlement upstream.</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>The submitter notes that Councilors should be mindful of drinking water problems in Galway.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that the area where Annaghmore is proposed has been A2 zoned for many years, a large number of families have been refused planning permission in order that the lands be preserved as agricultural in nature. The submitter outlines that it is unacceptable that this policy would be replaced with a policy supporting "intense development" following representations from Councilors. The submitter also states that from a tourism prospective the corridors to west Cork and Kerry must be preserved as aesthetically as is possible.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns that the proposed development at Annaghmore would add significantly to existing traffic problems on the approach roads to Cock City. The submitter maintains that it is illogical to promote a development of the scale of Annaghmore in a location not served by a rail network.</p> <p>The submitter highlights that Annaghmore is not in line with CASP and CASP has identified that there is sufficient land available in existing settlements to provide for future developments.</p> <p>The submitter notes that claims have been made that Annaghmore will create four to five hundred new jobs in the area, however they maintain that these are not additional jobs for the greater Cork area, they are jobs that will be relocated from existing centers of employment, resulting in uninhabited business units in local centers. The submitter questions the assumption that if Annaghmore has a population of 3000 and 500 jobs, where do the other 2500 people go - the submitters point is that the proposal would cause traffic problems</p>
Whetton, Neil and Pamela	2124	<p>This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore as it is vital to the growth and development of this community. The submissions states that this development will bring employment, new roads, bus routes and amenities to the area .</p>
Wiley, Tony	2433	<p>This submission is in favour of the proposed new settlement of Annaghmore. It states that it is a new idea, new century, new concept, new housing, new jobs and a new town.</p>
William Manning, William	2412	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Woods, Dermot	2625	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>The submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 9.1, 9.2 : This submission argues that CASP has projected that any existing towns will absorb the expected growth, but Annaghmore, as an intense pocket of growth, will mean that population is not balanced and therefore contradicts CASP.</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
Woodworth, Simon	2326	<p>This submission is opposed to the inclusion of the new settlement at Annaghmore in the County Development Plan. It proposed the deletion of all reference to Annaghmore specifically from section 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28, 9.1, 9.2 of the plan.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.4;</p> <p>In relation to amendment 2.4, the submission states that the 2008 CASP report does not include Annaghmore as a Ring Town or Rural Area in the proposed overall strategic plan and does not envisage a significant increase in future population for Bandon and the Bandon Hinterland in 2020 from the present day figures of 9,174 & 11,289.</p> <p>The submission proposes that Annaghmore will have a negative</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>impact on the surrounding towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale in terms of reaching there target population and potential employment levels. The fact that there is no provision for a post-primary school education facility in the proposed development is also a concern.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.5:</p> <p>In relation to section 2.5, the submission argues that Annaghmore is not included in the scope of the CASP overall strategic plan for housing location. The 2006 Housing land availability study identified sufficient land in Bandon to build 1677 housing units, and in addition the Amendment to the Bandon Local area plan (June 2007) identified additional lands capable of accommodating a total for the settlement of about 1891 housing units thus leaving a total of 41 units excess to requirements. Therefore with sufficient development land identified as available in Bandon to support the predicted target population. The submission proposes that there is no 'need' for the settlement at Annaghmore which includes plans for 1,500 additional housing units.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.6:</p> <p>CASP states that the whole transport planning process must be closely and directly linked with the spatial and land use planning process. The submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the County Development Plan will not tie into the integrated transport system planned for the county and will have serious negative implication for the future management of the transport planning process for Cork (City and County).</p> <p>Proposed amendment to section 2.9, 2.10, 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 6.28</p> <p>the submission objects to the inclusion of Annaghmore in the list of Ring Towns as it is not included in the current updated version of CASP (2008 - 2020), therefore no provision or recommendation has been made for this town in the strategic planning of: Integrated transport infrastructure, Service infrastructure i.e. water supply, waste management/disposal, sewage treatment/disposal, Social/community development, Housing allocation, Retail allocation, Economic development, Environmental impact management</p> <p>Observations with respect to the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SER)</p> <p>In relation to the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the proposed Amendment to the CDP specifically 3.42 the submission highlights the potential environmental impact of the proposed development at Annaghmore on A – Habitats, B - Brown Fields Sites, C – Water, D – Landscape, E - Quality of Life issues. The submission proposes that the environmental assessment do not fully establish the potential impact of the development at Annaghmore on issues A to E above.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the settlement will have permanent devastating consequences for the environment and it is inappropriate to include the development at Annaghmore in CDP.</p> <p>The Submission proposes that the inclusion of Annaghmore in the CDP is contrary to the draft Flood Risk Management Guidelines issued by the Department of Environment as there is a long and documented history of flooding in this region, from Annaghmore down the Owenabue River to the estuary at Carrigaline (ref flood ID # 5070, 1367, 1368, 5071, 1369 & recurring flood ID # 3854). The OPW ordinance survey flood</p>

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>map has classified the region as high flood risk prone to repeated flooding. The development on or within the vicinity of the existing flood plain at Annaghmore would further aggravate existing flooding and subject the residents, property and business in the Annaghmore region and on the Owenabue River to unacceptable increased flood risk.</p> <p>The submission proposes that the proposed amendments, relating to Annaghmore, in the Cork County Development Plan will have a negative impact on the existing communities west of the Halfway, environment from the Bandon Hinterland to Carrigaline, the economic development and population targets for the Ring Towns of Bandon, Macroom and Kinsale and the development of integrated transport infrastructure in the county.</p>
<i>Youghal Town Council</i>	2005	<p>This submission states that the proposal for Annaghmore is contrary to the Cork Area Strategic Plan and takes from the development potential of the Ring Towns of Fermoy, Mallow, Macroom, Bandon, Kinsale and Youghal. The members of Youghal Town Council contest that the Annaghmore proposal is contrary to 1) The National Spatial Strategy, 2) the proper planning and sustainable development of existing ring towns and 3) the Current transport policy, infrastructural services policies. Youghal Town Council formally requests that the New County Development Plan does not make provision for this developer driven development and to develop long term proven Development Strategies such as CASP.</p>

Volume 3
Part 3 Record of Protected Structures Submissions

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Blake, Fionnula & Richard	2181	Submission supports the addition of Carillon lodge (RPS no. 1307) to the RPS.
Blake, Fionnula (Courtmacsheery Concerns)	2180	1. Submission supports any amendments and enhancements to the plan that further protect and preserve the essential character and heritage of Courtmacsherry. 2. Submission supports the addition of Carillon lodge (1307) to the RPS. 3. Submission states that the Old School House opposite Carillon Lodge is also worthy of protection. 4. Submission requests the preservation and protection of the mature woodlands behind the Old Village be included in the plan.
Brady, Doreen	2185	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the heritage of Mitchelstown, is of strategic architectural importance and should be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.
Brady, Theresa	2187	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the history of Mitchelstown and should be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.
Chamberlain, Mark	2033	This submission proposes that Kilmacoom House and gateposts, Kilmacoon (1363) be removed from the Proposed Record of Protected Structures.
Christian Brothers Secondary School, Mitchelstown.	2075	This submission proposes that the CBS School entrance gates, Brigown (1336) be removed from the Proposed Record of Protected Structures.
Christian Brothers Secondary School, Mitchelstown.	2073	This submission proposes that the CBS School entrance gates, Brigown (1336) be removed from the Proposed Record of Protected Structures.
CIE	2165	This submission states that the notice furnished regarding the addition of the Carrigtwohill Railway Station to the RPS (No. 1314) is inadequate, owing to (a) The planning authority is required to serve both on each person who is the owner / occupier of the proposed structure and (b) The absence of a map of the proposed Protected Structure as required under Article 51 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001. The submission states that the building is owned by CIE and occupied by Iarnroid Eireann.
Dairygold Co-Operative	2514	1. Submission relates to RPS 1326 in Mitchelstown. 2. Submission notes that no maps, photographs or detailed description of the RPS were submitted to the applicant. 3. Submission requests that the subject property is not included in the Record of Protected Structures as such a designation may effect future plans for the growth and expansion of Dairygold activities at this location. 4. States that should a planning submission be prepared for this site it will detail any historical, architectural or archaeological content associated with the site and consult with the relevant authorities. 5. submission contends that no artistic, scientific or technical interests apply. 6. states that protected structure status be limited to the roadside wall only of the site, and specifically localised to the gateway and entrance as noted in the NIAH survey. 7. Submission requests copy of any correspondence from Minister for the Environment, Heritage and Local Government regarding this matter.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Dairygold Co-Operative</i>	2513	1. Submission relates to RPS 1358 in Mitchelstown. 2. Submission notes that no maps, photographs or detailed description of the RPS were submitted to the applicant. 3. Submission requests that the subject property is not included in the Record of Protected Structures as such a designation may effect future plans for the growth and expansion / restructuring of Dairygold activities at this location. 4. The property and structure has several complicated issues associated with it relating to (a) ownership of dwelling to south-east, (b) alterations to east and west walls of structure, (c.) Continuity of elevations (west elevation), (d) former stable yards have been concreted over (e) This area functions as a storage area for the milk processing plant 5. States that should a planning submission be prepared for this site it will detail any historical, architectural or archaeological content associated with the site and consult with the relevant authorities. 6. submission contends that no artistic, scientific or technical interests apply. 7. states that protected structure status be limited to the northern wall / northern elevation only of the site, as noted in the NIAH survey. 8. Submission requests copy of any correspondence from Minister for the Environment, Heritage and Local Government regarding this matter. 9. To Conclude - the property is beyond any reasonable state of repair and may be structurally unsound and its inclusion in the RPS is questionable.
<i>Dwane, Sheila</i>	2036	This submission proposes that the Former Fever Hospital, Brigown (1318) be removed from the Proposed Record of Protected Structures.
<i>Dwane, Sheila</i>	2039	This submission relates to RPS 1318. It states that the heritage unit of Cork County Council did not visit the property before proposing it as a protected structure. The submission objects to the proposed addition of this structure.
<i>Fitzgerald, Dick</i>	2000	Request to have house removed from the Record of Protected Structures. The structure description is Former Railway Hotel, RPS 1349.. The submission states that the house is of no historical, architectural, artistic, cultural, scientific or technical interest.
<i>Fitzgerald, Fr Michael</i>	2037	This submission proposes that the Former CBS Monastery, Brigown (1331) be removed from the Proposed Record of Protected Structures.
<i>Fitzgerald, Micheal Fr.</i>	2038	This submission relates to RPS 1332 (Parochial House, Brigown). The submission requests an extra front entrance to the right wing of the house in order to create an accessible parish office to serve the people.
<i>Good, George</i>	2030	1. Submission supports a number of proposed changes to the Draft Plan. 2. Amendment 4.21 - Include reference to high quality beaches. 3. Amendment 4.22 - Include reference to high quality beaches. 4. Amendment 4.23 - Include the text 'to protect and' 5. Amendment 7.10 - Add text 'prior to development the County Council should undertake its own heritage assessment of the proposed development lands' to para 5, p246. Add 'cultural' to paragraph 5. Add 'together with original sea walls' to para 13. Add 'demolition, alteration and/or'. Add the following text 'and cultural and designed landscapes and heritage gardens (NIAH) to the final para of page 246. 6. Amendment 7.11 - add 'and buffer zones' to para 3, p 248 and also to the final para of p 248. 7. Amendment 7.12 - add 'designed landscapes and heritage gardens' to para 3 on page 249. Add 'cultural landscapes, designed landscapes and heritage gardens'. Delete on para 3 p249 'which will then provide agencies such as Fáilte Ireland with significant baseline data'. Add 'cultural / designed and' to the final para on page 249. 8. Supports the addition of Carillon Lodge (RPS 1307) to the RPS and includes a report on the history of same. 9. 3rd line of p 269 should read 'beaches' 10 Beaches spelt incorrectly. 11. 'Piers and sea walls' should be included in para 8.14.19. 12. the

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		text 'and protect' should be included in HOU 14-16. 13. In Volume 2 (page 84) the location of 'courtmacsherry beach - raised beach' is in courtmacsherry not in Ballinspittle.
Hanrahan, Marie	2168	Submission states that the proposed amendment to include Home Rule Terrace in an area proposed for Architectural Conservation may prejudice a later application of the interested party / successors in title on the subject property.
Harrington, Rosarii, Teresa and David	2100	This submission relates to the proposed addition of projected structure 1344 (Former Toll House) Mitchelstown to the Record of Protected Structures. The submission states that the house has no architectural, historical, archaeological, artistic, cultural or other features that would render it to be of special interest and should not be added to the RPS. The submission also states that the house has never been referred to as a "Toll House".
Keneghan, Nora (Department of Environment, Heritage and LG)	2788	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures. It states that the proposal to make 60 additions to the RPS is welcomed. This will give greater protection to these individual elements of the built heritage within the county. The submission also recommends that structures are not removed from the RPS unless the planning authority considers that the structure no longer possesses the special interest, which caused it to be included in the RPS in the first instance. Conversely, where structures have lost, for whatever reason, the special interest which caused them to be included in the RPS they should be removed from the RPS as a matter of course. The submission also states that removing a protected structure from the RPS does not in itself alter the intrinsic architectural heritage merit or character of the particular structure.
Kent, David & Martha	2438	This submission requests that RPS (no. 1342) at Kilshanny, Mitchelstown, not be added to the list of Protected Structures.
Kent, Tom	2003	This submission refers to RPS no. 1338 (Kents Farmhouse) Ballynamona. The submission requests that this RPS be removed from the record of protected structures.
King, Eamon	2784	This submission is requesting that the property listed as an 18th century Kingston Farmhouse in Pollardstown, reference number 1348, is removed from Record of Proposed Protected Structures. There is a brief history of the house included in this submission, starting with the present owners purchasing the property in the 1950's when the property was partially burnt out. It was renovated, including partial building works at that time. A single storey extension was built onto the rear of the dwelling in the 1970's and all external joinery was replaced in the 1980's, with roof gutters and down pipes also replaced. It is stated that to include the building on the record of protected structures would create a financial burden on the present owner and his family in years to come.
Midleton Town Council	2004	This submission objects to the proposed variation to include proposals for the development of a new town at Annaghmore for the reasons outlined in the Supplementary County Manager's Report to Members of Cork County Council (5th of August 2008) and in the letter dated 4th September 2008, addressed to each Member of Midleton Town Council from the Town Clerk. Midleton Town Council requests that the new County Development Plan not make provision for such a development.
Mitchelstown Community Council	2201	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission proposes that the Bridewell be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Mitchelstown Heritage Society	2040	This submission refers to the Bridewell building on George St., Mitchelstown. The inclusion of the building on the list of protected structures was opposed by Cork County Council and the Mitchelstown Heritage society state that there was no basis for this request other than to facilitate efforts by a developer to have it demolished. The submission also expresses its support for the designation of all the buildings originally proposed for inclusion as Protected Structures in the revised CDP by the Chairmain of the Mitchelstown Heritage Society
Molan, Liam and Joe	2017	This submission relates to RPS 1336 (CBS School Entrance Gates Brigown). The submission states that this proposed RPS should not be included because there may be a time when the gates will need to be removed to widen the entrance and if this is the case the school would need to apply for planning permission. These gates are the only access for vehicles to the school complex.
Mullins, Daniel	2047	This submission proposes that there is no thatched farmhouse under the name of John Mullins in the townland of Ballybeg and RPS No 1339 should be removed from the Record of Protected Structures.
O' Shea, Bridie.	2439	Submission wants the subject property (No 8 Clonmel rd, Mitchelstown) to be excluded from the proposed extension to the Architectural Conservation Area at Home Rule Terrace.
O'Donnell, Ciss	2188	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the history and heritage of Mitchelstown and should be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.
O'Donoghue, Maurice	2006	This submission relates to RPS no. 1317 (Rockville, Station Road, Carrigtwohill). The submission states that the property is merely a two storey farm building situated in a farmyard, which has now become surrounded by new development, and it questions that it merits protected status. Grant assistance was recently sought to replace windows on the property and install traditional timber sliding sash windows however the applicant was unable to avail of the grant offered due to restrictions to use single glazing glass panels. The property is also suffering from a number of structural and associated problems. The applicant submits that "Rockville" not be added to the Record of Protected Structures of Cork County Council.
O'Riordan, Jeremiah Fr.	2785	<p>This submission relates to the proposed addition to the record of protected structures of St Josephs Church, Donoughmore REF. NO. 1360.</p> <p>The submitter wishes to highlight that he only had less than one month to comment on the addition of St. Josephs Church to the record of protected structures as he received the letter and guidance document on the 19th of September and the closing dates for comment was the 17th of October. He states that the timescale was inadequate and much shorter than the prescribed ten week period.</p> <p>The submitter outlines that over the past ten years considerable capital development has been undertaken in the parish of Donoughmore leading to considerable parish debt. The submitter highlights that the roof of St Joseph's church must be renewed in addition to the upgrade of the storm drainage and concrete paths. The submitter is concerned that the inclusion of St. Josephs Church in the record of protected structures will have a negative effect on the fund raising efforts.</p> <p>The submitter is concerned that funds will be dissipated on consulting fees for the planning process. The submitter</p>

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
		<p>highlights that he (the parish priest) and the parishoners have a common goal which is to preserve the church. The submitter notes that it will be counter productive to include the church in the record of protected structures due to the limited grant aid available.</p> <p>The submitter states that the proposal to make St. Josephs Church a protected structure is premature pending the National Inventory of Architectural Heritage issues its report on the mid cork area.</p>
Percival, Alex	2190	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the history and heritage of Mitchelstown, is of strategic architectural importance and should be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.
Russell, Tom	2186	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the heritage of Mitchelstown and should be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.
Smith, Robin J	2158	This submission objects to the listing of Kingston Hunting and Fishing Lodge (Mount Eagle) RPS no. 1351, to the Record of Protected Structures on the following grounds : (1) Absence of consultation (2) Infringement of property rights (3) Devaluation of property (4) Grant scheme is derisive (5) Non-notification of other users of the property. The submission also states that Mount Eagle is a private dwelling and objects to it being referred to as Kingston Hunting and Fishing Lodge.
Walsh, Maureen	2189	This submission relates to the Record of Protected Structures (RPS) and the omission of the Bridewell, Gorges's Street, Mitchelstown from the RPS. This submission states that the Bridewell forms an important part of the history and heritage of Mitchelstown and should be registered on the RPS. The submission proposes that the ACA be extended to cover the entire old town.
Wiley, James and Margaret	2002	This submission relates to RPS no. 1347, George St. Mitchelstown. The submission acknowledges that some sections of the front façade may possess some architectural merit however, it strongly objects to the addition of the interior of the house into the RPS as extensive renovation work has been carried out to a large section of the property. The submission includes a number of photographs which show the extensive renovation that has been carried out to the property and requests that the entire building not be listed as a Protected Structure.

Volume 3
Part 4 Submissions not considered relevant to the
Proposed Amendment

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Alchemy Properties</i>	2688	This submission requests Cork County Council to set out a clear strategy for bringing forward the timely development of strategic landbanks in the settlements of Carrigaline and Midleton. The submission requests that the Council takes a more proactive role in the preparation of action plans and masterplans for the T-01 zoned lands in Carrigaline and the X-01 zoned lands in Avoncore, Midleton, specifically - to define the key objectives pertaining to these strategic landbanks; to set out the overall guiding principles and rationale; to establish the specific development criteria that are to be met and to give certainty to the planning process. The submission requests that 1.71 ha of brownfield lands zoned T-01 be more appropriately zoned as Town Centre as defined in para 9.3.15 in the 2003 CDP. The 1.22 ha site at Avoncore lies within the special zoning objective X-01 for Waterrock in Midleton. The development of these two Alchemy properties in Midleton and Carrigaline are subject to either an Action Area Plan or a Masterplan. However the requirement for these has not been clearly defined. In the absence of any clarity developers are reluctant to bring forward proposals. The submission states that Cork County Council should undertake a review of current masterplan and action area plan commitments with a view to fast tracking those strategic locations in urgent need of attention. In relation to other areas such as Carrigaline the submission requests that the Council prepare development briefs for those areas. These briefs should set out the key objectives and parameters as well as principle development control matters consistent with national and local policies to guide developers in bringing forward proposals for their lands and to inform and direct the planning process. In conclusion the submission requests that the new CDP 2009 undertakes to review its current position with respect to the preparation of masterplans and action area plans, to streamline the number of plans required, to focus Council resources on strategic locations across the County in full consultation with landowners and to prepare development briefs for other locations to guide individual developers.
<i>Buckley, Oliver & Rosalyn</i>	2174	Submission requests that lands in Metropolitan Green Belt be rezoned from A1 to A3 to allow applicant's to construct a single dwelling.
<i>Burke, John</i>	2080	Requests that subject lands within the Metropolitan Greenbelt be rezoned for residential purposes or as a minimum for A3 status.
<i>Cleary, Ann</i>	2506	This submission seeks to have 17ha of greenfield lands zoned for residential development. The land is located at Clogheen, Blarney and is zoned A2 and A3 greenbelt.
<i>Cohalan, Diarmuid</i>	2031	This submission requests that the following issues be considered by the Council; 1) That a suitable school bus shelter and safe school bus stopping area be urgently provided in or adjacent to Coppeen Village to facilitate secondary school children travelling on the Dunmanway school bus. 2) That a traffic calming road structure be erected in Coppeen Village to reduce traffic speed and in the interests of safety. 3) That the R585 regional road (Bantry Line) be adequately upgraded to a standard that can safely cope with the huge traffic increase which has taken place on this road since the opening of the Ballincollig By Pass. In particular between Crookstown village and Gloun cross. 4) That one off rural housing should continue to get planning permission especially in areas where local rural villages don't have proper public services.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Colbert O'Leary Partnership	2783	The submission requests that lands at Willowbank in Midleton are taken into account in any masterplan for the overall area. The site falls within the Waterrock masterplan site at Midleton.
Coleman, John.	2161	This submission requests the rezoning of a site on the outskirts of Tower for a Tourism facility with Spa and accommodation, new publicly accessible parkland, and low density residential development reflecting the historic use of the site and subject to a landscaping scheme. The majority of the site is within the Metropolitan Green Belt A1. Part of the site is zoned residential.
Coleman, John.	2162	Submission requests that the Guinness farm lands should be re-zoned for expansion of the Ballinacurra settlement to include residential, town centre and community facilities development and for the provision of a south eastern relief road for Midleton and Ballinacurra linking the N25 to Aghada and Whitegate. The lands are located within the Metropolitan GreenBelt A2.
De Burca, P	2511	The submitter outlines that he wishes to have a policy inserted into the development plan in relation to public lighting in order that all new developments will be required to provide public lighting to a "recognised international" standard.
De Burca, P	2510	The submitter outlines that all developments in County Cork should provide universal accessibility as required under the Sectoral Plan from the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government and the Disability Act 2004 which requires local authorities to provide universal accessibility by 2015. The submitter suggests that a condition has already been used by other local authorities in Ireland to help and provide universal accessibility.
Deane, Barry	2512	This submission requests that RPS 00884 (Dunmanway Cinema, Mary Street) be removed from the Record of Protected Structures listed in the Draft Plan.
Forde, Mr James	2082	Requests the subject land within the Metropolitan Green Belt A1 be rezoned for residential development. Rezoning of this land would address the shortfall of zoned land in Metropolitan Cork as identified in the Housing Land Availability Study.
Geaney, Seamus	2520	This submission requests the removal of the Metropolitan Green Belt designation from the site because of its developed nature and established hotel use permitted on the site. It is proposed that the site be incorporated into the Little Island development boundary during the review of the Blarney Electoral Area Local Area Plan in order to provide for a mixed use transport orientated development. It is further recommended that Objective SET 1-37 be amended by the inclusion of the following text: " Further, it is an objective of the plan to review the status of land in the vicinity of the proposed Dunkettle Railway Station with a view towards promoting the area as a location for mixed use transit orientated development."
JJ Casey Project Management Ltd	2677	This submission proposes that additional lands be zoned in Cork City South Environs, in the townland of Ardarostig, for low density residential development. The submission proposed that the current A1 greenbelt status should be reconsidered in light of a) recent developments in the vicinity of the site, b) the demand for high end large family units in the area which has emerged as a result of the growth of major institutions nearby, c) the close proximity of public transport nodes, d) economic and population growth envisaged in CASP, e) recent policy in the Residential Density Guidelines that calls for increased residential densities where appropriate. The submission also proposes that the following text is included in Proposed Amendment 3.16, "The Council will consider the strategic location of low density residential sites to meet the deficiency in housing demand in the southern Environs particularly at lands at Ardrostig where these result in the consolidation of the urban fabric within existing and proposed developments."

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
Lynch, Jeremy	2074	This submission proposes that lands in Ballinora, Waterfall be rezoned from A1 Greenbelt to A3 Greenbelt.
Mathieu, Michelle	2692	This submission is proposing that a private accesway/roadway to the rear of the submitter's property could be used to access seven properties at upper Cork Street, Mitchelstown to develop the commercial properties at this location. It is stated that the rear gardens could also be developed making better use of town space and without interference to the existing shop fronts
McNamara, Patrick	2378	This submission requests that land at Lissagroom and Annaghmore be zoned for development.
Murphy, Austin	2781	<p>This submitter requests that the following comments are considered by the Planning Authority in the review of the plan. The submitter notes that in order to promote the development and renewal of depopulated areas, the planning authority should consider the provision/facilitation of cluster housing in areas where depopulation has occurred.</p> <p>The submitter questions if the "strongly discourage" general approach to rural housing compatible with the purpose of the planning authority.</p> <p>The submitter questions if the "strongly discourage" approach to rural housing compatible with social and community requirements of rural communities.</p>
Murphy, John & Helen	2081	Requests that the subject lands within the Metropolitan Green Belt be rezoned for residential / commercial development. Submission states that the request complies with Proposed Change No. 3.19 relating to the Ballyvolane Area.
O' Brien, John & Margaret. Mitchelstown	2034	Submission requests that unzoned lands at Brigown, be zoned for commercial / residential (medium density) use to provide a response to the CDP objectives of maintaining the growth of towns and villages within the County Area. Submission includes a infrastructure and services report relating to proposed development on the subject lands.
O Donovan, Donal	2176	1. Submission requests that objective RCI 2-3 be amended to permit in appropriate circumstances 'institutional uses situated within large grounds'. 2. Submission states that the proposed site is located within the Metropolitan GreenBelt A3 and objective RCI 2-6 makes provision for a very limited amount of further development and therefore 'institutional uses' could be permitted as the policy stands. 3. Submission makes reference to a previous planning application for a nursing home, community healthcare centre and retirement apartments on the edge of Cloughduv. 4. Submission states that housing for the elderly in the form of free-standing and self-contained Nursing Home and Retirement Village is a type of development supported by offices in a rural location. 5. The subject site for the Nursing Home and Retirement village is located in Waterfall and its development will not compromise the openness of the GreenBelt.
O' Sullivan, Sean & Eileen	2164	Submission requests the zoning of lands at Curraghconway, Frankfield for development. Lands are currently within the Metropolitan Greenbelt - A1area.
O' Sullivan, Sean G.	2163	Submission requests the extension of the development boundary to include the zoning of land (84 acres) at Ballincrossig, Glanmire for residential development / community facilities. Lands currently within the Cork Metropolitan Green Belt.

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
O'Brien, James	2001	This submission refers to protected structure no. 00053 in the Register of Protected Structures of the draft CDP. The protected structure here is referred to as the "Fever Hospital/Convent of Mercy School". This title is misleading and just refers to a small portion of a larger complex. It seems that the main building on the site, the Convent itself of 1879 is not included in the Record of protected structures. Buttevant Convent is a more accurate description of the complex and the CDP should be amended to include this description. The entry should read "Fever Hospital/Buttevant convent and convent school".
O'Donovan, Barry	2294	this submission proposes additional lands be rezoned in the Bishopstown area to cater for the housing needs of those employed in CIT, CUH, UCC and the proposed science park in the Curaheen area.
O'Leary, Seamus	2691	<p>This submission highlights two issues which the submitter requests that the are addressed in the County Development Plan and in the interest of transparency and openness in the planning process. These points are as follows:</p> <p>1) Where a planning application was appealed to An Bord Pleanala, and where there are subsequent applications made on the same site, the planners should take the decision of the Bord into consideration. Where the planner takes an opposing view to the Bord, the reasons for doing so should be stated and</p> <p>2) Where the planning team decides to grant permission when the planner has recommended refusal, then the reasons for granting permission against the advice of planners should be given.</p> <p>The submission states that from his own personal experience in his area, applications granted by Cork County Council and refused by the Bord makes it hard to see why decisions are being made.</p>
Oppermann, Louise	2690	This submission is relayed by the Owners/Occupiers of the Euro Business Park. The submission refers to a footpath being provided between the two entrances of the Euro Business Park and the traffic lights at the T-junction of the petrol station. The submission states that the footpath is urgently needed and that levies exist from the conditions attached to the grant of permission for the business park. The submission further states that the levies will have to be repaid in seven years in the works are not carried out. Lastly, the submission highlights that the Owners/ Occupiers of the Euro Business Park have grave concerns for the safety of the employees and residents in the area.
O'Sullivan, Clare	2155	This submission supports the proposed new settlement at Annaghmore. The submission states that the layout and amenities provided by such a development would be very attractive. The improvement of the N71 and job creation in the area would also be welcomed.
O'Sullivan, John	2446	This submission proposes that the zoning policy in the Waterfall area be relaxed to accommodate the construction of a single dwelling for a family member in the area.
Riordan, Eoin	2789	This submission relates to two thatched cottages in Ballyerrin, Curraghglass, Mallow. One of the cottages is included on the Record of Protected Structures (RPS 01160) and the other is on the Record of Monuments. The submission states that the buildings are in ruins and should be removed from the list. The structures are not the subject of a proposed amendment and are therefore not related to the proposed amendment document.

<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Summary of Issues Raised</i>
<i>Sustainable Clonakilty</i>	2084	This submission proposes additional text be added to the paragraph beginning "Consideration will be given". The additional text should read as follows, "In addition, a community led, and Town Council supported initiative is also working on Clonakilty becoming an energy self sufficient town and ultimately district, based on the successful achievement of their aim within 15 years by the rural town of Gussing, Austria. Planning provision will need to be made for small to medium renewable energy producing plants (which could involve wind, tidal, solar, biomass and other forms of electricity or heat generation) to be built on land under Cork County Council jurisdiction in close proximity to the town.
<i>Sweetman McEvoy, Yvonne & McEvoy, John.</i>	2079	Requests land at Kilcroyne, Cloyne be rezoned for residential / commercial purposes. The lands are within the RHCZ.
<i>Terry, Canon John</i>	2088	Submission requests that the subject lands be zoned for educational purposes to facilitate a planning application for a primary school on the site.
<i>Twohig, Mary & Dineen, William</i>	2437	Submission requests that agricultural lands within the Metropolitan Green Belt be zoned for residential development.
<i>Walsh, Pat</i>	2671	This submission states that the County Development Plan review does not go far enough to exploit the strategic location that Charleville has and should be developed further in the context of this plan. The submission states that from a business/logistics perspective the German company Lidl has given Charleville a vote of confidence as a strategic location in which to locate such projects and this will be further enhanced by the planned motorway. Charleville has very good access links and will play a significant role from a business point of view with the port of Foyne, the tunnel to Shannon providing good business links.
<i>Winters, Michael</i>	2087	Submission requests that the development boundary of Kanturk be extended to include the subject lands. Requests that the land be zoned for educational purposes and housing.

Volume 3
List of Submissions by Reference Number

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2000	Fitzgerald, Dick	Section 3
2001	O'Brien, James	Section 4
2002	Wiley, James and Margaret	Section 3
2003	Kent, Tom	Section 3
2004	Midleton Town Council	Section 3
2005	Youghal Town Council	Section 2
2006	O'Donoghue, Maurice	Section 3
2017	Molan, Liam and Joe	Section 3
2024	McCarthy, Finbarr	Section 2
2030	Good, George	Section 3
2031	Cohalan, Diarmuid	Section 4
2032	O'Mahoney, Tim	Section 2
2033	Chamberlain, Mark	Section 3
2034	O' Brien, John & Margaret.	Section 4
2035	Ryle, Cameron.	Section 1
2036	Dwane, Sheila	Section 3
2037	Fitzgerald, Fr Michael	Section 3
2038	Fitzgerald, Micheal Fr.	Section 3
2039	Dwane, Sheila	Section 3

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2040	Mitchelstown Heritage Society	Section 3
2041	Moore, Pat (Town Clerk Bandon Town Council)	Section 2
2042	Garland, Roger.	Section 1
2043	National Roads Authority	Section 1
2044	Ryan, Anaita	Section 2
2045	Carthew, Tom	Section 2
2046	O'Donovan, Jeannie	Section 2
2047	Mullins, Daniel	Section 3
2048	Croke, Joe (Lowertown Development Association)	Section 1
2049	Collins, Micheal (Goleen and District Community Council)	Section 1
2050	Grainger, Aoife	Section 2
2051	Looney, Catherine	Section 2
2052	Ni Liathain, Carol	Section 2
2053	Cummins, Kathleen	Section 2
2054	Jermyn, Stan	Section 2
2055	Finn, Dermot	Section 2
2056	Canniffe, Pdraig	Section 2
2057	Coholan, Ellmarie	Section 2
2058	MacCarthy, Conor	Section 2
2059	Fehilly, Martin	Section 1

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2060	Brady, Carmel	Section 2
2061	MacCarthy, John	Section 2
2062	MacCarthy, John B	Section 2
2063	Brady, Mary P	Section 2
2064	Brady, Michael	Section 2
2065	Roycroft, Richard	Section 2
2066	P. Roche, Julie	Section 2
2067	O'Mahony, Gus	Section 2
2068	Ceres Consulting Ltd.	Section 2
2069	O'Mahoney, Gus	Section 2
2070	McCarthy, Tony & Maureen	Section 2
2071	Brady, Michael	Section 2
2072	Schull IFA	Section 1
2073	Christian Brothers Secondary School, Mitchelstown.	Section 3
2074	Lynch, Jeremy	Section 4
2075	Christian Brothers Secondary School, Mitchelstown.	Section 3
2076	O'Neill, Gillian	Section 2
2077	Murphy, James	Section 2
2078	Rea, T.J.	Section 1
2079	Sweetman McEvoy, Yvonne & McEvoy, John.	Section 4

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2080	Burke, John	Section 4
2081	Murphy, John & Helen	Section 4
2082	Forde, Mr James	Section 4
2083	O' Riordan, George.	Section 1
2084	Sustainable Clonakilty	Section 4
2085	Kearney, Grace	Section 2
2086	Rea, Nicholas	Section 2
2087	Winters, Michael	Section 4
2088	Terry, Canon John	Section 4
2089	Crowley, Gerard	Section 2
2090	Cummins, Sheila	Section 2
2091	Murphy, Imelda	Section 2
2092	Grainger, Sean	Section 2
2093	Kelly, Maria	Section 2
2094	Hurley, Noel & Carol	Section 2
2095	Murphy, Brian	Section 2
2096	Rea, James	Section 2
2097	Jones, Pat	Section 2
2098	Murphy, Jerry	Section 2
2099	O' Donoghue, Sean	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2100	Harrington, Rosarii, Teresa and David	Section 3
2101	O'Sullivan, Michael (Beara Chamber of Commerce)	Section 1
2102	Twomey, Michael	Section 2
2103	Collins, Breda	Section 1
2104	Donovan, Donal	Section 2
2105	O'Shea, Keith	Section 2
2106	Byrne, Liam	Section 2
2107	Crean, Jeremiah	Section 2
2108	O'Connor, Richard	Section 2
2109	O'Connor, Daniel	Section 2
2110	Maguire, Michael	Section 2
2111	O'Sullivan, James	Section 2
2112	McCarthy, Michael	Section 2
2113	Donovan, Rose	Section 2
2114	Nash, Finbarr	Section 2
2115	O'Mahoney, Sharon	Section 2
2116	Kelly, John	Section 2
2117	Mulcahy, Ivan	Section 2
2118	Murphy, Mary	Section 2
2119	Callanan, Kevin	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2120	Hurley, Jim	Section 2
2121	O'Sullivan, Catherine	Section 2
2122	Burke, Marian	Section 2
2123	Keane, Marian	Section 2
2124	Whetton, Neil and Pamela	Section 2
2125	Twomey, Micheal	Section 2
2126	Collins, Jerry	Section 2
2127	O'Sullivan, Alan	Section 2
2128	Desmond, Sean	Section 2
2129	O'Donovan, Vince	Section 2
2130	O'Donovan, Catherine	Section 2
2131	Burke, Patrick	Section 2
2132	O'Riordan, Tony	Section 2
2133	Kingston, Gertrude	Section 2
2134	Keane, John	Section 2
2135	Keane, Kathleen	Section 2
2136	Keane, David	Section 2
2137	Keane, Pat	Section 2
2138	Kingston, Susan	Section 2
2139	Kingston, Jack	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2140	Kosiarska, Sylvia	Section 2
2141	Kingston, Paul	Section 2
2142	Keane, Sean	Section 2
2143	Keane, John	Section 2
2144	Hargrove, M	Section 1
2145	O'Donovan, David	Section 2
2146	Murray, Neil	Section 2
2147	Coomey, Adrain	Section 2
2148	O'Leary, Charlie	Section 2
2149	O'Driscoll, John	Section 2
2150	Murphy, A	Section 2
2151	Murphy, Olga	Section 2
2152	McCarthy, Shane	Section 2
2153	O'Donovan, MB	Section 2
2154	O'Donovan, Rosemary	Section 2
2155	O'Sullivan, Clare	Section 4
2156	West Cork IFA	Section 1
2157	Cork County GAA Board.	Section 1
2158	Smith, Robin J	Section 3
2159	Quigley, Hughie and Margaret	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2160	McCutcheon Mulcahy	Section 1
2161	Coleman, John.	Section 4
2162	Coleman, John.	Section 4
2163	O' Sullivan, Sean G.	Section 4
2164	O' Sullivan, Sean & Eileen	Section 4
2165	CIE	Section 3
2166	McCarthy, Kieran.	Section 1
2167	O Mahony, Pat	Section 1
2168	Hanrahan, Marie	Section 3
2169	Ceadagan, Michael O'	Section 1
2170	Madden, Declan	Section 1
2171	Clancy, Pat	Section 1
2172	Kelleher, Edward & Margaret	Section 1
2173	Desmond, Barry	Section 1
2174	Buckley, Oliver & Rosalyn	Section 4
2175	O Donovan, Donal	Section 1
2176	O Donovan, Donal	Section 4
2177	Bus Eireann	Section 1
2178	Eirgrid	Section 1
2179	Department of Education and Science	Section 1

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2180	Blake, Fionnula (Courtmacsheery Concerns)	Section 3
2181	Blake, Fionnula & Richard	Section 3
2182	Russell, Kay	Section 1
2183	Russell, Kay	Section 1
2184	Russell, Anna Maria	Section 1
2185	Brady, Doreen	Section 3
2186	Russell, Tom	Section 3
2187	Brady, Theresa	Section 3
2188	O'Donnell, Ciss	Section 3
2189	Walsh, Maureen	Section 3
2190	Percival, Alex	Section 3
2191	McEvoy, Elanor	Section 1
2192	Lynch, Mary	Section 1
2193	Crowley, John	Section 1
2194	Raleigh, Anne-Marie	Section 1
2195	Nealon, Peter	Section 1
2196	Holland, William Patrick	Section 1
2197	Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government	Section 1
2198	O'Donovan, Barry	Section 1
2199	Keating, Dermot	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2200	Keating, Patrick	Section 1
2201	Mitchelstown Community Council	Section 3
2202	Dempsey, Mary	Section 1
2203	O'Sullivan, Jim	Section 2
2204	Holland, David	Section 2
2205	Holland, Alan	Section 2
2206	Devlin, David	Section 2
2207	Belivanis, Maria	Section 2
2208	Stroker, Stephen	Section 2
2209	Shiels, Patrick	Section 2
2210	Tracey, Mark	Section 2
2211	Corcoran, Phil	Section 2
2212	Doyle, Rory	Section 2
2213	Shiels, Maria	Section 2
2214	Bermingham, Michael	Section 2
2215	Halpin, Michael	Section 2
2216	Hallssey, Louise	Section 2
2217	Holland, Aiden	Section 2
2218	Stroker, Eamon	Section 2
2219	Holland, William	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2220	Joyce, James	Section 2
2221	McCarthy, Ralph	Section 2
2222	O'Brien, Mary And Timothy	Section 1
2223	Curtin, Michael	Section 1
2224	Nolan, Mary	Section 1
2225	Nolan, Laura	Section 1
2226	Finn, Eileen	Section 1
2227	Murphy, Thomas	Section 1
2228	Fitzgerald, Winifred	Section 1
2229	Chamberlain, Sean	Section 1
2230	Burke, Edmond	Section 1
2231	O'Sullivan, Pdraig	Section 1
2232	Gorry, Tony	Section 1
2233	Dennehy, Paddy	Section 1
2234	Moloney, Eileen	Section 1
2235	Brown, Dave	Section 1
2236	O'Sullivan, Liam	Section 1
2237	Martin, George	Section 1
2238	Wiley, Eddie	Section 1
2239	Keane, David	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2240	Lewis, Kate	Section 1
2241	Robinson, Pearl	Section 1
2242	Bailey, Cathy	Section 1
2243	Percival, Sophia	Section 1
2244	Louise Howard	Section 1
2245	O'Sullivan, Eileen	Section 1
2246	Kearney, Annette	Section 1
2247	Sheehan, Lisa	Section 1
2248	O'Sullivan, Martin	Section 1
2249	Corbety, Mike	Section 1
2250	Sheehan, Ann	Section 1
2251	Coleman, Mary	Section 1
2252	Quirke, Pat	Section 1
2253	Quirke, Margaret	Section 1
2254	Cummins, Michelle	Section 1
2255	Lane, Liam	Section 1
2256	Lane, Michelle	Section 1
2257	Condon, Condon	Section 1
2258	O'Grady, Nora	Section 1
2259	Mullins, Margaret	Section 1

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2260	Wiley, Gerard	Section 1
2261	O'Shea, Joe	Section 1
2262	Fitzgerald, Mary	Section 1
2263	O'Shea, Eleanor	Section 1
2264	Lambe, Edward	Section 1
2265	O'Connor, Nellie	Section 1
2266	Lyons, Julie	Section 1
2267	Dorney, Seamus	Section 1
2268	Curtin, Michael	Section 1
2269	Fitzgerald, Una	Section 1
2270	Considine, Anthony	Section 1
2271	Malcolm, Ian	Section 1
2272	Walsh, Lorraine	Section 1
2274	O'Dwyer, Geraldine	Section 1
2275	Farrell, Patricia	Section 1
2276	Roche, Ellen	Section 1
2277	O'Dwyer, Gerard	Section 1
2278	Luddy, John	Section 1
2279	O'Sullivan, John	Section 1
2280	O'Sullivan, Mary	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2281	Dalton, Deirdre	Section 1
2282	Carey, Pauline	Section 1
2284	Doran, Theresa	Section 1
2285	Noonan, Alice	Section 1
2286	Griffin, Anne	Section 1
2287	Cameron, Edward	Section 1
2288	McGrath, Brid	Section 1
2289	McGrath, Leila	Section 1
2290	McGrath, Marie	Section 1
2291	McGrath, Michael	Section 1
2292	McGrath, Diarmuid	Section 1
2293	McGrath, Margaret	Section 1
2294	O'Donovan, Barry	Section 4
2295	O'Donovan, Finbarr	Section 1
2296	Crowley, Michelle	Section 1
2297	Falush, Daniel	Section 1
2298	Lowes, Tony	Section 1
2299	Sage, Colin	Section 2
2300	Cahill, Garret	Section 1
2301	Jute, Charles	Section 1

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2302	Jeffers, Linda	Section 2
2303	Cawkwell, Fiona	Section 1
2304	Martin, Cynthia	Section 1
2305	McSweeney, Carol	Section 1
2306	Carthy, Theresa	Section 1
2307	Collins, Ann	Section 1
2308	Mulvihill, Denis	Section 1
2309	Buckley, Catherine	Section 1
2310	O'Loughlin, Biaithnaid	Section 1
2311	Hasse, Holger	Section 2
2312	O'Neill, Maeve	Section 2
2313	Kenny, Noel	Section 2
2314	O'Connor, Aidan	Section 2
2315	Simpson, Julie	Section 2
2316	Crean, Finbarr	Section 2
2317	Connolly, Bernie	Section 2
2318	Bus Eireann	Section 1
2319	Joustra, Helen	Section 2
2320	Archer, Anthony	Section 2
2321	Daly, Carmel	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2322	Meldon, Linda	Section 2
2323	Spencer, Margot	Section 2
2324	O'Sullivan, Paul	Section 2
2325	Curtin, Anne Marie	Section 2
2326	Woodworth, Simon	Section 2
2327	Reily, Alan	Section 1
2328	Crowley, Sheila	Section 2
2329	Ahern, Patricia and Christy	Section 2
2330	Moore, Olivia	Section 2
2331	McCloskey, Johnathan	Section 2
2332	Ryan, Pat	Section 2
2333	Crowley, Danny Cllr.	Section 1
2334	Twohig, Sean	Section 2
2335	O'Driscoll, Catriona	Section 2
2336	McCarthy, Nora	Section 2
2337	Carroll, Jennifer	Section 2
2338	Buckley, Maria	Section 2
2339	Cullinane, Brenden	Section 2
2340	O'Donovan, Christine	Section 2
2341	Crowley, John	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2342	Barrientos, AB	Section 2
2343	Belivanis, Emanuel	Section 2
2344	Dinneen, Nuala	Section 2
2345	Huggard, Steven	Section 2
2346	Cullinane, Norma	Section 2
2347	O'Reilly, Caroline	Section 2
2348	Murphy, Mary	Section 2
2349	Jackson, Rory	Section 2
2350	Kenny, Norah	Section 2
2351	Lordan, Orla	Section 2
2352	Huggard, Sharon	Section 2
2353	Daly, Sarah	Section 2
2354	Dilworth, Donal	Section 2
2355	Kelleher, Diarmaid	Section 2
2356	Crowley, Margaret	Section 2
2357	Kelleher, Ber	Section 2
2358	Dooley, Sean	Section 2
2359	Sheeran, Chris	Section 2
2360	O'Donovan, Theresa	Section 2
2361	McSweeney, Eric	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2362	O'Sullivan, Gareth	Section 2
2363	Brazil, Michael	Section 2
2364	Lordan, Martin	Section 2
2365	Rose, Paul	Section 2
2366	Kenny, Noel	Section 2
2367	Kelleher, Frank	Section 2
2368	McSweeney, Claire	Section 2
2369	O'Leary, Helen	Section 2
2370	McCarthy, Nora	Section 2
2371	Ryan, Joan	Section 2
2372	Jeffers, Elaine	Section 2
2373	Dooley, Lawrence	Section 2
2374	O'Grady, John	Section 2
2375	Mulcahy, Dermot	Section 2
2377	O'Connell, Paddy	Section 2
2378	McNamara, Patrick	Section 4
2379	Tracey, Ann	Section 2
2380	Hendrick, John and Dorothy	Section 2
2381	O'Connor, Margaret	Section 2
2382	Daunt, Vera and Robin	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2383	Daunt, Nigel	Section 2
2384	Daunt, Gillian and Lorna	Section 1
2385	Ross, Esther	Section 1
2386	Good, Dorothy	Section 1
2387	Cullinane, Donal	Section 1
2388	Walsh, Oonagh	Section 1
2389	McCarthy, Mary	Section 1
2390	Crowley, John	Section 1
2391	Kelleher, Carol	Section 2
2392	Holland, Eileen	Section 1
2393	Harvey, Fionnuala	Section 1
2394	Kiely, Catherine	Section 1
2395	Coughlan, Thomas	Section 1
2396	Rossdale Enterprises	Section 1
2397	Rossdale Enterprises	Section 1
2398	Fota Business and Retail Park	Section 1
2399	Castlelands Construction Company	Section 1
2400	Castlelands Construction Company	Section 1
2401	Bemelmans, Thierry	Section 1
2402	Holland, Vanessa	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2403	Murphy, Deidre	Section 1
2404	Kiely, Deidre	Section 1
2405	Looney, Tara	Section 2
2406	Jeffers, Elaine	Section 2
2407	Weston, Celia	Section 2
2408	Dooley, Mary	Section 2
2409	Ruff, Nadine	Section 2
2410	Daly, Eimear	Section 2
2411	McSweeney, Paul	Section 2
2412	William Manning, William	Section 2
2413	Brady, Brendan	Section 2
2414	Walsh, Elaine	Section 1
2415	O'Regan, Michael Patrick	Section 2
2416	Twomey, Olive	Section 2
2417	Timothy Barry	Section 2
2418	Brazil Kearney, Caoimhe	Section 2
2419	Lynch, Denis	Section 2
2420	Buckley, Imelda	Section 2
2421	Kearney, Stephen	Section 2
2422	Brazil Kearney, Orla	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2423	Brazil Kearney, James	Section 2
2424	Brazil Kearney, Saoirse	Section 2
2425	Murphy, John	Section 1
2426	Murphy, Anita	Section 1
2427	Healy, Ciaran John	Section 2
2428	Kearney, Stephen	Section 1
2429	Joyce, Gillen	Section 1
2430	Corall, Shane	Section 2
2431	McCarthy, Killian	Section 2
2432	O'Driscoll, Ronan	Section 2
2433	Wiley, Tony	Section 2
2434	McCarthy, Anne	Section 2
2435	Craft, Paul	Section 2
2436	McCarthy, Liam	Section 2
2437	Twohig, Mary & Dineen, William	Section 4
2438	Kent, David & Martha	Section 3
2439	O' Shea, Bridie.	Section 3
2440	Rossdale Enterprises Ltd	Section 1
2441	Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources	Section 1
2442	Gleares, Sean	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2443	O'Callaghan, Michael	Section 1
2444	O'Callaghan, Edel	Section 1
2445	O'Callaghan, Colm	Section 1
2446	O'Sullivan, John	Section 4
2447	Sheehan, Susan	Section 2
2448	Kavanagh, Donnacha	Section 2
2449	Foley, Brendan	Section 2
2450	Breen, James	Section 1
2451	Kelly, Liam	Section 1
2452	O' Sullivan, Patrick	Section 1
2453	Harte, Mike	Section 1
2454	Love, Trevor	Section 1
2455	Cox, Peter	Section 1
2456	Crowley, Pat	Section 1
2457	Crowley, Jim & Eleanor	Section 1
2458	Fitzpatrick, Mick	Section 2
2459	Fenlon, Connor	Section 2
2460	A Moynihan	Section 2
2461	Crowley, Rena	Section 2
2462	Flavin, Mike	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2463	Barrate, Paul	Section 2
2464	Walsh, Eamon	Section 2
2465	McAuliffe, Terry	Section 2
2466	O'Leary, Ted	Section 2
2467	Twomey, Joe	Section 2
2468	Baker, Antoinette	Section 2
2469	Griffin, Jim & Angela	Section 1
2470	Sinnott, Kathy MEP	Section 1
2471	McCarthy, Edward	Section 2
2472	McCarthy, Tadhg	Section 2
2473	McCarthy, Sheila	Section 2
2474	McCarthy, Janice	Section 2
2475	McCarthy, Owen	Section 2
2476	McCarthy, Una	Section 2
2477	McCarthy, Sara	Section 2
2478	Port of Cork	Section 1
2479	Lehane, Daniel J	Section 2
2480	Brid Ni Liathain, Sile	Section 2
2481	Buckley, Brian.	Section 1
2482	Sinnott, Kathy, MEP.	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2483	Blanchfield, Patricia	Section 1
2484	Callanan, Paul	Section 2
2485	Walsh, Seamus	Section 2
2486	O'Connor, Liam	Section 2
2487	O'Connor, Eleanor	Section 2
2488	O'Leary, Helen	Section 2
2489	Walsh, Eilenn	Section 2
2490	Ryan, Claire	Section 2
2491	O'Shea, Colm	Section 2
2492	O'Shea, David	Section 2
2493	O'Shea, Debbie	Section 2
2494	Hallahan, Ann	Section 2
2495	Hallahan, Patrick	Section 2
2496	Hallahan, Lisa	Section 2
2497	O'Shea, Mark	Section 2
2498	Cleary, James	Section 2
2499	Keigher, Bernard	Section 2
2500	McSweeney, Chris	Section 2
2501	Linham, Rosaleen	Section 2
2502	Hallahan, Mairead	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2503	O'Shea, Sandra	Section 2
2504	O'Shea, Gillian	Section 2
2505	O'Shea, Eoin	Section 2
2506	Cleary, Ann	Section 4
2507	Burke, Teresa	Section 2
2508	Foley, Sandra	Section 2
2509	O'Shea, Phil	Section 2
2510	De Burca, P	Section 4
2511	De Burca, P	Section 4
2512	Deane, Barry	Section 4
2513	Dairygold Co-Operative	Section 3
2514	Dairygold Co-Operative	Section 3
2515	Quigley, Hughie	Section 1
2516	Forrest, Robert and Gerard	Section 1
2517	Corkery, Ger	Section 1
2518	O'Shea, Pat and Tim	Section 1
2519	Geaney, Seamus	Section 1
2520	Geaney, Seamus	Section 4
2521	Construction Industry Federation (CIF)	Section 1
2522	McCutcheon Mulcahy	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2523	O'Flynn Construction	Section 1
2524	Blarney Castle Estates	Section 1
2525	McCutcheon Mulcahy	Section 1
2526	Coleman Brothers and John Flemming Construction	Section 1
2527	Dublin Airport Authority	Section 1
2528	John Fleming Construction	Section 1
2529	Riverbank Developments	Section 1
2530	Hill, Don	Section 1
2531	Grangefield Developments	Section 1
2532	Keary, Bill	Section 1
2533	Ballybane Windfarm Ltd	Section 1
2534	Archer, Brian and Hogan, Roddy	Section 1
2535	O'Connor, Denis and Denise	Section 2
2536	Sayers, Garrett & Clarkin-Sayers, Joanne	Section 2
2537	O'Connor, John and Mary	Section 2
2538	Boyle, Denis	Section 2
2539	Walsh, Mark Walsh, Niamh Walsh, Mary Walsh, Sharon	Section 2
2540	Downing, Sarah & Gubbins, Noel	Section 2
2541	Annaghmore Action Group (c/o Patrick Shiels)	Section 2
2542	Gaynor, Dr Fegal	Section 1

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2543	O' Donoghue, Margo	Section 1
2544	O' Donoghue, Patrick	Section 1
2545	Twomey, Diane	Section 1
2546	Woods, Maeve	Section 1
2547	Collins, Deidre	Section 1
2549	Manning, Tom	Section 1
2550	Lyons, Michael and Deirdre	Section 1
2551	Cronin, Tom	Section 2
2552	Cronin, Angela	Section 1
2553	Higgins, Dermot	Section 2
2554	Daly, James	Section 2
2555	Walsh, Peter	Section 2
2556	Ryan, Gearoid	Section 2
2557	Daly, Sarah	Section 2
2558	Murphy, Helen	Section 2
2559	Ryan, Maria	Section 2
2560	Herlihy, Mary B	Section 2
2561	Shiels, Catherine	Section 2
2562	Shiels, Patrick J	Section 2
2563	Shiels, Bernie	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2564	Atkinson, Ellen	Section 2
2565	O'Sullivan, Barry	Section 2
2566	O'Sullivan, Elaine	Section 2
2567	A. Coughlan	Section 2
2568	Griffin, Paddy	Section 2
2569	Griffin, Mary	Section 2
2570	Murphy, Mike	Section 2
2571	O'Reilly, Tony	Section 2
2572	Lordon, Eileen	Section 2
2573	Healy, Peter	Section 2
2574	Ryan, Joanne	Section 2
2575	Breda Tuohy	Section 2
2576	Tuohy, Martin	Section 2
2577	J' O Leary	Section 2
2578	uohy, Norma	Section 2
2579	Tuohy, Eamon	Section 2
2580	O'Leary, AK	Section 2
2581	Duggan, Maria	Section 2
2582	Duggan, Mary	Section 2
2583	Hughes, Bridie	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2584	O' Reilly, Billy	Section 2
2585	Walsh, Eileen	Section 2
2586	O'Reilly, Kathleen	Section 2
2587	O'Reilly, Helen	Section 2
2588	Busteed, Kevin	Section 2
2589	Walsh, Joanne	Section 2
2590	McCarthy, C	Section 2
2591	O'Leary, Marion	Section 2
2592	Murphy, Dominic & Maureen	Section 2
2593	Sheehan, Sean	Section 2
2594	Barry, Cian	Section 2
2595	McCarthy, Denis	Section 2
2596	O'Rahilly, Joan	Section 2
2597	O'Rahilly, Neil	Section 2
2598	Bohane Family	Section 2
2599	Blanchfield, Patricia	Section 2
2600	McCarthy, Marcus	Section 2
2601	Sexton, Ber	Section 2
2602	Atkinson, Phil	Section 2
2603	O'Sullivan, Ray	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2604	Fitzpatrick, Tony and Eileen	Section 2
2605	Whelton, Adrian	Section 2
2606	O'Driscoll, Timothy	Section 2
2607	McCarthy, Sarah	Section 2
2608	Collins, Ann	Section 2
2609	Sheehan, Tadhg	Section 2
2610	McCarthy, Bernie	Section 2
2611	Murphy, Maureen	Section 2
2612	Walsh, Mary	Section 2
2613	Coveney, Irene	Section 2
2614	McCarthy, Ann	Section 2
2615	Harrington, Eileen	Section 2
2616	Dennis, Denis	Section 2
2617	Roche, Kitty	Section 2
2618	Roche, Charlie	Section 2
2619	Roche, Margaret	Section 2
2620	Roche, Veronica	Section 2
2621	Quin, Brian	Section 2
2622	Grimes, C.P	Section 2
2623	Quin, Aine	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2624	Jeffers, Laura	Section 2
2625	Woods, Dermot	Section 2
2626	Lyons, Catherine	Section 2
2627	Manning, Rena	Section 2
2628	Cork Chamber	Section 1
2629	O'Leary, Nollaig	Section 2
2630	Sweetnam, Anne	Section 2
2631	Dorrington, Pat	Section 2
2632	Keogh, Colin	Section 2
2633	Keogh, Siobhan	Section 2
2634	O'Sullivan, Michelle	Section 2
2635	O'Byren, Stephen	Section 2
2636	Crowley, Majella	Section 2
2637	Cullinane, Colin	Section 2
2638	Coughlan, Declan	Section 2
2640	Murphy, Denis	Section 2
2641	McCarthy, Shirley	Section 2
2642	Murphy, O	Section 2
2643	O'Sullivan, Daniel	Section 2
2644	O'Sullivan, Dorothy	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2645	Gayson, Fiona	Section 2
2646	Tom ? / The Occupier	Section 2
2647	Griffin, Jerry	Section 2
2648	Jeffers, Elaine	Section 2
2649	Dorrington, Mary	Section 2
2650	Shanahan, Ann	Section 2
2651	Coughlan, Mick	Section 2
2652	Coughlan, Michael	Section 2
2653	Ryan, Pat	Section 2
2654	Walsh, Eileen	Section 2
2655	Crowley, Mary	Section 2
2656	Coughlan, Aine	Section 2
2657	McCarthy, Eoin	Section 2
2658	McCarthy, Elaine	Section 2
2659	Donegan, Harry & Deborah	Section 2
2660	O'Leary, Jennifer	Section 2
2661	Barry, Aileen	Section 2
2662	O'Gorman, Mark	Section 2
2663	Shanahan, Noel	Section 2
2664	McCarthy Development Ltd.	Section 1

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2665	McCutcheon Mulcahy	Section 1
2666	Sheehan, John	Section 1
2667	Reid, Gordan	Section 2
2668	Ryan, David	Section 1
2669	Mallow Road Residents Association	Section 1
2670	Walsh, Pat	Section 1
2671	Walsh, Pat	Section 4
2672	Lowney, Noelle	Section 2
2673	Cunnane Stratton Reynolds	Section 1
2674	Estuary Office Park Developments Ltd.	Section 1
2675	Midleton College	Section 1
2676	JJ Casey Project Management Ltd	Section 1
2677	JJ Casey Project Management Ltd	Section 4
2678	Cork Co-operative Marts Ltd	Section 1
2679	Tesco Ireland Ltd	Section 1
2680	Henry Good Ltd	Section 1
2681	Ryan, Charley	Section 1
2682	Flemming, Niall	Section 1
2683	Coffey, Richard and Hunt, Donal	Section 1
2684	O'Reilly, Marius	Section 1

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2685	Alchemy Properties	Section 1
2686	Scally, Joe, Margaret and Mark	Section 1
2687	Murphy O'Connor Ltd	Section 1
2688	Alchemy Properties	Section 4
2689	O'Sullivan, Seamus	Section 2
2690	Oppermann, Louise	Section 4
2691	O'Leary, Seamus	Section 4
2692	Mathieu, Michelle	Section 4
2693	O'Brien, Tim	Section 2
2694	Brady, Brad	Section 2
2695	Dillon, Andrew and Sutton, Isabelle	Section 2
2696	Cork City Council	Section 2
2697	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	Section 1
2698	Bowen, Maureen	Section 2
2699	Byrne, Edmond	Section 2
2700	O'Sullivan, Richard	Section 2
2701	O'Sullivan, Jim	Section 2
2702	Lowney, John	Section 2
2703	Jeffers, Catherine	Section 2
2704	O'Connor, Eleanor	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2705	O'Leary, Grace	Section 2
2706	O'Sullivan, Gerard	Section 2
2707	Cuskelly, Declan	Section 2
2708	Shiels, Patrick	Section 2
2709	O'Sullivan, Lisa	Section 2
2710	O'Sullivan, Tadg	Section 2
2711	McCloskey, Ursula	Section 2
2712	Noelle Lowney,	Section 2
2713	Kennedy, Carol	Section 2
2714	Kelly, Gerard	Section 2
2715	O'Donovan, Helen	Section 2
2716	Brint, Elizabeth	Section 2
2717	Nolan, Andrew	Section 2
2718	McGovern, Laura	Section 2
2719	Moriarty, Martin	Section 2
2720	Lawton, Sharon	Section 2
2721	Harrington, Sharon	Section 2
2722	Fitzpatrick, Anne	Section 2
2723	Lordan, Lillian	Section 2
2724	Lordan, Patrick	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2725	Lordan, Tim	Section 2
2726	Malone, Aileen	Section 2
2727	Rooney, Ken	Section 2
2728	Maguire Family	Section 2
2729	Lang, Stephen John	Section 2
2730	Daly, Carolyn	Section 2
2731	Rooney, Ken	Section 2
2732	O'Regan, Kevin	Section 2
2733	Crowe, Eamon	Section 2
2734	Cribbin, Sinead	Section 2
2735	McMahon, Catriona	Section 2
2736	Keegan, Darrelle	Section 2
2737	Thomson, Fiona	Section 2
2738	McCarthy, Catherine	Section 2
2739	Kelleher, Bernadette	Section 2
2740	Hooper, Glenn	Section 2
2741	Lordan, Sharon	Section 2
2742	Pettit, Elizabeth	Section 2
2743	Shiels, John	Section 2
2744	O'Sullivan, Caoimhe	Section 2

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2745	O'Sullivan, Diarmuid	Section 2
2746	O'Sullivan, Diarmuid	Section 2
2747	O'Sullivan, Kevin	Section 2
2748	O'Sullivan, Kevin	Section 2
2749	O'Sullivan, Caoimhe	Section 2
2750	O'Sullivan, Catherine	Section 2
2751	O'Sullivan, Catherine	Section 2
2752	O'Regan, Joseph, Gerald	Section 2
2753	Burke, Ryan	Section 1
2754	McNamara, Paul	Section 2
2755	O'Donovan, Fionn	Section 2
2756	O'Donovan, Rory	Section 2
2757	Kingston, Kathleen	Section 2
2758	Kingson, Nora	Section 2
2759	Deasy, Anthony	Section 2
2760	Deasy, Deirdre	Section 2
2761	O'Mahony, Tony	Section 2
2762	Keane, Catherine	Section 2
2763	Fleming, Noel	Section 2
2764	Burke, Hannah	Section 2

November 2008

Volume 3 Appendix D

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2765	O'Donovan, Kate	Section 2
2766	Crowley, Michael	Section 2
2767	O'Donovan, Cian	Section 2
2768	McLean, John	Section 2
2769	Collins, Mary	Section 2
2770	Joe Collins, Joe	Section 2
2771	Collins, William Snr	Section 2
2772	Collins, Willie	Section 2
2773	Collins, Sheila	Section 2
2774	Carthew, David	Section 2
2775	Carthew, Peter	Section 2
2776	O'Shea, Karol	Section 2
2777	Carthew, Ben	Section 2
2778	O'Mahony, Seamus	Section 2
2779	Treacy, Patrick	Section 2
2780	Love, Clayton	Section 1
2781	Murphy, Austin	Section 4
2782	Hobbs, Michael	Section 2
2783	Colbert O'Leary Partnership	Section 4
2784	King, Eamon	Section 3

<i>Ref Number</i>	<i>Interested Party</i>	<i>Section</i>
2785	O'Riordan, Jeremiah Fr.	Section 3
2786	Ager, Chris and Lauri	Section 1
2787	Department of Transport	Section 2
2788	Keneghan, Nora (Department of Environment, Heritage and LG)	Section 3
2789	Riordan, Eoin	Section 4
2790	O'Hallorhan, Brian	Section 2
2791	Department of Education and Science	Section 1
2792	Creed, Michael T.D.	Section 1
2793	Forbes, Helen	Section 2